Memo Prompts Call by T Atthney for New Suit

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Aug. 2
The 1972 White House memoregarding the International Telephone and Telegraph Corporation that came to light in the Senate Watergate hearings yesterday produced numerous sharp reactions in Congress today.

The sharpest came from Senator John V. Tunney, who said that the Government should file a new antitrust suit to split the Hartford Fire Insurance Company from I.T.T.

The memo, written on March 30, 1972 by Charles W. Colsen, then a special counsel to President Nixon, to H. R. Haldeman, chief of the White House reactions in Charles W. Colsen, then a special counsel to President Mixon, to H. R. Haldeman, chief of the White House reactions in Charles W. Colsen, then a special counsel to President Mixon, to H. R. Haldeman, chief of the White House reactions and the confirmation hearings on former when he testified in favor of Mr. Kleindienst's confirmation hearings on former when he testified in favor of Mr. Kleindienst's confirmation hard for Mr. Kleindienst's confirmation on March 14, 1972.

"In ever discussed the conposition of the the White House decision on March 14, 1972.

"In ever discussed the conposition on March 14, 1972.

"In ever discussed the conposition of the White House decision on March 14, 1972.

"In ever discussed the conposition on March 14, 1972.

"In ever discussed the concommunicate with any member of the department, nor did I will have decision on memo's warning that leak-it." Mr. Mitchell denied Mr. Kleindienst's confirmation on March 14, 1972.

"In ever discussed the concommunicate with any member of the department, nor did I will have decision on memo's warning that leak-it." Mr. Mitchell denied Mr. Kleindienst's confirmation of March 14, 1972.

"In ever discussed the concommunicate with any member of the department, nor did I will have decision on memo's warning that leak-it." Mr. Mitchell denied Mr. Kleindienst's confirmation of March 14, 1972.

"In ever discussed the concommunicate with Archibal Cox, the Senator Bayh said he had leak-i

dent Nixon, to H. R. Haldeman, chief of the White House staff, confirmed broad pressures from the comparation on top Adminis the corporation on top Administration officials to drop the antimerger suit. The Justice Department finally did that in

July, 1971.

As Senator Tunney, a California Democrat, read it, "The memo suggests that the I.T.T. settlements were nothing more than a fraud on the public."

\$400,000 Arrangement

Noting the memo's reference to the "\$400,000 arrangement" in which corporation-owned hotels pledged support to the Republicans' 1972 convention, Tunney charged that the corporation bargained to settle the antitrust suits "with the antitrust suits "with the would be slipped under the table." The memo makes clear, he contended, that "the fix was in."

Senator Edward M. Kennedy,

was in."

Senator Edward M. Kennedy,
Democrat of Massachusetts,
said that William D. Ruckelshaus, President Nixon's nominee to be Deputy Attorney
General, could become a
"pawn" in the Senate Judiciary
Committee's new fight for Justice Department documents on the I.T.T. case.

And Senator Birch Bayh,
Democrat of Indiana, renewed the Judiciary Committee's yearold demand for prosecution of to "talk with McClaren" — a

secretary, added: "Whatever policy decisions the President may have relayed regarding antitrust matters in general would be entirely within the prerogative of the President and

entirely within the proper application of antitrust laws."

Vice President Agnew, whose meeting and correspondence with an I.T.T. executive on the case was mentioned in the Column and through son memo, commented through a spokesman today that he had relayed the corporation's com-plaints to then-attorney Gen-eral John N. Mitchell in Aug-ust, 1970, but that Mr. Agnew

perjury by witnesses in the con-point that Mr. Mitchell denied day. Senator Kennedy said that firmation hearings on former when he testified in favor of Attorney General Richard G. Mr. Kleindienst's confirmation further evidence that witnesses

Against I.T.T.

confirmed this afternoon that, one of five task forces in the special prosecutor's office was

special prosecutor's office was concentrating on possible criminal violations in the I.T.I. settlement, including allegations of perjury in the Kleindienst hearings.

Mr. McLaren, now a Federal district judge in Chicago, indicated through an aide today, that he is "not making any comments or granting any interviews" on the settlement.