Top Aides of Nixon Linked To Secret Dairy Donation

NYTimes

By BEN. A. FRANKLINJUN

2 1973

Special to The New York Time

Long after the White House from the Lehigh Valley co-op was accused in a lawsuit of ordering a multi-million-dollar rise in the Federal milk price level in return for hidden campaign contributions from the nance committee.

was indignantly contributions."

A G.A.O. spokesman said that Mr. Sloan, knowing the anonymously given cash to be a violation of law, had decided to "hold" the money pending efforts to identify the donors. But no identifies had been supplied by last July, the G.A.O. But no identifies had been supplied by last July, the G.A.O. learned, when Mr. Sloan turned the money over to Frederick C. Larue, a former Mitchell aide who was then assistant director of the Nixon campaign. The G.A.O. reported last month that the cash over to Mr.

vesugation said it was interviewing those involved, reportedly including former Attorney General John N. Mitchell, apparently in preparation for a criminal prosecution.

WASHINGTON, June 1 - The unreported \$50,000 gift

paign contributions from the dairy industry, top aides of President Nixon were still taking secret cash campaign gifts from milk intrests, Government investigators said today.

The charge came in a suit filed by Ralph Nader, the consumer advocate, in January, 1972, and it was indignantly denied then by Administration officials.

In an interview some weeks ago with auditors of the General Accounting Office, the agency in charge of enforcing the new campaign inance law, Mr. Sloan disclosed that the \$50,000 in cash had been among \$63,000 in currency entrusted to him as "anonymous contributions."

A G.A.O. spokesman said that Mr. Sloan, knowing the

The G.A.O. reported last month that the cash turned over to Mr. Larue had been jointly controlled by Mr. Sloan and Maurice H. Stans, the former Secretary of Commerce who later became chairman of the re-election finance comthe re-election finance committee.

Mr. Mitchell, then the director of the principal Nixon campaign committee, was said by a former aide to have "arranged the entire transaction." It was never reported as required by law.

Mr. Larue reportedly has told Government investigators that part of the cash was paid out, in turn to the Watergate burglary conspirators, for lawyers' fees and to obtain their silence concerning details of the break-in conspiracy. Mr. Larue reportedly has told

never reported as required by law.

The Lehigh Valley contributions—\$25,000 in late April, 1972, and \$25,000 that Maywere required under the new Federal Election Campaign Act to be reported and fully identified in public disclosure statements filed by the Finance Committee to Re-elect the President.

The law, which provides a penalty for failure to report of up to a year in prison and a \$1,000 fine for each offense, took effect April 7, 1972.

According to J. Curtis Herge, a former Nixon campaign officials of the Pennsylvania dairy co-op had originally sought Vice President Agnew as a speaker at their annual meeting in Coatsville Pa., on April 20, 1972.

Mr. Herge said today that he had told the F.B.I. that because "the entire transaction was carried out under the instructions of" Mr. Mitchell, he had assumed "absolutely" that the Lehigh Valley contribution would be properly handled and fully reported. He said he had only recently learned that it was not. It was not known whether the dairy donors had expected the gift to be disclosed.

Aside from the apparent violations of the Federal campaign finance law involved in the unreported contribution, the had only recently learned that it was not. It was not known whether the dairy donors had expected the gift to be disclosed.

Aside from the apparent violations of the Federal campaign finance law involved in the unreported contribution, the Pennsylvania dairymen came at a time of continuing public controversy over milk industry contributions to the Nixon campaign. Newspaper reports and the Nader lawsuit had already

Mr. Herge, sistant to Deputy Attorney General Joseph T. Sneed, said in an interview that the dairymen, through Mr.Mitchell, had offered a \$100,000 contribution for Mr. Agnew's appearance.

But because of scheduling difficulties, Mr. Herge said, the speaking date was filled instead by Secretary of Agriculture Earl L. Butz, for whom the dairymen were willing to give only \$50,000 page 120, and the Nader lawsuit had already charged that, in return for more than \$400,000 in Nixon campaign contributions from three Middle West and Southwest dairy farm co-ops, the White versed a published decision by career economists at the Agriculture Department to hold the price line and had ordered a price increase worth an estimated \$300-million a year to dairy farmers.