Ellsberg Judge Halts emands Data

By Sanford J. Ungar Washington Post Staff Writer

LOS ANGELES, April, 26-The judge in the Pentagon Papers trial angrily suspended proceedings and sent the jury home today after discovering that federal prosecutors had again apparently withheld evidence from the court.

"I'm only willing to go so far," U.S. District Court Judge W. Matt Byrne Jr. warned, as he demanded that the dence be flown here from Washington for evaluation of its bearing on charges of conspiracy, espionage and theft of government property against Daniel Ellsberg and Anthony J.Russo Jr.

The latest crisis in this lengthy trial arose during the final stages of the prosecution's rebuttal case.

Assistant prosecutor War-ren P. Reese told the judge as court convened this morning that four investigative reports, some of them almost two years old, had just been "found" in the offices of the general counsel of the Defense Department and the U.S. attorney here.

The reports are all based on government interviews with officers and employees of the Rand Corp. in Santa Monica, Calif., where Ellsberg was working as a researcher at the very solution working as a researcher at the time that he and Russo photocopied the Pentagon Papers in the fall of 1969.

They are important because of a difference between the prosecution and defense over whether the copy of the Pentagon Papers to which Ellsberg had access was supposed to be kept in Rand's "top-secret Rand's control system."

The defense says it was not, and after an intial peek at a few pages produced on Wednesday, claimed that prosecution witnesses from Rand may have changed details of their stories between talking to investigators and testifying in court.

How the question is re-solved could have a crucial ef-

generally considered the defense's most vulnerable area.

As defense attorneys protested the lateness of their discovery and the judge fumed, Reese insisted that "there was certainly no deliberate or conscious effort on our part to avoid" providing material which the defense may be legally entitled to see.

prosecutor said problems arose because of the government's burden of going through "a mountain of documents" connected with the Pentagon Papers trial.

Byrne, unsympathetic, lectured Reese that "the burden of the government cannot be weighed with the rights of the defendants. The rights of the defendants must prevail."

The judge seemed to share the defense attorneys' skepticism about the prosecutor's explanation, and he reacted angrily when Reese told him that the reports would not leave Washington on a plane

until about 6 p.m.
"That will make an enjoyable evening for me (reading the reports)," Byrne remarked. "There's no reason they "There's no reason they couldn't be here earlier. See if there is a military flight coming this way."

Later. Reese advised the judge that "special mission air-craft" had been assigned by the

piled by the Defense Supply Agency, the inspector general of the Air Force and the Los Angeles regional office of the Pentagon's Defense Contract Administrative Services, the wake of disclosure of the Pentagon Papers in newspapers in June, 1971.

agent interviews with Henry on their testimony. S. Rowen, who was president of the Rand Corp. at the time and was scheduled to be a in the case of Brady v. Maryprosecution rebuttal witness this week.

and Russo. Those counts are been formally interrupted because of the possible suppression of evidence by the prosecution.

Hardly had the case begun judge when it was discovered that hat Defense Department analyses delibort on tionship to the "national deviding fense" had been withheld in violation of a pre-trial order.

Then, as today, the material came to light only after de-fense attorneys had learned of it through their own sources and pressed the judge to require disclosure.

On that occasion, the judge punished the prosecution by precluding certain evidence, which led to dismissal of one of the espionage counts against Ellsberg.

Last summer, the trial was stopped after a jury had already been sworn because of an eleventh-hour revelation of a government wiretap that overheard a defense attorney, and earlier this year the de-fense accused the Justice Department of trying to "silence" a Central Intelligence Agency official who wanted to testify.

Byrne ruled today that the newly discovered reports must be turned over to him, so that he can determine whether the defense is entitled to portions of them under two Supreme Court decisions.

In one of them, the high court reversed the conviction of Communist labor leader Washington were com- Clinton E. Jencks in 1957 and established a rule requiring that the prosecution turn over criminal defendants written reports on government witnesses.

Congress modified the deci sion with the "Jencks Act" later the same year, but in in this trial among others it has A fourth one, located in the meant that prosecutors must U.S. attorney's office here and make available before cross-turned over to the judge this examination all prior stateturned over to the judge this examination all prior state-morning, was based on FBI ments of witnesses which bear

land, requiring that the prosecution produce all evidence tending to exculpate, or estabsolved could have a crucial effect on the theft counts in the indictment against Ellsberg which began in January, has a tending to exculpate, or establish the innocence of, defendants.