

Can't Give Full Transcript--DA

Bares Basis for Denying Andrews' Motion

The district attorney's office went into Criminal District court Monday and said it is prohibited from giving Dean A. Andrews a complete transcript of his grand jury testimony, part of which was the basis for the suspended Jefferson Parish assistant district attorney's perjury indictment.

In an answer to motions filed by Andrews for a full transcript, the district attorney's office said it cannot release any more than the testimony cited in the grand jury indictment. About 3,500 words of testimony was cited in the indictment, forming the basis for five counts of perjury.

Andrews and his attorney, Sam Monk Zelden, previously claimed that vital portions of the testimony are missing from the indictment and that it is therefore defective.

Andrews appeared in the courtroom of Judge Frank J. Shea before Assistant District Attorney James L. Alcock filed the state's answer. However neither he nor Zelden was present for the filing.

Andrews spoke with Judge Shea for approximately 15 minutes, and left when he learned his motions would not be argued Monday. Judge Shea set a tentative hearing for 10:30 a.m. next Monday.

'NONE . . . VIOLATED'

The judge said Andrews indicated he may file a supplementary motion to quash his indictment. In this case the hearing will be reset for May 22, the judge said.

In its answer to Andrews' motion to quash and three motions aimed at getting his full testimony, the state said it had "fully conformed to the requirements . . . of the Code of Criminal Procedure, and that none of the constitutional rights of the defendant have been violated . . ."

It also said that "the state is

prohibited . . . from revealing any more of the transcribed testimony which was given by the accused to the grand jury than such testimony as is necessary to indict the accused for perjury.

"All remaining transcribed testimony is protected by a cloak of secrecy."

Meanwhile Monday an attorney for Clay L. Shaw said that the figure PO 19106 in Lee Harvey Oswald's address book was a telephone number in Russia. District Attorney Jim Garrison said Friday that it is the code form for Jack Ruby's 1963 unlisted phone number in Dallas, and said the same figure exists in Shaw's seized address book.

CLAIMS PROOF

With the figure in Shaw's book was listed a Lee Odom of Dallas, whom Shaw said he met in 1965.

Attorney Salvador Panzeca said the defense will prove Oswald's listing was a Russian phone number and will bring Odom into court.

Shaw is charged with having conspired with Oswald, the late David W. Ferrie and unspecified others to murder President John F. Kennedy.

Also Monday Alvin R. Beauboeuf went to the Police Bureau of Investigation to file a complaint in connection with an alleged bribery attempt by two of Garrison's police investigators.

With him was his attorney Burton Klein, who first dis-

T-P
5-16-67

cussed the matter with Superintendent of Police Joseph I. Giarrusso on May 5. The district attorney's police investigators are members of the Police	Department assigned to his office. It was the first time Beauboeuf appeared to make a formal complaint.
--	---