(Aso filed Nix Ad, Surv)

The Issue of Liberty

By ANTHONY LEWIS

ABROAD AT HOME

WASHINGTON, Oct. 8- Mrs. Kay

WASHINGTON Oct 8— Nrs. Kay Worden is a Wester Mass, appased who feels deeply about the Vietnam war During 17 Fe about the Vietnam of the Vietnam war of the Vietnam of the

matters of personal liberty.

Wiretapping is one example. The Justice Department has made a point of the small number of wiretapping authorizations in has sought and obtained from the courts—285 in the year 1971 but those are the taps under law. What is inore interesting is the tapping done without any legal authority—until recently under a claim of inherent halloral security power that the Supreme Court unammously rejected. rejected

No one knows how many telephones had been tapped or how many conver-sations overheard in the name of security. No statistics are published. But enough of this activity surfaces from time to time in court cases to suggest that it is substantial.

a surper of eccasions recently the latter of occasions recently the latter can ment has chosen not a proceed with a case rather than to close the facts of its wheetapping. When select to provide a list of these, the denominant over a week did not proceed a least half a dozen examples in the last three months.

In July the Government dropped assign the graphs against a leader in 1971 agricult diemonstrations, Bradford Lyttle prosecutors, said Lyttle had been overheard an

surveillances that the tip on was to disclose A case rearrest Albertain and was dismissed the analysis and so was one against time white partners."

In August the Justice Despriments abandooed a perjor prosecution of Lestie Bacon, with had been questioned by a grand to viabelli the 1971 pombing of the Capitels It did so when asked to disclose any electronic surveillance of Miss Bacon.

In September the contempt charges against Boomy Seale arising out of the Chicago conspically true are 1 appeding 6.5, afformey in Chicago explained that it would be 'minimical to our national security' to let Seale's lewvers see transcripts of electronic eaves-drepping on him. And a Federal Court of Apocals dismissed contempt charges against four antiwar veterans who had the court acted, it said, when the Government failed to deny the use of illegal telephone taps on the man.

These published instances sound like

the tip of an iceberg of electronic surthe tip of an iceberg of electronic surveillance on alleged security grounds. And of course we know also that in Daniel Elisberg's case tapping intruded in the essential privacy of the relationship between a defendant and his advisers—and that Republicans right up into the White House did not hesitate to tap and physically invade Democratic headquariers.

Wiretapping is only one area of insensitivity to the right of privacy

insensitivity to the right of privacy and civil liberties generally. Another of great gravity is the Administration's attitude toward the press and publishing. The Nixon Justice Department has called reporters before grand juries, and scholars, to an extent that disturbs many sober men. It has taken the

extraordinary step of harassing the Beacon Press, a respected publishing house, for publishing a text of the Pentagon Papers after the Government Printing Office issued its version. Other examples of pressure and intimidation abound.

For all these reasons, the outlook for individual liberty in this country must he regarded as a fundamental issue in the election campaign. It is not one that is much articulated, or perhaps that can be, but many people are nevertheless aware of its implicit significance.

A President has enormous influence on the state of American liberty. He sets a lone of concern or contempt by his own discourse. Most important, he makes the appointments to the Supreme Court that will define the Constitution long after he has left office. The most disturbing prospect in a second term for Richard Nixon is his likely choice of the prosecutors to police us and the judges to expound our freedoms.