## THE NEW YORK TIMES, TUESDAY, MARCH 28, 1972

## GRISWOLD BACKS SPYING BY ARMY

Civilians Was Unwise

Civilians Was Unwise

"What was done—as unwise as it might have been—does not violate a statute or the Constitution and what was done was stopped."

As a result of their suit, the United States Court of Appeals bid by the Senator for time to make his presentation. He has assailed Army surveillance of civilians, but insisted that neither the Constitution nor Federal law had been violated. "From my point of view it was poor judgment," Solicitor General Erwin N. Griswold said of the monitoring of individuals and nine groups that of the monitoring of individuals and special of the monitoring of individuals and sand groups by some 1,000 Army agents beginning in the summer of 1965. "It was an inappropriate use of military resources." However, the top department of the Senator said where the constitution and what was done was stopped."

Said.

Ervin Bid Rejected

Last week the Court rejected bid by the Senator for time to make his presentation. He has assailed Army surveillance on repeated occasion in the Senate of coasion in the Senate of coasion in the Senator for time to make his presentation. He has assailed Army surveillance of the District of Columbia or the make his presentation. He has assailed Army surveillance of the District of the District of Columbia or the make his presentation. He has assailed Army surveillance on repeated occasion in the Senate of the way the Army gathered bid by the Senator for time to make his presentation. He has assailed Army surveillance on repeated occasion in the Senate of the way the Army gathered bid by the Senator for time to make his presentation. He has assailed Army surveillance on repeated occasion in the Senate of the make his presentation. He has assailed Army surveillance on repeated occasion in the Senate of the information.

In this or all Rejected

Last week the Court rejected bid by the Senator for time to make his presentation. He has assailed Army surveillance on repeated occasion in the Senator for the District Ordered what amounted to a trial of the way the Arm

except for one copy and the which directed that the military targets of Army surveillance data banks dismantled.

But Says Surveillance of hearing, Mr. Griswold stated:

"What was done—as unwise said.

has been destroyed most importantly one of 1878, ffiled the suit were themselves tat banks dismantled.

"not be used for police purand information about them had speaking at a high court poses." "And that includes deben collected, fed into data tective work," Senator Ervin banks and distributed through

lawyer continued, the surveil-the surveillance had violated filed the suit were themselves lance has stopped, the so-called the Constitution and three laws, targets of Army surveillance the Army intelligence network.

Pennsylvania; the Education Group of Knoxville, Tenn.; Veterans for Peace in Vietnam; The American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employes; the Vietnam Moratorium Committee; Clergy and Laymen Concerned About Vietnam, and the War Resisters League.

Professor Askin of Rutgers said the suit were made "of sterner stuff" than Mr. Griswold had indicated.

The professor said it charged that the purpose and effect of the surveillance was to make people fearful of protesting Government policies since what they said would be recorded by the Army.

Under the Government's theory, the professor said, the courts could not review Army surveillance until a specific individual proved he lost his freedom of speech and also lost his job or went to jail.