Federal Monitors—Or Keyhole Kopsi

constitutionally guaranteed rights. To most of us, Orwell's 1984 is military spying is symptomatic public mea culpas by former agents be insignificant, especially since the cently dismissed a lawsuit to halt spearean allusion a Federal judge re-ANN ARBOR, Mich. — "Much ado about nothing." With that Shakeour lives and heightened threats to growing governmental intrusion have helped abort its expansion. But Taken alone, the Army's activity may the alleged ill effects of surveillance. Kops," the judge was unimpressed by ligence as an "assemblage of Keystone citizens. Characterizing military intel-Army spying on the lawful conduct of

exaggerated science fiction. Yet revemittees have sketched a disheartening counted and interrogated more than at privacy are being destroyed. Today bastions of physical and informational panorama of how many traditiona apply for life insurance or credit, seek opened whenever we file a tax return any time in history. A dossier is Americans are scrutinized, watched, lations before Congressional subcomory-tracks that may later betray our government benefits or interview for a activities, habits and associations. electronic tracks in a computer's memroom or rent a car, we often leave job. And when we fly, reserve a hotel

are using computers and microfilm to that the Government only monitors society's "crazies." Yet in recent collect, store and exchange personal the extent to which Federal agencies information. People erroneously assume verse information file, N.S.F.'s data months the existence of H.U.D.'s ad-Americans are generally unaware of

bank on scientists, the Customs Bureau's computerized bank on "suspects," the Civil Service Commission's veillance of elected officials, clergy-men, the N.A.A.C.P., the A.C.L.U. and sirables"-as well as the Army's sur-"investigative" and "security" files, the Women Strike for Peace—has come the Secret Service's dossiers on "unde-

data banks are assured, their dangers may outweigh their benefits. This is particularly true in the law-enforcemany occur during lawful demonstrament field, where arrest records are tion, even fewer to convictions, and (many arrests never lead to prosecubeginning to be computerized despite their notoriously misleading character Unless the security and integrity of

sharing arrest records. But absent will be available to thousands of local customers or peddling these unreliable on prospective insurance or real estate moonlighting officers from checking effective controls, what will prevent terminals. No one disputes the legitipolice stations through remote access National Crime Information Center, it poses? records for other inappropriate purmacy or importance of police agencies If this data is added to the F.B.I.'s

reactivism, Federal surveillance sysof today's student activism and public and President Nixon's proposed welfare program will give H.E.W. authorsensitive but virtually unprotected ciency will produce even more com-prehensive information networks. Fedwith state agencies. Given the polarity ity to exchange individualized data Migrant Worker Children Data Bank eral funding already is supporting a Demands for governmental effi-

tems and educational data centers ultimately may be linked, under the long-range implications of the President's request for funds to infiltrate F.B.I. agents. university campuses with 1,000 new

functions and typically ignores its "chilling" effect. Citizens who fear ple engaging in lawful social and political activities often has little releing to "stick their necks out" to pursue vance to legitimate governmental return to McCarthyism. Thus, claims If so, today's surveillance efforts conbly and petitioning the Government. ord prison" psychosis and become willthey are on file may develop a "recof governmental efficiency or the quest tain the seeds of a police state or a constitutional rights of speech, assem-

gress as well as in the vacy of our fellow men when they are of different ingness to protect the prination, there is an unwillany form, until each of us different mode of life. political persuasion, difdistinguish tyranny in we shall not be truly free." ferent economic status or the other man's privacy, has the courage to protect Until Americans learn to "Too often, in the Con--SENATOR ERVIN

Building dossiers or spying on

demand for gathering personal data. must not be allowed to justify every for the holy grail of "law and order"

the Government's data activities and right of privacy or expanding the First tically that revitalizing the judge-made privacy. As a result, the citizen-Govagainst the abuse of our informational cient response to the challenge. Amendment freedoms is an insuffiernment balance is changing so drasno one has undertaken to guard There are no effective restraints on

time probably would be difficult to draft, politically unfeasible and potensafeguard these rights is indicated. by privacy experts exercising continution of a watchdog organization staffed tially unsatisfactory. However, crea-Detailed Federal legislation at this ing supervision over governmental data activities should be explored. Direct Congressional intervention to

we shall stumble from one surveillance essential to generate public awaretion gathering starting Tuesday. Con-tinued ventilation of this problem is ranging hearings on Federal informastitutional Rights. He plans wideperceived by Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr., chairman of the Subcommittee on Consion of our rights by bureaucrats and our lawmakers to halt the steady eroexpose to another but never arouse First Amendment freedoms. Otherwise ness of the threat to our privacy and siers and data banks rather than of computerniks. A dictatorship of dostatorship. hobnailed boots is nonetheless a dic-The need for Federal action is clearly

Arthur R. Miller is a law professor at the University of Michigan and the author of "The Assault on Privacy: Computers, Data Banks and Dossiers."