## TAPS DATA MAYBEINCOMPLETE

JUN 6 1975

By JOHN M. CREWDSON

WASHINGTON, June 5—The Federal Bureau of Investigation's massive index of individuals who have been overheard on F.B.I. wiretaps may be incomplete because of a quirk in the bureau's filing system, according to a former official of the F.B.I.

Ernest H. Belter, who for several years supervised the monitoring of national security wiretaps in the bureau's field office here, described the quirk as "an admitted weakness of the system" in a deposition taken last April in connection with a civil lawsuit.

The deposition, obtained by The New York Times, was taken by attorneys for Morton H. Haperin, a former official of the National Security Council, who is charging Secretary of State Kissinger and others with initiating an illegal tap on his home telephone.

Criminal and civil rights lawyers said today that the potential incompleteness of the files appeared to provide grounds for convicted criminals appeared to provide grounds for convicted criminals for the potential incompleteness of the files appeared to provide grounds for convicted criminals appeared to provide grounds for convicted criminals appeared to provide grounds for convicted criminals.

The potential incompleteness of the files appeared to provide grounds for convicted criminals.

The potential incompleteness of the files appeared to provide grounds for convicted criminals.

The potential incompleteness of the files appeared to provide grounds for convicted criminals.

The potential incompleteness of the files appeared to provide grounds for convicted criminals.

The potential incompleteness of the files appeared to provide grounds for convicted criminals. Special to The New York Times WASHINGTON, June 5—The gating the case.

Criminal and civil rights lawyers said today that the potential incompleteness of the files appeared to provide grounds for convicted criminals to the polytical state.

Charles R. Nesson, a daw professor at Harvard who professor at Harvard who helped in getting the deposition Mr. Belter, said in a telephone interview from Los Angeles that he expected to cite the former F.B.I. official's statements in moving next week to quash a Federal grand jury subpoena of Emile de Antonio, a New York filmmaker.

Mr. de Antonio Haskell Way.

Mr. de Antonio, Haskell Wexler, the cinematographer, and Mary Lampson have been summoned to appear before the jury on June 12 to testify about a film they are producing on the Weather Underground, a

terrorist group.

Mr. Belter's testimony concerned the procedures that are followed within the bureau af-

on an existing wiretap.

As Mr. Belter explained it, if the target of an F.B.I. tap calls a second party, or is called by a second party, the F.B.I.

clerk monitoring the conversation is instructed to prepare an entry for the so-called Elsur file—for electronic surveillance—only if he can positively identify the second individual.

Lawyers Say Possible Gaps
Could Force New Trials
NYTIMES

By JOHN M. CREWDSON

Lawyers Say Possible Gaps
Said, the monitor is uncertain of the individual's name and does not fill out such a tard; he only enters a phonetic spelling of the name in his wiretage in got the name in his wiretage in the process of the process of the process of the monitor is uncertain of the individual.

In many instances, Mr. Belter said, the monitor is uncertain of the individual.

Said, the monitor is uncertain of the individual. log, which is then passed to the F.B.I. agent who is investi-

working on a case.

grounds for convicted criminals to win new trials if they could establish that they had been victimized by such a bureaucratic lapse.

"There's certainly a lot of room for movement by lawyers who want to move to tacate convictions," said John H. Shattuck, a lawyer in the New Yonk office of the American Civil Liberties Union.

"Most of these cases," Mr. Nesson said today, "come back Testimony Concern Procedure with a hlanket denial" of any

Testimony Concern Procedure
"I think," he added, "that this has really got to be tested in a criminal case."

Charles R. Nesson, at law professor, at Law pr

In current criminal cases where wiretap disclosures are being requested, he said, defense lawyers were not likely to be satisfied with a Government response that no entry for the defendant evitation. for the defendant exists in the Elsur file, but to request a check of the investigative indices and of the summaries of the coverheard conversations themselves.

In past cases, Mr. Nesson said, the possibility of an error would "without question" be raised. If, he said, it were determined at a post-conviction hearing that an illegal surveil-lance had occurred, but had not been reflected in the Elsur file and reported to the defen-dant, a new trial could be ob-tained.