## Contempt Convictions Are Upset In Chicago 7 Conspiracy Trial

NYTimos

Special to The New York Times

By SETH S. KING MAY 1 2 1972

CHICAGO, May 11 - Contempt-of-court convictions re- Hoffman and the defendants Weiner, were sentenced for con- man, and directed that a difsulting from the Chicago trial and their lawyers. on conspiracy to incite riots at the Democratic National Convention in 1968 were overturned today and remanded to the United States District Court

The reversals involved con-

tempt convictions of the Chicago Seven defendants: Bobby G. Seale, Black Panther party leader, and their two lawyers.

for a new trial before a new

However, in its unanimous ruling, a three-judge panel of the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh District did not rule on convictions of five of the Chicago Seven on charges that they had crossed a state line with intent to incite a riot and had delivered inflammatory speeches toward that end. Appeals on these convictions are still being considered.

The three-judge panel cited a Supreme Court decision that a judge who has been insulted in court may instantly impose a contempt sentence but if he waits until the end of the trial,

Continued on Page 11, Column 1

he should let another judge punish the offender.

The appellate judges also declared that those defendants who had received sentence of more than six months for contempt were entitled to a jury

During the long and dis-orderly trial there were fre-quent verbal clashes between Federal District Judge Julius J.

erations at the end of the trial, and 10 days for Mr. Froines which cost the Government which began Sept. 24, 1969, and and two months and 18 days more than \$2-million, the jury

But Judge Hoffman waited from 29 months and 16 days trials ont the contempt charges. until the jury began its delib-for Mr. Dellinger to five months After the tumultuous trial

Weinglass.

At the same time the seven defendants, David Dellinger, Rennie Davis, Thomas E. Hay-fendants and two attorneys. But den, Abbie Hoffman, Jerry it set aside the severe sen-rolling and Lee tences imposed by Judge Hoffwar, was on a motion for a new

tempt. These sentences ranged ferent Federal judge conduct

which began Sept. 24, 1969, and was completed Feb. 18, 1970, to impose contempt convictions on the two defense lawyers, witham M. Kunstler and Leonard Weinglass. These ranged from 48 months and 13 days for Mr. Kunstler to 20 months and 16 days for Mr. Kunstler to 20 months and 16 days for Mr. The appellate court ruling to-At the same time the seven and two months and 18 days for Mr. Weiner guilty of cross-ing state lines to incite a riot. Judge Hoffman sentenced the five others ho five years in prison and fined each \$5,000. Conspiracy and incitement-to-riot charges against Mr. Seale were later dropped at day left the contempt charges the request of the United States

hearing filed in March, 1971, by the United States Attorney after the Supreme Court ruling of Jan. 20, 1971, requiring immediate imposition of contempt sentances or trial by another

The United States Attorney's action may have averted an appellate court ruling dismissing the contempt charges en-

The appellate court, The appellate court, in to-by Judges Thomas E. Fairchild, Wilbur J. Pell and Walter J. Cummings, dropped half of the contempt charges pending against Mr. Kunstler and Mr. Weinglass. Most of the dropped charges were for arguments with Judge Hoffman after he had ordered them to desist.