AUG 2 6 1973

James Reston Slightly fuller version Normal Normal Confusion Confusion Gets Worse Gets Worse

Washington

THE GOVERNMENT of the U.S. has often been described as a kind of organized disorder, but lately the normal confusion has declined into an illogical and sometimes even irrational babble of astonishing contradictions.

The week before last, President Nixon was ap-

pealing to the nation over TV to forget the past and settle down to the positive programs of the future, but last week in New Orleans he was raking over all the old arguments of the war, engaging in an angry shoving match on TV with his own press secretary, and fussing with his own Secret Service for taking prudent precautions to protect his own

Instead of settling down, Mr. Nixon seems to be getting more restless, frustrated, and separated from his own office and



James Reston

even from the rest of his administration. Lately he has been secluded on his mountaintop at Camp David more than at the White House.

M EANWHILE, in his absence, we have a public row between Vice President Agnew and Attorney General Elliot Richardson, the vice President accusing the Justice Department of trying to indict and convict him in the press and demanding on TV that Richardson put Justice Department officials under oath to discover the source of the leaks.

The atmosphere now is poisonous with rumors that Mr. Nixon's people leaked the news that Agnew was being investigated for criminal activity in order to divert attention from Watergate to the Vice President, and even that the alleged assassination conspiracy in New Orleans was exaggerated in order to create public sympathy for the President.

The President's last two speeches illustrate confusion of thought and purpose. In the first, he concluded with a plea to the people for understanding, for rededication to "the principles of decency, honor and respect for our institutions" and for "a commitment by all of us to show a renewed respect for the mutual restraints that are the mark of a free and a civilized society.'

But less than a week later, after condemning those who put their ends ahead of their means, he was proclaiming that his objective of peace justified the bombing of Cambodia and keeping this secret from the American people. And while calling for a new spirit of conciliation at home, he was attacking those who criticized his policies and asserting not only that he was right in the secret bombing but that he would do it all over again in the same circumstances.

HE SIMPLY dismisses the protests against the bombing by saying they are "absurd" and defends the secrecy by saying the bombings were disclosed, not to the leaders of Congress, but to "the appropriate congressional leaders," meaning those in the military affairs committees who favored his policies.

He sees no conflict in this with his statement in the TV speech that the abuses of Watergate "resulted from the assumption by those involved that their cause placed them beyond the reach of those rules that apply to other persons . .

No conflict in his refusal to hand over any incriminating evidence out of the Watergate tapes with his statement, "Far from trying to hide the facts, my effort throughout has been to discover the facts and to lay those facts before the appropriate law enforcement authorities .

No conflict in his appeal for "confidentiality" with the admitted fact that he was bugging the conversations of his visitors without their knowledge, no conflict in his appeal for privacy in the relations between lawyer and client, priest and penitent, husband and wife with the violation of that privacy in the burglarizing of Daniel Ellsberg's psychiatrist's files.

* Γ HE TIME has come to turn Watergate over to the courts," he says, because "a continued backward-

looking obsession with Watergate is causing this nation to neglect matters of far greater importance."

It is easy to say, and understandable enough, that many people are sick of Watergate and all this contention, but the main point is that the manufacture. tion, but the main point is that the mentality that conducted the war and made Watergate is still with us.

The President is still asserting that the Ervin committee and the critics are "increasingly absorbed in trying to implicate the President personally in the illegal activities that took place" and that others unnamed are trying to "exploit Watergate in order to bear us from doing what we were elected to do." keep us from doing what we were elected to do .

In one speech he plays the role of the Great Conciliator, calling for forgetfulness of the past, and in the next he is a glory merchant, forgetting nothing and forgiving nothing. It is all very odd, and even a little frightening.

New York Times