Mansfield Offers Amendment For a Pullout Within 9 Months

JUN 22 1971 By JOHN W. FINNEY scial to The New York Times

Senator Mike Mansfield, the Ma- involvement in the Vietnam war jority Leader, unexpectedly in- by publishing part of a secret troduced today an amendment to the Selective Service bill of the war. calling on the President to withdraw all American forces from coming increasingly involved in Indochina within nine months, the Senate debate on Vietnam.

in Administration ranks, which ator Mansfield, were citing the resorted to parliamentary ma- disclosures in the study as an neuvers to prevent an immedi- additional reason why Congress ate vote on the troop withdraw- should assert its voice in bringal amendment while they re- ing the war to an end.

New York Times of having vio-pointed to deception in prelated the espionage laws and

WASHINGTON, June 21-|for an early end to American

The Pentagon study was be-The move caused confusion Critics of the war, such as Sen-

grouped their forces.

Meanwhile, Senator Gordon
L. Allott of Colorado, chairman
Senator Robert J. Dole, chairof the Senate Republican Pol- man of the National Committee, icy Committee, accused The were arguing that the study

of having jeopardized chances Continued on Page 19, Column 1

ALLOTT CHARGES TIMES VIOLATION

Says Publication of Secret table.

which calls for withdrawal from Indochina within nine months contigent upon the release of American prisoners of war, was given a good chance of passage by the Senate when it comes to a vote later this week.

First, however, the Senate will vote tomorrow on an amendment sponsored by two Republicans, Marlow W. Cook of Kentucky and Ted Stevens of Alaska, requiring withdrawal

of Kentucky and Ted Stevens of Alaska, requiring withdrawal within nine months but with the provision that the withdrawal deadline be suspended if North Vietnam did not agree within 60 days of the legislation's enactment to release American prisoners of war. The Cook-Stevens amendment to the Selective Service bill before the Senate is expected to be defeated, although narrowly. In contrast to the Cook-Stevens amendment, which would cut off funds for deployment of troops in Indochina, the Mansfield amendment would represent a nonbinding expres
Republicans, Mariow W. Cook lease of American prisoners of war.

Call for Cease-Fire

Aside from calling upon the President to set a specific date for troop withdrawals, the Mansfield amendment urges the Mansfield amendment urges the Vietnam to be followed by a phased withdrawal of American troops in return for a phased of American prisoners of troop withdrawals, the Mansfield amendment urges the Vietnam to be followed by a phased withdrawal of American prisoners of war.

The Senate, subject only use.

sion of policy. In that respect, the Mansfield amendment may pick up a crucial few votes in a Senate where there is considerable reluctance to use the Congressional power over the pursestrings to force the President to withdraw from Vietnam under a specific time

Pentagon Study Imperils

Early End to War

Continued From Page 1, Col. 8

vious administrations. They also said that publication of parts of the study at this time was irresponsible.

The Mansfield amendment, which calls for withdrawal from Indochina within nine

Vietnam under a specific time-table.

Even though it does not cut off funds, the Mansfield amendment, as seen by the Majority Leader, would be part of a deliberate strategy by the war critics to impose a withdrawal policy on the President.

The Mansfield amendment, would fill the policy void created by the repeal last year of the 1965 Tonkin Gulf Resolution authorizing the President to take all necessary steps to repel Communist aggression in Southeast Asia.

M. Kennedy of Massachusetts ments "show with unmistakable said, "testifies to the political involvement in the Vietnam war

Publication of parts of the Vietnam study, he said, "underscores the responsibility of the Senate and the Congress to seek ways and means to go beyond winding down the war." Congress, he said, must now "try to do what we can to speed up the process withdrawal which has dragged on for more than two years with thousands more casualties accumulating during that the docu
ment in the Vietnam war," he said.

"The publication of these documents and the attendant denigration of American lead-tonal amendment on freedom of the press "has never protected said in a statement, "can obviously only complicate and classified information relating possibly jeopardize" the objectives of withdrawing American forces, securing the release of which way."

Representatives John E. Moss, Democrat of California, and Ogden R. Reid, Republican of Westchester County, announced that they would file a civil suit under the Freedom of Information relating possibly jeopardize" the objectives of withdrawing American duty to determine which documents of westchester County, announced that they would file a civil suit under the Freedom of Information of American lead-denigration of American lea

Contending that the docu-

ments "show with unmistakable that would impose an absolute clarity that party politics had limit of 2.4 million men on the size of the armed forces by the end of the next fiscal year. The Senate Armed Services Committee had recommended such a limit in the legislation but had given the President discretionary authority for the diling. In arguing against any discretionary authority for the President, Senator Kennedy is aid of Senator Mansfield state the Pentagon study on the Victnam war showed that "we glin Congress] have been by standers, permitted only to receive those documents and those reports that would support the foreign policy decisions already concluded by the same argument in offering his amendment now rather than later in the year, as he had originally planned. Without passing judgment on the Ad ministration's legal challenge to publication of the study, Senator Mansfield said the publication of the study, Senator Mansfield said the publication of the study was at the year, as he had originally planned. Without passing judgment on the Ad ministration's legal challenge to publication of the study, Senator Mansfield said the publication of the study was at the publication of the study was an atom of Mansfield said the publication of the study was and for copies of the Pentagon and for copies of the Pentagon and for copies of the Pentagon that once great paper. That study. The Times now tries to justify that once of that paper. The Times now tries to justify that once of that paper. The tries to do the arrogance of the paper. The tries to do the study. The Times now tries to justify that once of that paper. The tries to do the study. The Times now tries to justify that once of that paper. The tries to do the study was all fanaticism which now grips and for copies of the Pentagon that once of the paper. The tries to do the arrogance of the paper. The tries to do the study and the said that over the report of the study to constitute the publication of the study by the said "The Times now tries to justify

originally planned. Without passing judgment on the Administration's legal challenge to publication of the study, Senator Mansfield said the published documents "confirm" that "this nation has been bound to a course in Vietnam and elsewhere by one branch of the Government — the Executive branch — with virtually no participation of the Congress."

Detailed in the Administration's legal challenge to Violation by Times Charged "The New York Times has violated the laws of the country, has breached the trust of our nation's leaders in their dealing with foreign governments and has, by its action, Democrat of upstate New York, described publication of the study by The New York Times and The Washington Post as "un-American in the very literal sense of that word" in that "it can only damage our country—at a time of war—and give massive aid and comfort to the enemy."

The Publication of the study by The New York Times and The Washington Post as "un-American in the very literal sense of that word" in that "it can only damage our country—at a time of war—and give massive aid and comfort to the enemy."

"The publication of the study by The New York Times and The Washing-ton Post as "un-American in the very literal sense of that word" in that "it can only damage our country—at a time of war—and give massive aid and comfort to the enemy."

ments on Vietnam and Laos.

Under this parliamentary device, a "resolution of inquiry" must be reported back from committee to the House floor within seven legislative days. If approved, the resolutions would represent formal Congressional demands on the executive branch for the documents.

Mr. McCloskey, a leading Re-

branch for the documents.

Mr. McCloskey, a leading Republican critic of President Nixon's Vietnam policies, said the documents make it unmistakably clear that the United States "encouraged and authorized" the overthrow on Nov. 1, 1963, of the South Vietnamese President, Ngo Dinh Diem.

Representatives John E. Moss, Democrat of California, and Ogden R. Reid, Republican of Westchester County, announced that they would file a civil suit under the Freedom of Information Act if the executive branch