Schlesinger Says Nixon Can't Be Coerced on Arms

NYTimes

By JOHN W. FINNEY MAR 29 1974

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, March 28—putes that exist within the Secretary of Defense James R. United States," the Defense Schlesinger said today that if Secretary declared. sions on a strategic arms agree- deny suggestions that the Pres-

Schlesinger said that the Presi- ened by the Watergate affair. dent would not rush into such an agreement to improve his said, "continues to be in a domestic political position.

do nothing to compromise national security in the long run, irrespective of any political dis-

the Soviet Union believed that While Mr. Schlesinger did it could take advantage of Pres- not voluntarily raise the issue, ident Nixon's difficulties over he spoke at length and with Watergate to obtain conces-unusual emphasis in seeking to ment it "would soon be disabused of this notion." ident's bargaining position in the negotiations with the So-Speaking in response to ques- viet Union on limited strategic tions at a news conference, Mr. arms had been critically weak-

position in which it can demand "Anybody who knows Mr. what is essential for the long-Nixon knows full well he would run security of the United States."

The news conference was held at the Pentagon shortly after a communiqué was issued in Moscow making clear that Secretary of State Kissinger, in discussions with the Soviet party leader, Leonid I. Brezhnev, had failed to achieve the "conceptual breakthrough" he had been seking for a permanent agreement limiting offensive strategic weapons. In 1972, the United States and the Soviet Union concluded an interim accord covering some offensive arms for five years.

As interpreted by Mr. Schlesinger, the discussions between Mr. Kissinger and Mr. Brezhnev resulted in "clarification of certain questions," a better understanding of basic issues to be resolved, but "not resolution of possible areas of differences."

To Mr. Schlesinger, this meant that "progress made" in the Moscow discussions, which were to lay the groundwork for President Nixon's trip to Moscow early this summer. At the same time, the

Continued on Page 5, Column 1

Continued From Page 1, Col. 7

Defense Secretary emphasized that no strategic-arms agreement would be reached at the Nixon-Brezhnev meeting unless the basic details had been worked out in advance.

"If it is impossible to reach the bases of agreement prior to the summit meeting with regard to strategic weapons, such agreement will not take place at that time," he said. We must have a properly prepared agreement prior to agreements at the summit."

One of the common complaints within the Pentagon is that the present interim agreement on offensive weapons was hastily reached during Mr. Nixon's visit to Moscow in May, 1972. According to this complaint, the United States cessions that gave a potential Soviet Union.

At the news conference today, Mr. Schlesinger suggested that there was a certain flexibility in the United States' negotiating position.

gotiating position.

For example, he said it was "inevitable" that the Soviet Union would have to be allowed to deploy some missiles with multiple warheads known as MIRV's, if only because the Soviet Union would want to demonstrate the same "high technology capability" as the United States had in such warheads. The United States is ahead of the Soviet Union in the development of MIRV's.

The question to be resolved

The question to be resolved in the strategic arms negotiations, he said, is the "extent and sizing" of multiple warheads on both sides. The point that United States is seeking

ato emphasize, he said, is that a Soviet build-up in multiple warheads, which would be matched by the United States, would lead only to "instabilities" in the strategic balance and, therefore, it was in the Soviet interest to place constraints on multiple warheads.

Mr. Schlesinger declined to

Mr. Schlesinger declined to discuss how constraints could be placed on multiple warheads, whiche at this point are believed to be the major controversy blocking an agreement on strategic weapons. One approach known to be under consideration, however, is to place limitations on the numbers and size of missiles on both sides.

Alluding to that approach, Mr. Schlesinger said the United States was not insisting upon a "mirror image" of forces pos-sessed by each side but would accept "latitude for adjusting forces" within certain over-all limitations.

On another issue, Mr. Schles-inger said that a newly con-cluded financial agreement with West Germany should avert the necessity of withdrawing some

American troops from Europe.
Under the agreement, West
Germany will provide over a
two-year period \$2.24-billion in financial assistance to help off-set the balance-of-payments set the balance-of-payments deficit to the United States re-sulting from the stationing of

troops in Western Europe.

A House Armed Services
Committee, meanwhile, sent a
report to the House recommending against making any cuts in American forces in Europe at this time, on the ground that such action would undercut current negotiations with the Warsaw Pact nations on mutual force reductions in Central Europe.