ite House Vs. A

Washington

and policies. reprisal against its people The White House considered cutting off all federal research funds to the Technology as a politica Massachusetts Institute of

ed opposition to the idea April, 1972, but were never acted upon, at least partly bination of both. from the Pentagon or a comnancing the research, heatbecause of a lack of support from the federal agencies fiered in October, 1971, and The reprisals were consid-

cussed in two White House prisal against MIT was dismemoranda obtained and The idea of political re-

> of Science. ciation for the Advancement arm of the American Assoence magazine, editorial published yesterday by Sci-

Ehrlichman to President man. The second went from singer and John Ehrlichfrom former White House George Shultz, Henry Kisaide Jon Huntsman to then Nixon. presidential assistants The first memo was sent

man to the President in part as follows: 1972, memo from Ehrlich-Science quoted the April

on these specifics: "You should give guidance

partment of Defense) laser "Cut out the DOD (De-

defense programs as of June 30, 1972 (\$31 million); cellation penalties)." now (\$31 million), less cancancel non-defense contracts no further funding of non-

ed as writing: Ehrlichman is also report-

ly stop funds as of June 30 penalty claims and lawsuits. Such an order would actualand will be identified by conno further funding, rather tract number immediately. defense funds) is fair game (71 days from now)." than cancellation, to avoid The best method is to order "The \$31 million (non-

In response to the Science story, the White House said

program (\$40 million); order it could find no record of Ehrlichman's memo or Huntsman's memo. The continue to search its files White House said it would issue a denial that they had for both memos and did not been written.

of political reprisal against MIT raised by Mr. Nixon as at the time. by Huntsman, who was Kissinger and Ehrlichman was addressed to Shultz, far back as 1970. This memo tive" and discussed a plan White House staff secretary labeled "confidential/sensi-The Huntsman memo was

peared in the Wall Street Journal, Oct. 12, 1971 (about "Upon reading the at-

> San Francisco Chronicle ★★ Sat., July 14, 1973

antidefense bias." subsidy in view of Wiesner's dent's directive of a year ago to cut back on MIT's port on the progress that has was requested that you rethe ABM debate and the scientific community)," the been made on the Presi-Huntsman memo reads, "it

to the late President Kennedy who led academic opposianti-ballistic missile. Wiesand onetime science adviser by Huntsman is Jerome B. ABM wouldn't even work. ner argued publicly that the tion to development of the Wiesner, president of MIT The Wiesner referred to

Washington Post