some legislative procedure. And he is aster" for Congress to supplant or reinto the men's room of the Kremlin, who sounds as if he'd still like to lob one whose leaders got us into this war, that by the members of a political party subtlety, of hearing demands, especially "sick and tired," he said, with his usual duce the President's war powers with thinks it would be "nothing short of dis-President Nixon end the conflict on their NEW YORK - Sen. Barry Goldwater,

warning against tampering with the Constitution in reaction to Nixon's conduct since Lyndon Johnson left the White hawk feathers have turned dovish white recent presidential candidates, good many Democrats, including some study, Goldwater also may be right in House and Richard Nixon came in. And of the war in Vietnam. while the matter still needs a lot of Goldwater has a solid point about a whose

article in The New York Times Maga-Arthur Schlesinger Jr., who wrote in an support the conclusion of the historian, failure of the Constitution." tial war is now revealed as the great zine: "The inability to control presiden-Nevertheless, recent events tend to

Most shocking thing

admission of official administration The most shocking thing about the Christmas bombing campaign launched officials say he regards as an 11th-hour "the extent of his anger over what the sources, it was intended to show Hanoi by Nixon, for example, was that by the

ongress and the question of presidential war powers

Tom Wicker

briefly, Nixon ordered out the B52s for reneging on peace terms" and also to diplomatic reasons, tinged with personal force Hanoi to "negotiate seriously." Put

still no one has seriously argued that the Christmas bombing was demanded by context of an actual, if undeclared war; the exigencies of the war. Instead, it was necessary — in Nixon's view — to his der an act of war for a diplomatic purdiplomacy. If he can constitutionally ornecessary - in Nixon's view - to time he thought it could further his dippose, could he order a similar act at any lomatic or even economic policies? It is true that this was done in the

to airline hijackings to Cuba, can Nixon some act of expropriation by Peru? If his anger at Mrs. Gandhi's belligerence: have blasted New Delhi in order to show constitutionally bomb Havana to make Fidel Castro refuses to help put an end Lima in order to forestall or retaliate for him negotiate seriously? Or, during the India-Pakistan war, could he legally Could a president, for example, bomb

Numerous cases

until it is recalled that American presihave thought that American presidents tive; and a lot of people who might once would have you believe it is more effecdents often have sent in the Marines in numerous cases not much more outlan-These may seem frivolous questions-Bombing is quick and generals

> bitter education in the Dominican Republic, Guatemala, Laos, the Bay of Pigs, Cambodia and Vietnam. would not do such things have had a

Another chilling note

Another chilling note was struck by William P. Clements, nominated to be congressional committee he "wouldn't was "not prepared to give you a philosoclear weapons in Vietnam but in fact eliminate", the possibility of using nudeputy secretary of Defense, who told a was "a very complicated issue." phical view" on what he seemed to think

appear to be solid pledges that nuclear Departments immediately put out what The White House, State and Defense



'It's worth a try.'

weapons will not be used in Indochina a president pledge one thing, then seen But two points can be fairly made. One whereupon Clements hastily fell in American people on occasion have heard on or a successor; the other is that the promise which is in no way legally or him finally do another. perhaps even politically binding on Nixis that the administration has made a

er to respond quickly and decisively; vides some horrid examples, it is possimissiles a president must have the powbe necessary to preserve or restore ble to imagine situations in which some Moreover, even if the last decade prowithout that power the whole idea of a quick presidential action or threat might "nuclear deterrent" falls to pieces. enied that in the era of nuclear-tipped On the other hand, it can hardly be

The problem

not to make him their generalissimo. all, was to place a civilian commander-in-chief in restraint of the military — The original intent of the framers, afterimpulse, tantrum or imperial decision. war, or order acts of war, by whim, that function so that he cannot make mander-in-chief, but to define or restrain President's capacity to function as com-The problem, therefore, is to retain the

such limits are both understood and ena fashion - or defining them so that forceable - is not going to be easy and Barry Goldwater is probably right that legislative substitute is not the answer.
©, 1973, New York Times Service Restricting presidential powers in such