Rogers Finds Some Basis For Hope in Paris Talks

NYTimes By BERNARD GWERTZMAN JAN 2 8 1972 Special to The New York Times

Rogers said today that despite partment for the start of the Hanoi's public denunciations, two-day session. he was "somewhat encouraged" In answer to a by the absence of a North Viet-Rogers said it was natural to namese rejection of President inquire if the Administration's Nixon's latest Vietnam peace disclosure of the secret plan plan at the Paris talks.

the Paris session today, Mr. "My own view," he said, "is Rogers said that not only had that if there is any inclination the other side not rejected the at all on the part of the other eight-point plan, made public by side to negotiate a settlement-Mr. Nixon on Tuesday night, and I think that is in doubtbut also "they have been asking then the statement by the a few questions about what we President the other night, which

ican delegate to the Paris talks, think they will be more inwho gave him a briefing on clined to work out a negotiated today's session, at which Mr. settlement." Porter had outlined Mr. Nixon's Reporting on today's Paris peace plan. The plan had first session, he said: been offered privately to the "I am somewhat encouraged North Vietnamese in October by the fact that they have not by Henry A. Kissinger, the rejected our proposal in Paris President's adviser on national this morning. There has been a security, and Mr. Nixon dis-good deal of invective about it, closed its existence on Tuesday. but it hasn't been rejected.

Mr. Rogers spoke to a national foreign policy conference been asking a few questions for editors and broadcasters organized by the State Depart-Continued on Page 8, Column 1

WASHINGTON, Jan. 27 — ment. Newsmen or executives Secretary of State William P. from 40 states were at the de-

In answer to a question, Mr. would "speed up or delay the Offering an optimistic assessment of the give and take at settlement."

mean."

Was so effective, I think

Will have the effect of unifying

just spoken by phone with

William J. Porter, chief Amer
they are convinced of that, I

"And, secondly, they have

Rogers Voices Optimism for Gains in Paris Talks

Continued From Page 1, Col. 7

about what we mean, and how could they be sure that the electoral process would be fair?"

"Now, that is a good sign,"

"Now, that is a good sign," he said.

"That is exactly what negotiations are supposed to do," he added, "supposed to provide an opportunity for each side to ask the other, 'What do you mean?" and, 'How can we be sure?' and so forth Lot's work. sure?' and so forth. Let's work it out."

Continuing to enlarge on this theme, Mr. Rogers said:

"Now, if they should get in that frame of mind, so that they want to actually negotiate, that would be fine. It is possible that we could work out a settlement. It is a perfectly natural. ment. It is a perfectly natural question for them to say, 'Well, how can we be sure that the election will be fair?' Now, it seems to me, we have to say, 'Well, what do you want to do to provide fairness?'"

Asked to provide more detail on Mr. Rogers's reference to North Vietnamese questions, a State Department official said.

tall on Mr. Some to North Vietnamese questions, a State Department official said that at the Paris talks, the other side "asked directly or rhetorically, some interesting questions."

"The questions were couched completely fair."

"The provided have been discussed in the provided have been discussed in the

tons on those subjects have been asked before.

Others Not Optimistic

Mr. Rogers's apparent optimism about Paris talks was in contrast to widespread skepticism in Washington diplomatic circles. Most specialists on the Current Saigon believed that Hanoi would not agree to anything but a plan by which the Nixon plan public makes the Nixon Administration, although agreeable to new elections, would not take any action to president Ryguyen Van Thieu in Saigon and hasten a Communist assumption of power.

"We are completely flexible about how that election is to be conducted," the Secretarry went on, "or how we could determine who the people of South President's months of the Sixon Vietnam want to represent them, support here and abroad, Mr. Rogers said that the people in South Vietnam was suppressed in a dayana and the Philippines, and other countries—Thalland. China. But he said the United States wanted a caese-fire troughout Indochina. Including Cambodia and Lacos, and was washington of president the said the United States wanted as caese-fire troughout Indochina. Including Cambodia and Lacos, and was uniting to the world the true standing in the world the true that the said the United States wanted as caese-fire the scaes fire throughout the world that the resident of the said the United States wanted as caese-fire the scaes-fire the world charmal and Lacos, and was walting to the first we world the true standing in the world that the resident of the said the United States wanted as caese-fire the scaese-fire the scale that the resident of the Intal Asset would not give the saying that the Feoples Coandina, including Cambodia and Lacos, and was united that the said the United States wanted as caese-fire the said the Intal Asset world was all Asset would not support lever the said the United States wanted as caese-fire the Intal Charma, Intal Manchina and International or zero. Thalland.

In answer to a



Secretary of State William P. Rogers at a foreign-policy conference, where he discussed the U.S. peace initiative.

he said. "They seemed to focus on two subjects: Do we contemplate a total withdrawal, and how do we define a total withdrawal; and they were poking around the edges of the electoral process—how did we define it; what did it involve."

The official said that this was "something of a departure from the past," although another informed source said that questions on those subjects have been asked before.

Others Not Optimistic

can commitment to South Viet the international community—is that we have done everything the international community—is that we have done everything we can to bring about a negotiated peace. I might say that I have just looked this morning at the cables we have had from around the world, and the world, and the world reaction is extremely favorable to the proposals that the President has made."

Proposals Are Assailed Antiwar groups attacked President has made."

"That is impossible," he said. "We would lose our standing throughout the world, we have been asked before.

Others Not Optimistic

"I think the conclusion that has been reached by the American people—and certainly by the international community—

"clever election-year maneuver that may gain some temporary political advantage but won't end the war."

Sees 'Irrational' Comment

"No one doubts the right of anyone in the United States Senate to disagree on policy," he said. "But if the Democrats, he said. "But if the Democrats, as a party, are to earn respect for sound and constructive criticism, they should seek the means of quieting the irrational line of comment such as is emanating from the Presidential candidates' line-up."

He also said: "The American people support the President and his peace proposal. The harping critics are out of step."

Also today, the National

Also today, the National Peace Action Coalition, an antiwar group, issued a 16antiwar group, issued a 16point statement criticizing Mr.
Nixon's plan. Its main point
was that the plan "has already
been rejected by the Vietnamese, which means it cannot
be the basis for andita the be the basis for ending the war."

It said that the Nixon speech was designed "to take the heat off of him politically, and heat off of him politically, and at the same time to prepare the American people for the coming escalation of the war, which the Nixon Administration is planning."

The group said that what the American people want "is not Nixon's eight-point plan, but a one-point plan, get out of