# Bar Study Notes Little Court Disorder Despite Burger Views on Unruliness

By ROBERT REINHOLD

Special to The New York Times

BOSTON, Aug. 8—A major survey of hundreds of the nation's general trial judges has produced little evidence of any widespread courtroom disruption by unruly lawyers.

Preliminary results from 1,600 judges responding to the survey, sponsored by the Association of the Bar for the City of New York, turned up six cases in which contempt citations had been issued against lawyers for courtroom behavior.

The general impression that courtroom misbehavior by lawyers is not a serious or growing problem was supported by an indeper lent

check by The New York Times of court officials in 13 major cities and interviews with legal authorities around the country.

The question of unruly trial lawyers has been raised repeatedly in recent months by Chief Justice Warren E. Burger and other leaders of the legal profession.

Mr. Burger has complained that "all too often overzealous advocates seem to think the zeal and effectiveness of a lawyer depends on how thoroughly he can disrupt the proceedings" and that a decline in civility was menacing justice.

Many lawyers agree that the starchy and flowery formalism that once governed courtroom exchanges between lawyers and judges has gone the way of the white dress shirt and the crew cut, but they say the incidence of outright disruptions by lawyers is quite low.

But some lawyers feel there is nevertheless cause for alarm because public confidence in the administration of justice has been damaged by a few celebrated incidents.

The Bar Association survey was undertaken last year because of the public and legal concerns prompted by the Chicago Seven conspiracy

Continued on Page 12, Column 3

Continued From Page 1, Col. 5

trial, according to Burke Marshall, chairman of the association's Special Committee on Courtroom Conduct, who is deputy dean of the Yale Law School.

With a \$125,000 grant from the Ford Foundation, the committee sent questionnaires to the nation's 4,400 general trial judges asking details of courtroom disruptions.

### Six Cases Reported

The statistics are still incomplete, but in preliminary data, the 1,600 judges who responded reported 99 cases of courtroom misconduct, in which they is-sued 37 contempt citations. Of these, six were against lawyers for in-court behavior.

The committee, which consists of 10 leading lawyers and legal scholars, is expected to issue a detailed report in about five months interpreting the statistics and discussing the be-havior expected of prosecutors, defense lawyers and judges in criminal trials.

Reports from major cities by New York Times correspond-ents showed that disruptions, when they occurred, were usually in highly publicized criminal trials of black militants and white radicals, in which lawattempted to political issues into the defense. can Trial Lawyers Association on people's rights.'

Notable examples were the Chimeeting last week in Portland cago Seven case last year and the recent Black Panther trial in New York.

#### 'No Problem at All'

Justice Burger, traveling in Europe, could not be reached for comment. But court observers suggest that he is con-cerned that the system of jus-tice, which he is said to view

tice, which he is said to view as inherently brittle because of its contentious nature, is losing public respect because of the few widely publicized incidents of disorder.

Some activist lawyers believed Chief Justice is exaggerating the problem in an attempt to curb aggressive young lawyers. Courtroom incivility has become a major topic of debate in local bar groups and at major legal gatherings, including the American Bar Association convention last month; the neeting of the National Lawyers in sisting on poor peoper years. Guild, a growing left-wing legal grights. I don't begroup, which met recently in lieve in being rude to judges, is interested to prof. Arthur Sutherland of the Harvard Law According to Prof. Arthur Sutherland of the Harvard Law School, who is completing a factory of the American Law Institute, he acknowledged that "only a tiny fragment of reckless, irresponsible lawyers are guilty."

In his May 18 speech before the American Law Institute, he acknowledged that "only a tiny fragment of reckless, irresponsible lawyers are guilty."

He mentioned no names, but it is widely assumed in the legal fraternity that he was alluding chiefly to William M. Kunstler the defense attorney in the Chicago Seven case. Because of his conduct, Mr. Kunstler, "They are afraid of Kunstler was sentenced to four years and 13 days for contempt by Judge Julius J. Hoffman.

Mr. Marshall, commenting on the results of the Bar Association survey said in an interview at the Na-acknowledged that "only a tiny fragment of reckless, irresponsible lawyers are guilty."

In an interview at the Na-acknowledged that "only a tiny fragment of reckless, irresponsible lawyers are guilty."

In the American Law Institute, he acknowledged that "only a tiny fragment of reckless, irresponsible lawyers are guilty."

In the American Law Institute, he acknowledged that "only a tiny fragment of reckless, irresponsible lawyers are guilty."

In the American Law Institute, he acknowledged that "only a tiny fragment of reckless, irresponsible lawye



William M. Kunstler, center, sketched during the trial of the Chicago Seven. Because of Drawing by Franklin McMahon his conduct, he was criticized by the judge and sentenced on contempt-of-court charges.

meeting last week in Portland,

The American judicial sysstress. Not only have Ameri-law firm, is not alarmed by the of the matter but the appearcans become increasingly liti- court situation. He said in an ance of the matter that is im-"I've really had no problem at all. I know of none that the other judges have had," said William O. Mehrtens, United States District Judge in Miami. His comment was typical of those of judges and bailiffs in almost all regions of the courts of public interest lawyers and the abrasiveness of urban court at lawyers and the abrasiveness of urban court at lawyers feel that what went on in Chicago cast the bar and the courts to a general decline in amenities in American life court with the abrasiveness of urban court at lawyers feel that what went on in Chicago cast the bar and the courts to a general decline in amenities in American life courts to a general decline and the abrasiveness of urban courts to a statistical court with the help of an ag-gressive and abrasive new in amenities in American life corps of public interest lawyers and the abrasiveness of urban who see the courts as instru- life. ments of social change.

This phenomenon, in the view of many observers, underlies the complaints of conservative

introduce Boulden, Colo., and the Ameri- but I do believe in insisting view: "In general, this says it

Justice Burger has com-plained about courtroom manners and decorum at least five times in speeches and news interviews since last August. In his May 18 speech before the American Law Institute, he acknowledged that "only a tiny fragment of rackless irrespon-

isn't so much of a problem Simon H. Rifkind, a former statistically, but from the point Federal judge who is a partner of view of the bar and the tem is in a period of unusual in a prestigious Wall Street courts, it is not only the fact

> even if it is problem," M not a statistical problem," Mr. Marshall went on, adding that "there is some danger of panic and overreac-tion" among lawyers and judges.

# 'A Witch Hunt'

tional rights, there were some-ciation observed that "what times "moments to say things may be a disruption to one that might jeopardize your liberty and professional standing."

The man who is handling Mr. Kunstler's appeal, Prof. Arthur divisions of the New Kinoy of Rutgers Law School, State Supreme Court adopted argued: "A lawyer has the obligation to defend his client to plement the canons of the New the best of his ability and to York State Bar Association. stand up to a judge when he These rules defined disruptive believes he is violating the client's rights."

Civil rights lawyers say that defiance of judges has historically played an important role in the establishment of some basic American rights.

## Zenger Case

Zenger Case

In 1735, Andrew Hamilton, the leading Colonial lawyer, defended a printer, John Peter Zenger, accused of seditious libel for printing articles critical of the Government. Despite warnings by the judge, Hamilton asked the jury to acquit Zenger because the articles were true. The jury agreed, thus establishing freedom of the press.

In the centuries since, lawyers have occasionally disrupted trials in a variety of cases. The recent controversy has prompted a spate of studies by

prompted a spate of studies by

groups.

The A.B.A., at its July meeting in New York, adopted a set of courtroom guidelines and urged judges to set the tone by behaving well themselves. For unruly lawyers, it suggested various punishments, including that. In the view of Edward that. In the view of Edward that.

judge may well be no more than comic relief to another."

Last March, the Appellate York conduct as "any intentional conduct by any person in the courtroom that substantially interferes with the dignity, or-

der and decorum of judicial proceedings."

Arthur Meehan, director of the Morrisania legal clinic in the Bronx, said that what was occurring was not disruption but the come kind of

says he has had no disruptions bar associations and other legal in his court in several years. groups.

The A.B.A., at its July meet-where to the inexperience of

For unruly lawyers, it suggested various punishments, including censure, contempt, removal from court and temporary suspension from the court.

Misconduct Undefined
Complicating the situation is the fact that there is no generally accepted definition of misconduct.

But many younger lawyers believe there is more to it than that. In the view of Edward Garland, a trial lawyer in Atlanta, "We will continue to see more protests of the conduct of trial judges because judicial matters are related to the social order inty, and when the social order begins to change, much of the In a critique issued last year, begins to change, much of the the board of governors of the feedback can be found in our American Trial Lawyers Asso-courtrooms."