

# BUSINESS TAX CUT ORDERED BY NIXON

**\$2.6-Billion Drop for 1971  
Called Spur to Economy  
—Suit Challenges Move  
JAN 12 1971**

Text of President's statement  
is printed on Page 44.

By EILEEN SHANAHAN

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Jan. 11—  
President Nixon ordered into  
effect today at \$2.6-billion re-  
duction in the taxes that will  
be paid by businesses this year.

The reduction in business  
taxes, which came in the form  
of a liberalization of the rules  
for depreciation of business  
equipment, will "help create  
jobs for the unemployed . . .  
promote economic growth . . .  
[and] increase the competitive-  
ness of United States goods  
abroad," Mr. Nixon said.

In later years, the reduction  
in business taxes would be  
even greater, according to the  
President's statement, "rising  
to a peak of about \$4-billion  
in 1976." But he observed that  
individuals, too, have had their  
taxes reduced lately, by a

Continued on Page 44, Column 4

Continued From Page 1, Col. 7

total of \$7-billion annually, as  
a result of reductions that  
were voted in the Tax Reform  
Act of 1969, largely over the  
objections of the Administra-  
tion.

The liberalized rules, among  
other things, completely elimi-  
nate a previous requirement that  
businesses actually replace  
their old equipment on a time  
schedule roughly conforming to  
what they had claimed for tax  
purposes, or else suffer a tax  
penalty. Elimination of this re-  
quirement, known as the "re-  
serve ratio test," had long been  
sought by most businessmen.

The new depreciation rules  
put into effect today basically  
shorten by 20 per cent the pe-  
riods of time over which busi-  
nesses may write off the cost  
of their equipment. Different  
industries and different classes  
of equipment are written off  
over varying periods of time.

## Lawyers File Suit

A suit seeking to prohibit the  
Treasury from putting the lib-  
eralized depreciation rules into  
effect was filed in the United  
States District Court for the  
District of Columbia by two  
lawyers from the Public Inter-  
est Research Group, a law firm  
founded and financed by Ralph  
Nader.

The lawyers, Thomas H.  
Stanton and Samuel A. Simon,  
charged that it was illegal for  
the Treasury to change the  
rules governing depreciation  
without advance notice to the  
public and public hearings.

Judge Aubrey E. Robinson  
gave the plaintiffs until Jan. 21  
to file briefs and set the same  
date for a hearing on the suit  
before Judge John Helm Pratt.  
The suit had been based on ac-  
counts in newspapers stating  
that announcement of the de-  
preciation changes was immi-  
nent.

Those accounts had not men-  
tioned the possibility that the  
reserve ratio test might  
be completely abandoned and  
Mr. Stanton said, after read-  
ing the Treasury's announce-  
ment, that he was considering  
amending his complaint to in-  
clude a substantive objection  
in addition to the procedural  
one he has already made.

## Excessively Generous

The substantive objection  
would be that the liberalized  
rules, without the check pro-  
vided by the reserve ratio test,  
were so excessively generous  
that they were not legal under  
the basic law covering depreci-  
ation, which requires that de-  
preciation deductions be "rea-  
sonable."

The Treasury said that it  
had put the liberalized rules  
into effect under the legal  
standard requiring "reason-  
able" deductions and that no  
action by Congress was neces-  
sary. Secretary David M. Ken-  
nedy said that the chairman of  
the House Ways and Means  
Committee, Wilbur D. Mills of  
Arkansas, a Democrat, had  
agreed that the change could  
be put into effect without legis-  
lation. Mr. Kennedy conferred  
with Mr. Mills in Arkansas on  
Friday.

In his statement, which was  
issued at the Western White  
House in San Clemente, Calif.,  
Mr. Nixon said that the depreci-  
ation changes would stimu-  
late new business investment,  
thus creating additional jobs,  
so that the revenue losses from  
the rule changes would be  
partly offset.

At a joint news conference  
here with Secretary Kennedy,  
Paul McCracken, chairman of  
the President's Council of Eco-  
nomic Advisers, said that the  
additional investment in new  
equipment might amount to as  
much as \$1-billion, with most  
of the increase coming toward  
the latter part of the year. That  
would put business investment  
for 1971 at a total of \$82.7-  
billion, a rise of 2½ per cent  
from the 1970 level, instead of  
the very low level of 1½ per  
cent that had been foreseen  
in Government surveys.

The depreciation liberaliza-  
tion was criticized by, among  
others, Lane Kurkland, the  
secretary-treasurer of the  
American Federation of Labor  
and Congress of Industrial Or-  
ganizations, who said that, "in  
this time of recession, inflation

and 6 per cent unemployment,  
it is incredible that the Presi-  
dent can find no better action  
than to extend a tax windfall  
of several billion dollars to the  
nation's corporations."

A number of technical  
changes were made in the per-  
missible methods of figuring  
depreciation, all effective retro-  
actively to the first of this  
year.

Basically, the period of time  
over which equipment is de-  
preciated could be anywhere  
from 20 per cent shorter to 20  
per cent longer than the time  
periods set forth the last time  
the Treasury liberalized depreci-  
ation, in 1962. The new  
method is called an "asset de-  
preciation range system."

## Effects of Move Weighed

Businessmen and economists  
were hesitant yesterday to  
comment on the liberalization

of depreciation regulations, pre-  
ferring to wait and study the de-  
tails of the changes announced  
by President Nixon.

Albert T. Sommers, director  
of economic research for the  
Conference Board, called it a  
"moderate" move but a "sig-  
nificant step in the right di-  
rection." In his view it will be  
reflected in better capital  
growth in the second half of  
this year and provide addi-  
tional assurance of even more  
growth in this sector in 1972.

He added that there was a  
need, in view of the mounting  
interest in Federal-state re-  
venue-sharing plans, for "more  
aggregate tax receipts" that  
suggested in the future a need  
to consider a higher degree of  
engagement in excise, sales  
and/or value-added taxes.

Walter Hoadley, chief econo-  
mist of the Bank of America

in San Francisco, said it was  
an "important move" but  
stressed that depreciation should  
be considered as an expense.  
"Profit margins are the biggest  
problem for business right now,"  
he added, "and depreciation re-  
visions are not going to help  
profits."

In New York, W. P. Gulland-  
er, president of the National  
Association of Manufacturers,  
said: "The business community  
has long sought depreciation  
policies more in line with those  
enjoyed by foreign competitors  
and this action by the President  
is an important partial move in  
this direction. The President's  
Task Force on Business Taxa-  
tion has recommended a more  
far-reaching reform of depreci-  
ation policies which we hope will  
be implemented by Congres-  
sional action at an early date."