## High Court to Hear Libel Case Brought by Walker Against A.P.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 23 tion: "With knowledge that [the (UPI)—The Supreme Court statement] was false or with called up for argument today reckless disregard of whether it two cases expected eventually to result in far-reaching and important rulings on libel.

The acceptance That A.P. told the Court that Mr. Walker had brought 15

two cases expected eventually was false or not.

The cases involving and important rulings on libel.

The cases, involving The Associated Press and The Saturday Evening Post, would be a sequel to the high court's decision of 1964 involving The Times.

The A.P. told the Court that Mr. Walker had brought 15 cases against the news service, its members, or both, asking damages of more than \$35-million—all based on the same or similar news reports.

Only one other has been tried, Mr. Poggers said It is now be-

The Times ruling held that a public official might not collect fore the Louisiana Supreme damages for statements made about his official conduct unless he could show malice.

Mr. Rogers said, It is now before the Louisiana Supreme Court. A Louisiana jury returned a verdict of \$3-million, which was reduced on appeal to \$75,-

damages for statements made about his official conduct unless he could show malice.

The A. P., represented by former Attorney General William P. Rogers, urged the Court to extend its principle to coverprominent persons who are not public officials.

The case stemmed from a \$360,000 libel judgment won from the A.P. in 1964 in a Fort Worth, Tex., state court by former Maj. Gen. Edwin A. Walker. Mr. Walker's suit was based on two A.P. dispatches dealing with his activities on the campus of the University of Mississippi during the 1962 disturbances when James H. Meredith, a Negro, was enrolled.

Prominence Is Noted

The A.P., rogers, urged the Court at the Court and the Vallace Butts, former University of Georgia athletic director, and was based on a 1963 article titled "The Story of a College Football Fix" by Frank Graham Jr.

The article alleged that Mr. The article alleged that Mr. University of Mississippi during the 1962 disturbances when James H. Meredith, a Negro, was enrolled.

Prominence Is Noted

The A.P. nontended that Mr. Walker was a person of "nationwide political prominence" who had "willfully, aggressively and defiantly thrust himself into the vortex of controversy."

The news service also argued that an award of such size for the publication of dispatches about newsworthy events, "without actual malice or any proof of pecuniary or other loss," impaired free speech.

Clyde J. Watts of Oklahoma City, representing Mr. Walker, contended that the judgment satisfied all constitutional requirements even if The Times decision were to be expanded to cover prominent persons.

Mr. Watts told the Court a sufficient degree of malice had been shown to bring the case within the Justices' 1964 definition and safety and the court as sufficient degree of malice had been shown to bring the case within the Justices' 1964 definition and a verific of \$2.000.

The aturday Evening Post and to Wallace Butts, former University of Georgia athletic director, and was based on a 1963 article titled "The Story of College footba