# Court, 6-3, Says Jury Trial Is Not Required for Youths

## Opinion by Blackmun Warns of an End to the 'Intimate, Protective Proceeding' Sought Under the Juvenile System

By JOHN HERBERS JUN 22 1971 Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, June 21-trials in youth courts, which The Supreme Court ruled 6 to provide for proceedings before 3 today that juveniles do not a judge in closed hearings. In have a constitutional right to five other states there are no a trial by jury.

that although the juvenile sys-under certain circumstances. tem of justice may have fallen far short of perfection the requirement of a jury trial could North Carolina in which teenthe idealistic prospect of an petitioned for jury trial. intimate, informal protective In 1968, Joseph McKeiver of proceeding."

Justice William O. Douglas placed on probation. said in the dissenting opinion as delinquents, they were enprotections as adults.

Joining Justice Blackmun in assaulted a teacher. nen Jr. Voting with Mr. Douglas a demonstration against Black and Thurgood Marshall.

The ruling upholds laws existlumbia have laws barring jury Continued on Page 38, Column 3

jury trials by virtue of court Justice Harry A. Blackmun rulings. In the remaining states said in the majority opinion trials for youths are allowed

The judgment was based on cases from Pennsylvania and "put an end to what has been agers adjuged to be delinquent

Philadelphia, then 15 years old, The decision nevertheless was charged with robbery, went against a 23-year trend larceny and receiving stolen in which the Court in a goods after he participated, succession of cases had ex-with 20 or 30 other boys in tended Bill of Rights pro-tections to juvenile proceed-ing 25 cents from him. He was adjudged a juvenile and

In 1969, Edward Terry, then that because many law en- 15, also of Philadelphia, was forcement officials had treated accused of assaulting a policejuveniles as criminals, and not man with his fists. He was committed to a youth center titled to the same procedural after it was learned he also had

the majority were Chief Justice Barbara Burrus and 45 other In the North Carolina case, Warren E. Burger and Justices black minors, ranging from 11 John M. Harlan, Potter Stewart to 15 years old, were charged and Byron R. White and, to a with impeding traffic and partial extent William J. Brenfoun dto be delinquent after in dissent were Justices Hugo L. school consolidation in Hyde County.

In the majority decision, Jusing in most states. Twenty-nine tice Blackmun summarized the

# Court Says a Jury Trial Is Not Required for Juveniles

## Continued From Page I, Col. 3

long list of rulings that had extended more and more constitutional guarantees to accused youths. He said that the "fond and idealistic hopes" of juvenile court proponents of three generations ago had not been real-

"Too often the juvenile court judge falls far short of that stalwart protective and communicating figure the system envisaged," he wrote. "The comvisaged, he wrote. "The community's unwillingness to provide people and facilities and to be concerned, the inefficiency of time devoted, the scarcity of professional help, the inadequacy of dispositional alternatives and our general lack of knowledge all contribute to dissatisfaction with the exto dissatisfaction with the experiment."

But he said that despite these disappointments and failures "there is a possibility, at least, that the jury trial, if required as a matter of constitutional precept, will remake the juvenile proceeding into a fully adversary process and will put an effective end to what has been the idealistic prospect of an intimate, informal protective proceeding."

He said it would bring "the traditional delay, the formality t

criminal adjudicative process are to be superimposed upon the juvenile court system, there is little need for its separate existence," he said. "Perhaps that ultimate disillusionment will come one day, but for the moment we are disclined to give impetus to it."

## Adult Protection Asked

Justice Douglas wrote on the other hand that, "Where a state uses its juvenile court proceedings to prosecute a juvenile for a criminal act and to order 'confinement' until the child reaches 21 years of age or where the child at the threshold of the proceedings faces that prospect, then he is en-

## Supreme Court's Actions

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, June 21—The Supreme Court took following actions today: the following actions today:

#### JUVENILE JUSTICE

Held, 6 to 3, that juveniles do not have a constitutional right to trial by jury (No. 322, McKeiver et al. v. Pennsylvania). Douglas, Black and Marshall dissenting.

## WIRETAPPING

Agreed to decide whether the Government may tap, without prior court approval, the telephones of people suspected of domestic subversion. (No. 1687, United States United States District Court for Eastern Michigan.)

## ILLEGAL ARREST

Held, 6 to 3, that a private citizen illegally arrested by Federal agents can sue the agents for damages. (No. 301, Bivens v. six unknown agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics). Burger, Black and Blackman dissenting.

system and, possibly, the public trial."

"If the formalities of the criminal adjudicative process are to be superimposed upon the

## ELECTIONS

Refused to strike down a Georgia law providing that independent candidates must present a petition signed by 5 per cent of the voters to get on the ballot. (No. 5714, Jenness et al. v. Fortson, Secretary of the State of Georgia.)

#### PERJURY

Let stand the perjury conviction of Martin Sweig, who was administrative assistant to former House Speaker John W. McCormack. (No. 1702, Sweig v. United States.)

#### SEARCH AND SEIZURE

Set aside the conviction of Edward H. Coolidge Jr. in the 1964 murder of Pamela Mason, 14-year-old Manchester, N. H., girl, holding that the warrant against Coolidge constituted unreasonable search and seizure and had not been issued by a neutral magistrate. (No. 323, Coolidge V. New Hampshire v. New Hampshire.

Georgia law that requires that an independent candidate for political office, in order for his name to appear on the ballot, must present a petition signed by 5 per cent of the voters. Justice Stewart wrote that al-

Justice Stewart wrote that although the Court had invalidated a similar Ohio law in 1968, Georgia's law does not violate the Constitution because, unlike the Chio law, it provides for write-in votes and does not fix an unreasonably early filing deadline.

Treversed the murder conviction of Edward H. Coolidge Jr. in the 1964 death of a 14-year-old Manchester, N. H., girl, Pamela Mason, on ground that the State Atorney General rather than a neutral judge had ordered the search of Coolidge's car. Mr. Stewart said in the majority decison that "the right of personal security against arbitmary intrusions by official

majority decison that "the right of personal security against arbitrary intrusions by official power" must be protected even in "times of unrest."

Theld, 6 to 3, that a private citizen illegally arrested by Federal agents can sue the agents for damages.

The ruling grew out of the complaint of a Brocklyn man, Webster Bivens, who charged that six agents of the Federal Bureau of Narcotics had en-He said it would bring "the traditional delay, the formality titled to the same procedural and clamor of the adversary protection as an adult."

System and, possibly, the public Justice Brennan concurred in the Pennsylvania cases in the conclusions of the majority but are to be superimposed upon the juvenile court system there is