NARCOTICS RAIDS WITHOUT WARNING **VOTED BY SENATE**

Provision Cleared 70 to 15 -Agents With Warrants Could Break Into Homes AT TIMES

By WARREN WEAVER Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Jan. 27 -The Senate approved today a modified "no knock" statute under which Federal agents could break into a home without warning or identification if they feared narcotics were about to be destroyed inside.

The final vote was 70 to 15 in favor of the controversial law enforcement proposal. In a critical earlier test, the "no knock" provision, sponsored by Senator Robert P. Griffin, Republican of Michigan, survived a tabling motion by 44 to 40.

It took three days of debate to write the new narcotics raid authorization into a 100-page omnibus drug bill that the Senate is expected to approve tomorrow or Thursday. The measure will then go to the House, which has not yet produced comparable legislation.

A Protest by Ervin

Approval of the "no knock" law came despite a protest by Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr., Democrat of North Carolina, that it would not mean "using the keys of the king to open all the doors but using the king's axe to knock down the door and break the window."

Only yesterday, Senate leaders were predicting that Senator Ervin would win his fight to eliminate the provision from the bill, which is sponsored by Senator Thomas J. Dodd, Democrat of Connecticut.

But Senator Griffin turned the tide by proposing slightly tighter language that was identical to a section of a crime bill for the District of Columbia that the Senate had approved only last month. A number of Senators found it impossible to vote down now the same provision they had so recently backed.

Originally, the Dodd bill authorized a judge to issue a warrant for a "no knock" raid if he found probable cause to believe that illicit narcotics supplies "may be" destroyed or disposed of if agents gave notice of a raid.

As amended by Senator Griffin, the statute provides for such a warrant if it is probable that the narcotics "will be" destroyed or disposed of. The Senator said this would make it somewhat more difficult to get such warrants.

Although most Senate critics of the provision discussed it in terms of agents breaking into private homes, it would be Continued on Page 16, Column 1

equally applicable to raids on

Senator Griffin said during the debate that 29 states permitted some version of "no-knock"raids, either by statute or court-sanctioned custom. New York State enacted such a law in 1964, and the United States Supreme Court subsequently declined to hear an appeal of its constitutionality "Hoodlyms Are Watching" ment, plus four others the Iowan is pressing, would "emasculate the bill and change its thrust, philosophy and rationale from a law enforcement measure and give it to the sociologists and scientists over the H.E.W."

Other Amendments

Among Senator Hughes's pending amendments is one

'Hoodlums Are Watching'

machinery was necessary to of Health, Education and Welcombat the rising narcotics fare for the Attorney General traffic, Senator Dodd told his to be able to classify a drug. colleagues: "The hoodlums are Another would cut most of the watching us, the dope peddlers are watching us. They want to know if we mean what we

After a long debate and par-After a long debate and par-feated today was originally liamentary wrangle, the Senate passed, 42 to 41. Then, howdefeated an amendment sponsored by Senator Harold E. Hughes, Democrat of Iowa, Hughes, Democrat of Iowa, Backtracking procedural votes, Hughes, Democrat of Iowa, backtracking procedural votes, backtracking procedural votes, backtracking procedural votes, and transfer a vote on the measure and defeated it, 45 to 36.

The Senate adopted by voice one of Senator Hughes's amendments. Thise would prevent the Attorney General from Senator Dodd objected to describe the Senator Senator Dodd objected to describe the Senator passed, 42 to 41. Then, how-backtracking procedural votes, backtracking procedural votes, a vote on the measure and defeated it, 45 to 36.

The Senate adopted by voice one of Senator Hughes's amendments. Thise would prevent the Attorney General from Senator Dodd objected to describe the senator procedural votes, and the senator procedural votes, backtracking procedural votes, a vote on the measure and defeated it, 45 to 36.

Continued FFrom Page 1, Col. 5 an enforcement bill, not a research bill, not a rehabilitation bill."

equally applicable to raids on offices of doctors suspected of narcotics trafficking or any kind of structure in which drug clared that the Hughes amendment, plus four others the ment, plus four Iowan is press

Among Senator Hughes's pending amendments is one Arguing that the new legal mendation from the Secretary penalties for possession and distribution of marijuana in half

The Hughes amendment de-

Senator Dodd objected to depriving the Attorney General general obtaining from Government agencies the names of narcotics and patients and other research to determine what narcotics were dangerous and how dangerous. He argued that "this is formation on their cases."