New Narcotics Trial Is Won on Issue of Hypnosis

By EDITH EVANS ASBURY

A new trial was ordered yesterday for James Miller, a Connecticut hairdresser who had been convicted of participating in an international narcotics smuggling ring.

The United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed his conviction and ordered a new trial because the defense and jury had not been told by the Government that it had questioned its principal witness under hypnosis before the

The three-judge court, headed by Henry J. Friendly, and sit-ting at Foley Square, had up-held Miller's conviction previously

Miller, the 42-year-old owner and operator of several beauty shops in the New Haven area, snops in the New Haven area, was convicted on June 2, 1966, after a jury trial in Hartford, and was sentenced to 12 years in prison. He has been free in \$200,000 bail as Steven B. Duke, professor of criminal law at Yale University, pressed his appeal without fee, and a committee of citizens campaigned in his behalf.



Steven B. Duke, who took up James Miller's defense.

cepted at the border, identified

mittee of citizens campaigned in his behalf.

Driver Is Key Witness

Miller was arrested June 19, 1964, and was charged with being the man to whom 72 pounds of pure heroin, valued at \$56-million, was consigned. The heroin was seized at the Texas border.

Michel Caron, the French-Canadian driving the car inter-

Yesterday's opinion, written by Judge Friendly, stated that "developments during the trial placed a duty on the Government to disclose the hypnosis."

A new trial is necessary by the smuggling ring three the convergence of the smuggling ring three the results of the results o

to disclose the hypnosis deprived the detense of its right to cross-examine Caron concerning it.

during the trial at Hartord.

Percy Foreman, engaged by Miller when he was indicted in Taylor. Miller when he was indicted

ment to disclose the hypnosis."
A new trial is necessary, he continued, because "there is a significant possibility that the undisclosed evidence might have led to an acquittal or a hung jury."
Caron's testimony, the opinion stated, "may have been the truth, but it was hardly the whole truth as known to Butler, and should have brought to his mind the hypnosis performed only three months before, and the interest the defense could have brought to his married and the father of a 6-year-old boy, er of the smuggling ring, three

only three months before, and the interest the defense could have in this."

The two other judges who concurred in the opinion, were Leonard P. Moore and Wilfred Feinberg.

Mr. Butler questioned Caron who the real culprit was.