FEB 23 1970

8

С

KENNEDY MAPPING VOTE-AT-18 MOVE

Rights Act Plan Would Skirt Constitution Procedure

By JOHN W. FINNEY Special to The New York Times

Special to The New York Times
WASHINGTON, Feb. 22—
Two Democratic liberals in the
Senate, Edward W. Kennedy of
Massachusetts and Birch Bayh
of Indiana, are drafting a plan
to use the Administration's voting rights bill as a legislative
vehicle to reduce the voting
age to 18.

Instead of pursuing the timeconsuming route of a constitutional amendment, their plan
is to lower the voting age im-

consuming route of a constitutional amendment, their plan is to lower the voting age immediately through law. They would attach to the voting rights bill a legislative amendment giving 18-year-olds the right to vote in all elections—Federal, state and local.

In the last week, Senator Kennedy has circulated a legal memorandum among some of his colleagues explaining why on legal and political grounds he believes it would be preferable to lower the voting age by law rather than through a constitutional amendment.

Senator Bayh, who as chairman of the Senate Judiciary Subcommittee on Constitutional Amendments has been advocating a constitutional

vocating a constitutional amendment lowering the voting age, was understood to be sympathetic to the Kennedy proposal.

Administration's Stand

Administration's Stand

The Nixon Administration, carrying out apledge made by Mr. Nixon during his 1968 campaign, last week endorsed a constitutional amendment that would lower the voting age to 18 in Federal electrons. Despite this White House and or sement, it is regarded as inlikely that a constitutional amendment will emerge from the conservative - dominated Senate Judiciary Committee in the foreseeable future.

Senator Kennedy would offer an amendment to legislation — scheduled to reach the Senate floor next week — extending the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

One possible political complication, now being weighed by Senators Kennedy and Bath, is that introduction of the Kennedy amendment might hamper efforts to obtain the two-thieds closure vote to choke off a Southern filibuster on the voting rights bill.

The Administration has troposed, in effect, to repeal the 1965 law, which was aimed at voter discrimination in Southern states, by replacing it with a nationwide ban on literacy tests. The Administration substitute was accepted last year by the House, but in the Senate a bipartisan coalition is intent on extending the 1965 law with some modifications. While the coalition apparently commands a simple majority in the Senate, it remains unclear whether it can gather the necessary two-thirds vote to break a Southern filibuster. a Southern filibuster.

Might Hold Off

Might Hold Off

it appears that his amendment might drive away a few crucial votes, Senator Kennedv might hold off his amendment until after closure is obtained.

On the other hand there is some feeling in the Kennedv ranks that the amendment might facilitate closure by shifting the focus of the debate to the voting age and thus placing the Southerners in the position of filibustering against voting rights for 18-vear-olds.

By the same political token, the Kennedy-Bayh proposal, once offered on the floor, would be difficult for most Senators to oppose lest they alienate a large bloc of potential new voters.

If adooted by the Senate.

rs.

If adopted by the Senate, the amendment would go to a Senate-House conference committee, where it might run into objections from Representative

senate-House conference committee, where it might run into objections from Representative Emanuel Celler, Democrat of Brocklyn, chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, wha in the past has questioned whicher 18-year-olds are mature enough to be given a vote. One legal objection certain to be raised by Southerners is that the Kennedy amendment would infringe upon the constitutional rights of the states to establish voter qualifications.

While acknowledging that sates have been given primary responsibility for determining voter eligibility, the Kennedy memorandum argues that under the 14th Amendment Congress has the power to legislatre voter fualifications if it finds that the states are imposing unreasorable or discriminatory requirements.