sions of a police spy

The media gave the story of a police provocatour the same shallow treatment accorded the likes of Charles Manson

Last year Louis <u>Tackwood</u>, a police agent provocateur in Los Angeles, defected and told his story to the Citizens Research and Investigation Committee, a group of academics, writers, former intelligence agents and others concerned about the impact of domestic spyling on American life. Some of a Tackwood's tales were so bizarre -- including one about a Los Angeles police plot to commit sabota. at the Republican National Convention in San Diego. so the violence would be blamed on radicals and provide a prefext for wholesale arrests - that he was given a lie detector test, which he passed. Tackwood also told of infiltrating the compaign organization of wood's comments appear in italics, the radical caucus (which ultimately won the ruman lype.

municipal elections in Berkeley) in order to its sources of tunds, and of police efforts the ordinary legal business of military organizations. Long-time CJR readers know similar problems exist in Chicago and men cities, and that one of the obstacles to retu indifference of the press. This article, was plores that subject in connection with Tacky revelations, is from a book soon to be publish Avon The Glass House Tapes by the Research and Investigation Committee and Tackwood, edited by Domaid Free! researcher on this section was Rick

Take the three F.B.I. informers who to neutral to sympathetic. Yet at the end came out. And they said it was such and such, and such and such, but they never gave any names, details, or places. But he papers made a big thing out of it ... there were three of them who came out, out of Sentile ... they were the ones who were doing the bombings in Seattle for the F.B.I. ... and blaming the radicals. And the papers did a big spread on it. But they never named a name or nothing like this... They named Sergeant Such and Such, they didn't know where he was, or who he was. You see what I'm saying, it was so general ... J. Edgar Hoover smothered the whole thing after a little while...

But as with this [Tackwood's story], I wanna tell you ... no major news network carried it. At all. They were fearful of

A list of charges as revealing and damning as those unleashed by Louis Tack-wood would seem to be a newsman's dream story, a chance to shed light on the clandestine activities of the police for the public enlightenment. Yet for the most part this remarkable confession was given the same shallow treatment accorded the likes of Charles Manson. The Establishment press blew the story up in a flash and forgot it just as quickly (though the underground, Left, and college papers did accord it much more space and time). Press reception of the Tackwood disclosures ranged from com the questions still remained: Who is Louis Tacaroce and a what he had

First crack at the story was granted (by C.R.I.C.) to mee carefully selected Establishment reporters - Karl Fleming of Newsweek, Leroy Antons of the Washington Post, and Jerry Cohen of the Los Angeles Times. They had the opportunity to investigate the claims. In doing so, they financed a lie detector test by a polygraph expert in an attempt to establish the veracity of their informant. Chris Gugas, past president of the American Polygraph Association and an ex-policeman who has a picture of J. Edgar Hoover on his wall, was engaged. He reported that "Louis Tackwood did answer all the critical questions truthfully on his examination . Mr. Tackwood's statements have considerable validity." But this was not enough to counter the skepticism of some newsmen towards the ex-agent.

The Times wrote it off on Los Angeles so beautiful, it's puthetic . . . the leftwing papers did a better job . . . but the people who should've been reached were never reached .

It's not that they didn't believe it. They didn't want to rock the boat. In other words, like, we were involved in political issues there too . . . and they didn't want to - what they were saying was, no. we're not going to touch it. 'Cause like if

you scentrell the line his media, a lot of those are ferent people politically

and the second second second second Cohon's more which is no Angeles Times of Concess undoubtedly the most distorted headline bore threatening NO WAY OUT FOR LOCAL WOOD: WES OF THE TIGHTENS ON POLICE INFORM The report was an inconclusive of the charges and countercharge mystery man's story. The plication was that Tackwood's conambivatent behavior rendcharges valueless. The Times with more an indictment of Tacks of of the police who employed was provocateur. For example Coff his story:

"Ever since he was a run! cunning and cool have allow Tackwood to connive and surhostile world out there or the Los Angeles

... Until now. "Now, at the age of a web of his own intra-And, for the first time, deis no way out for here. "A criminal by choice say former because of his time plot and counterplos practical reasons " his own rates

To resulty

part of the game. I've trigged It's like chess to play well) — you the der at it. . . . 'But the lead in on Louis Tack-

Codes railed to mention that the police reced Tackwood into their ser-He did not seek them out because ie was tastinated with plot and counterplot and withed to be an informer. Cohen also stated that now "conflict has taken pussess of him." He said that Tackwassi has told "wildly conflicting" stories that could have some meaning "if any of what he says is true or even partly true." In the rest of the article. Cohen recounted the charges made by the agent, but focused on the few vague contradictory portions of the testimony and ignored the decisive import of the existence of a widely in-terlucking secret police force.

The Times quoted the district attorney as saying that there will be no further investigation because of a lack of credibility and good faith on the part of Tackwood in refusing to take the lie at And Cohen added the comment of the same and the same

two weeks to plant a false story involving the President of the United States and the L.A.P.D. I think such a story would appear in Pravada, But I am approved to have that Los Angeles reporters are listening to the story."

Exit the Los Angeles Times, fronically, a later editorial supported investigation of police graft in Hollywood. But no mention of police political provocation. The silence on this point was deafening.

Leroy Aarons of the Wahington Post produced a subtler disparagement. He featured Tackwood's "fascination for intrigue" and reference to himself as a "master of conspiracy." The story showed more balance than that in the Times and an attempt at some insight into the personality of Tackwood. Yet, even though Aarons did not brand the exagent a "criminal by choice," as Cohen had, he painted a picture of a megalomaniac with "dreams of making a lot of money for book and movie rights to his story." But nothing about the reasons beneath the reasons, as Tolstoy called them.

Karl Fleming of Newsweek was the third member of the press called in on the story by C.R.I.C. Fleming seemed to believe the truth of Tackwood's charges. He also displayed more insight into

Tackwood's flamboyant personality, stating, "One thing that had motivated him was wounded pride . . . 'They thought I was just a black boy out of the ghetto who was good at snitching and they never looked past that." Although Fleming was Newsweek's Los Angeles Bureau Chief and a contributing editor, his story was killed, supposedly because it was too long. It is not clear why after financing the lie detector examination, that legitimated a story of burning relevance, the newsweekly would not run the report. Tim Tyler of Time magazine tried for weeks to break the story as part of a roundup of ex-agents. He failed.

Take the case of the Panther 13. What's amazing to show you the viciousness of the press is — they did something, let me tell you, that has caused maybe a wood-bath, in the handling of the Sandra Pratt murder Police made the statement that it was a sure of a war between the furthers. And it was big headlines: "Panther versus further." "War." You see what I'm saying they're playing it up, Anything to do with violence. In other words, they're trying to show that we Panther are vicious and violent and that now they're starting war within the thing.

It's all one way. If we violent and against the police, they's print it like a son of a gun.

The select group of reporters who had been called in distributed the story via their press services. But the Associated Press, the United Press International, and the remainder of the Establishment press provided little or no coverage. The Hearst-owned Los Angeles Herald-Examiner, which specializes in sen-sational murder cases and big-name divorce stories, did not cover this story concerning the police forces of Los Angeles. The Santa Monica Evening Outlook, extremely conservative, ran a banner headline, POLICE CHARGED WITH PLOT FOR GOP CONVENTION and a 6-inch lead at the bottom of page one The brief story, which contained just a bare outline of the charges, emphasized the "plot" in the same sensational manner in which it deals with accounts of rape, murder, race, and drug use.

In San Francisco the Chronicle picked up the Los Angeles Times story, headlining it A POLICE SPY'S STRANGE STORIES and STARTLING ALLEGATIONS OF INTRIGUE AND BIZARRE PLOTS. It was somehow easy for the editors to label Tackwood's story as

"bizarre," so the reading public could shrug it off along with their morning coffee. Or if given the chance, would they really take seriously the threat rate assecret police decimating the freedom of themselves and their institutions?

Surprisingly, the black press, both local and national, was just as tight-lipped on the story. One exception was the national Black Muslim publication. Muhammad Speaks, which ran a thorough three-part series presenting Tackwood's story in Tackwood's words. The Muslims came straight to the point with the headline, HOW POLICE SPREAD CRIME, and continued with Tackwood's description of police procedures.

Although Tackwood admitted that he

Although Tackwood admitted that he set up a Muslim mosque for a police raid, the article did not judge him. Instead of blaming Tackwood, the authors placed the guilt on the police, who masterminded the secret operations. Further evidence of police espionage was contained in the extensive discussion of Ron Karenga and his militant group US. No other paper covered any of Tackwood's charges in comparable depth.

Possibly the most rational account of the story was published a week later in the New York Times. Brief but oblective, it seemed to sam up the officers single see on the whole affair in the lead paragraph:

"A long-time informer for the Los Angeles Police Department appeared to offer an intriguing glimpse last week into the shadowy world of domestic espionage against radical political groups. But no one seemed quite sure."

Indeed, no one seemed quite sure. Yet, if the press was caught in a quandary of uncertainty, they did not go to great lengths to clear up the situation. Whether it was because of official neglect or just simple inertia on the part of reporters, at the end of a week the story lay dead on the newsroom floor.

I can see one of their main things is that it's a campaign year, and all of them are fighting for that big money. What they're fighting for is that 80, 90, 100 thousand. The Republicans, they have 10million dollars earmarked right now for advertisement, and about 8 million of it are going to television. You see what I'm saying? Major networks. So why cut 8 million dollars off your budget?

... The guy from Time magazine took about a thousand pictures. he interviewed us, me and my wife both Marilyn and Bob; He was going to print

*Marilyn Katz and Robert Duggan. C.R.I.C. staff members. the story, he said . . . he was hot on it. And that was 3 weeks ago. And every week we buy Time magazine. Nothing. Canned it dead.

How would I put it to you? I don't know — just like — it just don't seem like nobody was interested ... what I'm trying to tell you is that in this day and age, just using my story, for instance, that we're under a state — we're living in a country that the State controls the press really

For those readers who wanted to know more, alternative media gave the story more than short shrift. In Los Angeles, the competing weekly underground papers, The Staff and the Free Press dissected the barrage of charges with an eye to their significance for individual liberty. Both papers filled their front pages with illustrations of police machinations and backed up their headlines with extensive interpretation of creeping police espionage. The Staff's Michael Blake was the

The Staff's Michael Blake was the reporter first contacted by Louis Tackwood when the former was with the Free Press. Recognizing a big story when he saw it Blake reterred Tackwood and his tale to the more politically knowledgeable Michael McCarthy and C.R.I.C. Blake related a very lucid, almost minute-by-minute account of the complex progression of events.

In the almost three months that followed, Marilyn Katz, Michael McCarthy, Robert Duggan, and several others would interview Tackwood many times. Tape recordings would be made, cover stories rationalizing Tackwood's new interest in these people would be manufac-tured, careful copies of all information would be made. Marilyn Katz knew little of what she had when Tackwood walked off with her on that morning in early August. Boundless information on the activities of the police lay firmly en-sconced in the informer's brain, information that most radical workers would give years to know. It was not to be known without a price however and that price turned out to be the agony of working with and through a man like Louis Tackwood."

Blake's story featured himself to a large degree, but nevertheless wound up with an articulate assessment of Tackwood's significance:

"It all means that the formerly fuzzy picture of police power and the illegal practices that go with it is much more in focus. Like the Pentagon Papers, Louis Tackwood's revelations tell us many things we already suspected but could not come close to proving . . .

"For those (and there must be many)

who view Tackwood's story with skepticism, it can only be said that whether or not his strange tale is totally accurate cannot be crucial at this time. What is crucial is that Tackwood's allegations be investigated, not by the Internal Affairs Division of the L.A.P.D., but by the highest and hopefully the least tainted agencies in the country.

The other underground paper, the Free Press, is headed by long-time editor and publishing entrepreneur. Art Kunkin. In September the paper had suffered a split which resulted in the formation of The Stail Since Blake had left the Free Press for The Stail, the "inside story" of Tackwood went with him. Kunkin nonetheless ran a powerful story, somewhat were sympathetic to Tackwood than blake's rendition. Kunkin ran this waste of Tackwood's position, which no ne else seemed to notice:

"Tackwood says i am not positically right or left. It's not thing where I feel I am politically objected right or left. It is a time who position right and left (should demand) that the police department of I am Agries slop being provocateurs i feel once this amosphere is cleared up that the Black man and the Chicano can clean up their own houses."

Runkin hark a back to Press's 19 per report of Rang Comporation and on the fear polity of cancelling the 1972 ection are to "radical disruption" Emphasizing his point, the front page demanded, WILL NIXON CANCEL 1972 ELECTION

In Berkeley the Burn ran the same story as The Staff, with a supplementary article on the San Diego plot, plus a column giving more personal detail on the Tackwood revelations. The stories were transmitted around the communes and street gnettos of the Woodstock Nation via Alternative Press Service and College Press Service, which acted truer to the tenets of good journalism than had the more established services. For example, to check on Tackwood's story a College Press Service reporter contacted F.B.I. officials in Washington. But, according to the Barb, "F.B.I. officials claimed no knowledge of Tackwood and his allegations, asking the College Press Service reporter if he was 'drunk or tripping.'

The Left press played the story as an example of repression and the trampling of police on individual liberties. But the question, "Who is Louis Tackwood?" still ran through the minds of many. Witness the lead paragraph of Peggy Holter's report in the Los Angeles News Ad-

vocate, a self-styled alternative newspaper:

"Louis Tackwood — police informer, agent provocateur, opportunist, sociopath, publicity hound, a bit player in the dramatic struggle between the police and the left, cunning and contriving or contrite and bewildered, pawn, a chameleon, the bearer of incredible allegations against the Los Angeles Police Department, and now, temporarily at least, the center of controversy."

This is not a paragraph, not even a sentence; it is more of a question, which tries to define the man of many roles. In the face of such puzzlement over the identity of their informant, the Left could not help but feel a little paranoid about his fluctuating statements. Different papers responded in different ways.

The radical weekly Guardian led off its story, "If Louis Tackwood is telling the truth..." but later conceded, "But Tackwood's testimony about the police and his own career as an informer is consistent, detailed, and concrete." This was the key point, desired to conclude the concluded:

"What the next stage in Louis Tackwood's odyssey on both side:

stimulate several investigations."

The Communist Party's People's World, published on the West Coast, gave half its front page to a meture of the ex-agent captioned THE SPY WHO CAME OUT AND TOLD. The story led off with one of his most significant quotes about his ex-colleagues: "They believe totally in the power of the police over the people." The World thus squarely planted itself on the side of "the people." As the paper put it:

"Tackwood is a child of the ghetto

"Tackwood is a child of the ghetto streets. He lives by his wits and admits being intrigued by the world of conspiracy. But as so often [happens] in that world he became a victim of its amoral intrigues."

To counter the victimization by police of blacks, whites, browns, radicals, young people, and poor people, the Left was unanimous in calling for an investigation of Tackwood's allegations. The People's World was the only publication to quote an official other than the Los Angeles Police Department's chief, Ed Davis, when it ran black State Senator Mervyn Dymally's statement:

"It seems to me that possible law enforcement interference into election

processes, and use of paid informers in city elections would be an appropriate area for study by the state legislature's newly created joint committee for revision of the election code

Dynally, who is on the committee and s head of the Senare's Elections and Reapportionment Committee, added that the public ought to know to what extent law enforcement officials and their informers are medding to local and state elections."

The News Advisors recognized the ambiguity of Tackword Seposition, yet

noted,
"... Tackwood's personal character and his inclination to love the one he's with' are not the main issue Tackwood was a police informer and this fact is central to the story and has been confirmed by independent sources.

LANA [Los Angele virus Advanta] recommends that all sources should DEMAND that the los Angeles Polices Department answers lack wood sallegations and that a full-scale Congressional investigation be faunched immediately." immediately.

College students have been in the forefront of protest against illegal, inhumane and repressive policies on the

the police state when he appeared at San Fernando Valley State and San Diego State Colleges The two big western college dailies, the U.C.L.A. Daily Bruin and the U.C. Berkeley Daily Californian, stuck to a straight factual account of the events. It should be noted that in recent years, the Regents of the University of California have brought increasing pressure to bear on the editorial policies of the campus papers.

The Daily Bruin article spoke of Tack wood as an "alleged" police informer (after the New York Times, Los Angeles Times, and Washington Post had revealed conclusively that he was). The story highlighted Tackwood's knowledge about Angela Davis, who had been a U.C.L.A. instructor prior to her arrest.

The Daily Cal ran an equally disinterested story. A prefatory editor's note even displayed some doubt as to Tackwood's credibility:

"However, according to the Los Angeles Times, Tackwood's allegations have changed several times. He has aligned himself sometimes with leftist radicals against the police, sometimes with the police against the radicals, and sometimes with the District Attorney's office against both."

Perhaps the paper's misgivings about Tackwood stemmed from its findings

reported in an accompanying article, an interview with radical black city councilman Ira Simmons, elected as part of the April Coalition in 1971 In the interview Simmons denied one of Tackwood's allegations which was that Simmons and D Army Bailey had madvertently discovered Tackwood's police status. Simmons claimed shotead that Tackwood had recely revealed his role in an attempt to an Simmons confidence and achieve a postumination then the campaign Simmons anded has been there was more a postumination then there was more supported by the drifted was from the sample.

The drift of the sample of the drifted was from the sample of the drifted was from the sample.

The drift of the large of the state of the Office of the Simmons and the sample of the Simmons and the sample of the Simmons and the Simmons of the Simmons o the April Coalition in 1971. In the in-

The Sar Sources steering and the skepoffer it some students there is not ell
apparential act sources and
parential act sources and
bearth or as any speed was
heeded as one of a sestudent observed. Who knows could still be
working for the LA know

Although the print media covered
much of the Tacky on his closures fully,
there were only scatt of reports of the
matter on radio and existent. The selfcontessed presocate was interviewed
by several different revision newsmen,
and he even apported on a local
talkshow. But the her of this show was
subsequently fired, and the other interviews never go past the editorial chopping blocks. This more restricted coverage demonstrates the difficulty of airing diverse views on radio and television as compared to the relative ease of getting into print. Apparently, Marshall McLuhan notwithstanding, the linear media will continue to have a linear media will continue to have a sizable impact in communications for a long time to come, since they have more space and time.

The only bright spot in electronic coverage was the extensive attention given the case by KPFK, the local listener-sponsored radio station run by the Pacifica Foundation. The station aired Tackwood's press conferences and followed up closely all angles of his story. As a result, KPFK was presented the Golden Mike Award by the local broadcast journalists' association.

The question the editors of the New York Times have asked themselves before publishing the Pentagon Papers is applicable here: "Do we as a newspaper really try to get at the facts by pursuing the implications of this man's story; or do we let sleeping dogs lie and preserve the status quo, by abandoning the story as a momentary sensation or isolated event?" Fortunately for America and posterity, they chose to take the crucial rep towards truth.

In the Tackwood case, unfortunately, he opposite was the case. The story was printed but played down in the general press. This was easier in the Tackwood portant, were not cast in the same terms of acceptability as was the war issue. Tackwood did not have "the silent majority" behind him. Daniel Ellsberg wrote from his professional niche in the Rand Corporation; Tackwood was a loudy figure from the underworld. Ellsberg was white, educated, middleclass; he had a distinct advantage over lackwood, who was young, black, a convicted felon, a "child of the ghetto streets," whom the establishment press could shrug off with impunity. Ellsberg had the "papers" to prove his allegations; Tackwood had enty his hellish given to fluid expression and uninhibited acting out of what he had to say, and as such, his was a personality somewhat foreign, somewhat strange, to the reading

After it was all written, the question remained: Who is Louis Tackwood? Few could come close to the answer, including Louis Tackwood himself. As anyone who deals in words or ideas knows, "truth" is not easily found; it is a very slippery substance. It was on a stage of this slippery substance that Tackwood balanced provocatively as a bit player in his own drama within a drama.

Yet the role of Louis Tackwood was not some ethereal charade played out on a metaphysical plane. His character of a man with many faces, trapped between a hostile police department and a wondering public, was all too firmly rooted in American social reality. And it is a reality that continues to permeate our lives, whether we know it or not.

I'm going to show you why Karl Fleming was mad. The reason they gave him for squashing the story was that it was too long, it would take five columns and it was a week old. And what made him mad, they gave five pages to Disneyland . . . So really, what they're saying is, [the new] Disneyland opening up is more important than what the police are doing in America.