PRESIDENT'S AIM PRESIDENT'S AIM IS TO PROD SOVIET IS TO PROD SOVIET

AUG 2 0 1975 , Remark on Budget Increase

for Arms Is Part of Plan to Adopt Tougher Stand

NYTimes

By LESLIE H. GELB Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Aug. 19ward a new Soviet-American nuclear arms pact was too slow and uncertain and that President Ford and Secretary of State Kissinger wanted to apply additional pressure on the Rus-

The officials said that was why President Ford, speaking in Minneapolis today, warned that he would ask for a \$2.8billion increase in spending on strategic nuclear arms unless a new nuclear arms pact was reached with Moscow.

This latest negotiating tactic is similar to the one used by former President Richard M. Nixon and Mr. Kissinger in 1972, months before the conclusion of the first five-year nuclear pact reached by the United States and the Soviet Union.

At that time, on orders from the White House, the Pentagon was directed to increase its spending request on nuclear arms by about \$2-billion.

Administration officials said that the idea for the tough line toward Moscow on nuclear arms originated with Mr. Kissinger in a discussion with the President about two months

Continued From Page 1, Col. 7

the Pentagon study the forces that might be needed if no new agreement was reached.

After the President returned from his recent European trip; Mr. Schlesinger presented him with a one-page memorandum outlining an accelerated program and its costs.

Mr. Ford asked for a more High Administration officials detailed presentation, and Mr. Schlesinger complied about two request.

Mention in Speech Agreed On

The officials said that Mr. Kissinger and the President then agreed that the President should use the \$2.8-billion should use the \$2.8-billion figure in one of his speeches. This was discussed with Mr. Schlesinger and he gave his approval as well. \$2.8-billion

The current \$2.8-billion shopping list prepared by the Pentagon includes only one new program—mobile land and air-launched intercontinental mis-siles—not presently being funded, Administration officials said.

The other items would involve the acceleration of re-search and development and procurement of nuclear weapons already being funded. The Pentagon has not

The Pentagon has not requested these additional funds and has not been planning on them. The Pentagon's current budget request is \$9.6-billion

for strategic weapons, with billions more in indirect costs. But Defense Secretary Schle-singer's position from the start has been that new nuclear weapons would be needed if Moscow did not make satis-factory concessions toward new

About the same time, other officials said, Secretary of Defense James R. Schlesinger suggested to President Ford that Continued on Page 13, Column 1

factory concessions toward new agreement.

Mr. Schlesinger's preference, however, was been clearly expressed for reducing expenditures on strategic weapons. In a secret memorandum on defense spending over the next five years, he directed that

about \$5-billion previously marked for strategic weapons about be transferred to conventional nonnuclear forces.

Both the President and Mr. Kissinger have been coming under heavy criticism in recent months from conservatives for having placed too much faith in detente with Moscow and for being too soft in their deal-ings with Moscow on nuclear

arms.
White Kouse officials said that Mr. Ford had consulted with members of the Republican party, including former Defense Secretary Melvin R. Laird, who urged him to be tougher with the Russians. Mr. Ford and Mr. Kissinger had been planning on reaching agreement on nuclear arms at agreement on nuclear arms at or before the Soviet Communist party leader, Leonid I. Brez-hnev, visited Washington in the fall.

Soviets Reports Arms Gain

MOSCOW, Aug. 19 (Reuters) The Soviet press agency
Tass, said today that a new
United States-Soviet agreement
on limiting their nuclear arsenals was well withing reach.
The Tass commentary came.

The Tass commentary came within hours of warning by President Ford that the United spending on nuclear arms if no agreement was reached at strategic arms limitation talks in Geneva.

A Tass commentator Igor Yefimov said: "There is no reason
to speak about an impasse.
Those reiterating the 'lack of
progress' contentions either fail
to understand the serious to understand the seriousness of the talks or attempt to deliberately misrepresent