# Testimony by Dr. X in 1966 About Curare A Key Factor of New Inquiry Into Deaths

NYTimes JAN 8 1976

By M. A. FARBER Special to The New York Times

HACKENSACK, N. J., Jan. 7 Between Sept. 21, 1965, and Sept. 28, 1966, Dr. X bought. 24 vials of purified curare from a surgical supply company in northern New Jersey, according to records in the original investigation into the "unusual or unexplained" deaths at Riverdell Hospital in Oradell.

On Nov. 1, 1966, 18 vials cf curare—most of them nearly empty—were found in Dr. X's locker at Riverdell after it was opened by Dr. Stanley Harris, another surgeon, who had come to suspect Dr. X of killing pa-

tients at the hospital.

Why Dr. X bought the curare, and why he kept many vials of the respiratory depressant in his locker, were key questions asked during the investigation in November 1966 conducted by Guy W. Calissi, who was the Bergen County Prosecutor.

In his testimony, Dr. X denied any wrongdoing and explained that he was using the curare in his research on dogs.

tered to relax muscles during surgery, but it can be lethal if improperly used.

This is the second of two articles concerning an investigation into the possibility that nine or more patients were murdered over a 10-month period at Riverdell Hospital in Oradell, N.J. nearly a decade ago.

The first article disclosed that Joseph C. Woodcock Jr., the Bergen County Prosecutor, had reopened an official inquiry into the deaths as a result of an investigation by The New York

Times. Mr. Woodcock has obtained a court order for

the exhumation of three bodies whose tissues will be examined for curare. A 1966 investigation centered on whether a Riverdell surgeon had used curare to kill his col-leagues' patients. That That inquiry began when 18 vials of the drug were found in the surgeon's locker at the hospital.

Because the surgeon, who no longer practices at Riverdell, was not charged with a crime, his name is being withheld by The Times and he is being referred to as Dr.

In 1962 Dr. X joined the a posterior liver biopsy test was used to purchase 12 dogs dogs for these experiments. Calissi that curare was not 1964, he said he began to buy involved in the research, that curare and to obtain dogs in the experiments were done un- an "unofficial" way at the curare is sometimes adminisschool, and that the project Detectives assigned to the inschool, and that the project ended in 1964.

But, Dr. X testified, he wanted to do additional research fied curare bought by Dr. X \$\$ Money \$\$ Rent-Lease—TRY US!! on dogs in 1965-66, including Continued on Page 22, Column 1

faculty of a medical school in that, he said, would require the New Jersey as a part-time, un- use of curare. And although he salaried lecturer. Within a year testified that he earned \$50,000 he had received a \$500 grant at Riverdell alone in the year to develop a medical instru- preceding the investigation, he ment and \$300 of that grant said he could not afford to buy for the project. Dr. X told Mr. So after his grant expired in

> vestigation in November 1966 found that the 24 vials of puri-

Continued From Page 1, Col. 7

from the General Surgical Sup-ty Company — usually in mounts of 10 cc each — had been purchased over a period hat paralleled the "unusual"

leaths at Riverdell.

leaths at Riverdell.
During that period Dr. X estified that he would wait intil Sal Riggi, who was in parge of the animal quarters it the medical school, went tome at 5 P.M. Then, by tipping "attendants" or "sweeping" a dollar or two, he could experiment on "dying dogs" eft strapped down on tables of the researchers who had completed their experiments in the quarters. He added that no file had ever seen him do the esearch. esearch. He said he performed about

He said he performed about it different experiments on ach dog, the last of which has the liver biopsy. In that aperiment, he continued, he would give the dog at least 10 c of curare to inhibit its reathing while he attempted of insert a needle into the dog's wer without hitting its abound.

None of the attendants who worked in the animal quarters

the medical school in 1965 1966 was questioned during Calissi's investigation. Only r. Calissi's investigation. Only ne—Dewey Mincey—could be and by The New York Times ew. And he, like Mr. Riggi and everal officials of the medical, chool who were recently inviviewed, said he knew of no ving dogs or experiments in the animal quarters in the mid-960's

initially, Dr. X testified that kept a supply of curare at medical school. Then he wid that he transported the rare back and forth to Riverel Hospital several miles away scause the glass-enclosed lockat the medical school was of safe enough for such a po-untially dangerous drug. Then said he often left his metal cker at Riverdell open.

### yringes Kept y the Surgeon

The surgeon said that he had tained the glass, disposable tringes that were found in his cker at Riverdell because they are expensive and could be sed repeatedly in his dog ex-

Dr. X also said he had kept e empty vials of curare as "control." In the event the rug did not work, he said, he puld complain to the General largical Supply Company. In ct, the surgeon stressed, he d find some of the curare fully and he complained to

on Nov. 14, 1966, three days ter Dr. X's testimony, com-iny officials told detectives

any officials told detectives at they had never received a implaint from Dr. X.

At first Dr. X testified that, cause he kept the empty lals, he did not have to write with the lot number and did ung as a means of identifican. Then he said the lot number was the first thing he wrote lown in his notes, which he id he no longer had. Then again said he did not write lote number and did at know which vial of curare id been used on which dog. Dr. X did not submit to the Dr. X did not submit to the osecutor any research papers emming from his work with trare. But he turned in two

poks on human anatomy in hich several pages had pencil arks saying "Move it [the mg] up with curare!!!" The ord curare was underlined ree times at each reference. addition, one of the pages intained what Dr. X said was box score indicating whether had done a successful liver opsy on a number of occaopsy on a number of occa-

#### quiries by Times re Unanswered

Dr. X, a medical doctor who ill practices privately in New resey and is associated with more medical institutions, did it respond to repeated rests by The New York Times ran interview. But his stateents regarding his experients on dogs are being close-texamined by Joseph C. Toodcock Jr., the present Berm County Prosecutor, in his newed investigation of the aths at Riverdell.

Mr. Woodcock, who is being Dr. X, a medical doctor who

Aths at Riverdell.

Mr. Woodcock, who is being ded in his investigation by assistant prosecutor, Sybil oses, said he had reopened e. case "as a result of The pres's inquiry and as a result our own study of the official e."

When Mr. Calissi's investiga-

tion began in 1966, Riverdell officials submitted files in 13 deaths—apparently selected, in large measure, by Dr. Harris and Dr. Alan Lans of Riverdell. But in the course of testimony, several of the deaths were dismissed by some Riverdell doctors as being clearly attribut-

missed by some Riverdell doctors as being clearly attributable to natural causes.

Dr. Edward Frieman, a director of the hospital who was chairman of its mortality review committee, went over the deaths one by one at the request of the Prosecutor and found eight that might have resulted from an injection of curare. But of the eight deaths, he said, several might also have been brought about by the pabeen brought about by the patients' illnesses.

Several of the Riverdell doc-

Several of the Riverdell doctors testified as to an alleged pattern that led Dr. Harris to suspect Dr. X.

In many of the cases in which death was unanticipated, they said, Dr. X ministered to the patients prior to death or was in the hospital when death coursed even at what death occurred, even at what some doctors said were odd hours for him.

#### Nurse Would Call To Give Report

Invariably, Dr. Harris testifed, he would get a call from a nurse, Teresa Cassell, saying "'Dr. Harris, your patient is not doing well, Dr. X is attending your patient.' It rings in my mind over and over, "The patient is not doing very well, Dr. X. is attending your patient.'"

The doctors also said that

The doctors also said that Dr. X. had set up intravenous feeding tubes on several patients who, shortly thereafter, had sudden difficulty in breathing and died. In all 13 cases, the patients had I.V.'s running. The tubes, some doctors testified, would facilitate a quick and simple injection of any drug, including curare.

With one exception, none of the "unusual" deaths occurred while Dr. X was on vacation, some doctors testified. That exception was the case of Mary Muentener, an 80-year-old woman who lived for several

woman who lived for several days after she experienced a sudden respiratory failure on Aug. 28 — before Dr. X went on vacation. "As soon as he came back," Dr. Harris testified, "I was in trouble again."

None of the patients on whom Dr. X operated appeared to have died inexplicably. Two of his patients are believed to have died post-surgically in 1966, but details on the cases could not be learned.

In one of the cases to be investigated, Dr. X was scheduled to perform elective surgery on 73-year-old Carl Rohrbeck. Mr. Rohrbeck, whose death was the only one of the 13 to occur before 1966, entered Riverdell on Dec. 12, 1965 for repair of a ventral hernia. A preoperative examination found no abnormality in his heart or chest, according to his hospital chart. But that evening Dr. X canceled the surtered Riverdell on Dec. 12, 1965, detected "minimal clinical signs of heart failure" that he wanted evaluated. evaluated.

## 3 Exhumations Due Within a Week

By JOSEPH F. SULLIVAN

Special to The New York Times

HACKENSACK, Jan. 7 - The bodies of three former hospital patients who may have been murdered 10 years ago by the improper administration of curare will be exhumed "within the next seven days" and sent to the New York City Medical Examiner's office for testing, Joseph C. Woodcock Jr., the Bergen County Prosecutor, said here today.

Mr. Woodcock, who has reopened the investigation into 13 suspicious deaths at Riverdell Hospital in Oradell between November 1965 and October 1966, said information uncovered by The New York Times "is actually the thing that tripped it."

The Prosecutor said his interest in the 10-year-old case had already been awakened last summer by reports of a Federal investigation into the mysterious deaths of several patients at a Veterans Administration hospital in Ann Arbor,

Mich.

He said he was going over the files of the 1966 Riverdell investigation conducted by his predecessor, Guy W. Calissi, now a Superior Court judge, "principally to make them available for the F.B.I.," when M. A. Farber of The New York Times, who was investigating the Riverdell cases. showed him a the Riverdell cases, showed him a deposition "not in our file" and provided

additional information that convinced the Prosecutor to begin his own investigation.

Judge Calissi said today that his office had conducted "an intensive investiga-tion" into the deaths at Riverdell. "I had my deep and strong suspicions, but you can't present suspicions to a grand jury; they're there to hear people testify to evidence and documents," he said.

650 Pages of Testimony

"At the time we were told there was no way to trace curare whatsoever," Mr. Calissi continued. "My staff took 650 pages of statements, including 200 pages alone from 'Dr. X' and you don't do that unless you are conducting a serious investigation.

Dr. X has never been accused of any wrongdoing and still practices privately in New Jersey. Mr. Woodcock refused today to name him. "We have no right to take such a position until we investi-gate the case fully," he said.

The autopsies on the bodies of the

three persons buried in New Jersey as well as two more bodies buried in New York will be conducted by Dr. Michael M. Baden, the Deputy Chief Medical Examiner of New York City. Mr. Woodcock said that since the Bergen County medical examiner's office had participated in the order of the conductive the conduc pated in the earlier investigation, he wanted the new autopsies conducted by an independent outside agency.

At Dr. Sklar's request, Dr. X returned to look at Mr. Rohrbeck at 7:45 A.M. At that time Dr. X started an intravenous feeding tube on Mr. Rohrbeck, the hospital chart noted. Again Dr. X came back to Dr. Sklar and said that he would not operate on Mr. Rohrbeck.

"He called for the next case," Dr. Sklar testified. "I remember very vividly I was just going to say to him, "That's the last patient I'm ever going to send you," when the nurse came in and said 'Dr. Sklar, your Mr. Rohrbeck just died."

Dr. Sklar, who told the Prosecutor that he was "flabber-gasted" by the sudden death, having difficulty breathing. Mrs. Henderson had complained of severe abdominal plained of abdominal plained of severe abdominal plained of abdominal plained of severe abdomi

Dr. Jorge Ortega, an interne, and Dr. X responded to a call for help from the nurse. A respiratory stimulant was given, and Mr. Biggs's heart was massaged externally, but the pa-tient was pronounced dead at 9:30 P.M.

An autopsy revealed no anatomic cause of death. Although Mr. Biggs's heart was found to be entirely normal, his death was ascribed to an excessively rapid heart beat caused by a large amount of urine in the bladder. Mr. Bigg's body will be exhumed.

In his interview with detec

tives in 1966, Dr. Briski recalled that a half-hour after Mr. Biggs

that a half-hour after Mr. Biggs had died, Mrs. Muentener, who had acute peritonitis, suffered a respiratory arrest.

The elderly patient, who Dr. Briski said had a mucous plug in her air passages, improved after receiving artificial respiration. The next morning, at 7:45 A.M., Mrs. Muentener was "conscious and alert," but at 8:10 A.M. a nurse was unable to ob-A.M. a nurse was unable to obtain her blood pressure. The patient did not respond to treatment before she was pronounced dead on Sept. I. No autopsy was done. There is no indication in this case that Dr. indication in this case that Dr. X attended her.

Dr. Briski also mentioned the case of Edith Post, a 62-yearand provided an independent outside agency.

case of Edith Post, a 62-year-old patient who, he said, died minutes after Dr. X visited her at Dr. Briski's request on May 17. The previous morning with the county medical examiner.

On autopsy, Mr. Rohrbeck was prepared for surgery the next morning. When Dr. X came to the hospital about 7:30 A.M. he visited Mr. Rohrbeck and again canceled the surgery. He then informed coronary artery—a long-term was treated. Shortly before her condition—and his death was Mr. Rohrbeck's doctor, Jay ascribed to "coronary occlusion."

Sklar, who was unaware of the cancelation and was getting Dr. X, in his testimony, said

Mr. Rohrbeck's doctor, Jay Sklar, who was unaware of the cancelation and was getting ready for the operation in the hospital's dressing room.

Dr. Sklar, who is a director of the hospital, testified in 1966 that he was mystified by the cancelation because Dr. X told him only that he had had a premonition" and did not want to proceed with the operation as as result.

Dr. Sklar then went to see Mr. Rohrbeck who, he recalled, asked him, "What's holding up the show?" "I listened to his lungs and I took his blood pressure," Dr. Sklar told Mr. Calissi. "Everything was satisfactory tomy way of thinking."

Dr. Sklar then went to the dressing room and said to Dr. X. "I just don't understand. This isn't the Dark Ages; you've got to give me a better reason." At Dr. Sklar's request, Dr. X "A patient, but as a jinx because that of Margaret Henderson, a briski, the Dark Ages; you've got to give me a better reason." At Dr. Sklar's request, Dr. X "A patient, but as a jinx because that of Margaret Henderson, a briski, the Dark Ages; you've got to give me a better reason." At Dr. Sklar's request, Dr. X "A patient, but as a jinx because that of Margaret Henderson, a briski, the Dark Ages; you've got to give me a better reason." At Dr. Sklar's request, Dr. X "A patient, but as a jinx because that of Margaret Henderson, a briski, the Dark Ages; you've got to give me a better reason." At Dr. Sklar's request, Dr. X "A patient, but as a jinx because that of Margaret Henderson, a briski, the Dark Ages; you've got to give me a better reason." At Dr. Sklar's request, Dr. X "A patient, but as a jinx because that of Margaret Henderson, a briski, the Dark Ages; you've got to give me a better reason." At Dr. Sklar's request, Dr. X "A patient, but as a jinx because that of Margaret Henderson, a briski, the Dark Ages; you've got to give me a better reason." Patient Develops

Mrs. Henderson had plained of severe abdo abdominal

at to give me a better reason."
At Dr. Sklar's request, Dr. X
returned to look at Mr. Rohrbeck at 7:45 A.M. At that time
Dr. X started an intravenous
feeding tube on Mr. Rohrbeck,
1966 by Dr. Briski we have beck at 7:45 A.M. At that time
Dr. Trank Biggs. a 59-y-

an inability to swallow and of pain in her legs and chest and Dr. X started an I.V. of glucose and water. Whether the I.V. was set up before or after the

patient's complaints is unclear. Sometime during the next 45 minutes Mrs. Henderson was visited by another doctor and Dr. Livingston, her own physician, was called. At 8:45 A.M., the chart noted, she was pro-nounced dead.

An autopsy attributed Mrs. Henderson's death to acute hepatic necrosis—hepatitis. Dr. X, in his later testimony, concurred with that finding when asked about her death. But Dr. Frieman and Dr. Harris both argued in their testimony that autopsy conclusion wrong.

With a necrotic liver, Mrs. With a necrotic liver, Mrs. Henderson would have been jaundiced, Dr. Frieman testified. "Why the sudden demise within a half-hour?" he said. "She didn"t develop the necrotic liver overnight, and I felt this was not a liver death."

### No Clear Indication Of Cause of Death

Dr. D.E. Brown, the pathologist who did the microscopic examination for the autopsy, stated in his separate report that he had found nothing in Mrs. Henderson's liver or other organs "which is clearly indicative of the cause of death." Mrs. Henderson's body will be exhumed.

In their testimony, some Riverdell doctors discussed possible motives for Dr. X to kill patients at the hospital. One motive was insanity. The second, they speculated, might have been an effort to discredit other surgeons and perhaps gain a larger share of operations and thus higher fees.

"We are talking about big money here," said Dr. Frieman, Shaw family named Mooney. The said.

"Just didn't want anybody else in that hospital doing surgery except himself."

There is no agreement on why so so "fascinated" by the case that he wanted to write a book about it.

Sources close to Judge Calissi said that he still felt, as he remarked in 1966 after his first conversations with Dr. X. and the Riverdell directors, that the many unresolved questions when the investigation was closed out was the so-called "Somebody is lying."

Dr. Lans and Dr. Harris had both testified that on the morning of the death of Mrs. Eileen Shaw, who died two days after to giving birth to a baby—they gain a larger share of operations and thus higher fees.

"We are talking about big money here," said Dr. Frieman, Shaw family named Mooney. Years," he said. Dr. D.E. Brown, the patholo-



The New York Times/Jan. 8, 1976 Riverdell Hospital, where the deaths occurred, is in Oradell in Bergen County.

Dr. X allegedly told Dr. Harris and Dr. Lans that Mr. Mooney was threatening a malpractice suit.

During the investigation Fred C. Galda, the chief assistant prosecutor at the time, identified Mr. Mooney as John T. Mooney, a Hackensack lawyer who is, now a County Court judge here. But, in recent interviews, Judge Mooney said he did not know the Shaw family and the Shaw family said they had never heard of Mr. Mooney.

At the close of Dr. X's testimony on Nov. 11, Mr. Calissi said that "obviously we are not completed with the questioning" of the surgeon. But there is no indication that Dr. X testified again.

Mr. Calissi and Mr. Galda, without judging Dr. X. "If there are two surgeons dividing \$60,000 and one surgeon dividing \$60,000, it's a little different."

Dr. Harris testified that Dr. X "just didn't want anybody else in that hospital doing surgery except himself."

There is no agreement on why the Calissi investigation in Sources close to Judge Calissi