

LOCAL STUDENTS BACK ASSOCIATION

But Aides on 40 Campuses Deplore C.I.A. Disclosures

The chairman of National Student Association chapters on more than 40 campuses in the metropolitan area predicted yesterday that student governments would stay with the organization rather than disaffiliate because of its link with the Central Intelligence Agency.

Lynda Millsbaugh, a student at City College, said that the group believed affiliation was "much too valuable to throw away."

"Most feel we should stay in, and just want to make sure that this will never happen again," she said.

But a referendum on City College's membership in the association may be put before the student body in May. A motion to this effect was introduced in the Student Council last night and will be considered next week.

The author of the motion, Jerry Zuckerman, said a debate would give those who opposed the association a chance to state their views. His own opposition, he said, stemmed from what he called the leftist and antifraternity positions it had taken.

'Stop N.S.A. Committee'

Steven Schlesinger, a former member of the City College Student Council, said that a "Stop N.S.A. Committee" had been chartered to urge the student government's withdrawal. He said the group objected to what he called the left-wing views of association leaders.

"I like the C.I.A., but it has no place in N.S.A.," he said.

In Cambridge, Mass., the president of the Harvard Undergraduate Council, Gregory Craig, said he had learned last December about Ramparts magazine's plan to publish an article on the association. He said the association's officers had been worried about recouping the group's prestige after breaking its link with the C.I.A. Mr. Craig is a member of the association but has never held office in it.

"I think it is a tragic thing for N.S.A.," Mr. Craig said. "Perhaps Ramparts in its attempt to get at the C.I.A. has done great harm to a very important student organization."

In Chicago, David Satter, editor of The Maroon, a student publication at the University of Chicago, said:

"If, as it appears, the N.S.A. accepted funds from the C.I.A., it didn't have any effect on the thinking or policies of the people involved."

Mr. Satter, a delegate to the association's congress last summer, said his impression was that "almost no one there was aware that this was going on."

'Good Source of Money'

David Aiken, executive editor of The Maroon and for three years a delegate to the association's congress, said:

"This didn't come as a complete surprise to too many people, although many might say so. It was as good a source of money as any other. If the extent of the work was merely reporting back on international conferences, that wasn't bad; businessmen do that all the time.

"However, I think the rank and file are somewhat troubled

by the N.S.A.'s way of terminating its connection with the C.I.A. If, when the national office decided to do this it had been brought out in the open to the membership, this whole flap might have been avoided."

NYT
2-16-67