HE NEW YORK TIMES, FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1975

# Mock Poisoning of Subway Is Described to Senators

Engineer Says 'Bulbs' on Tracks Burst and Contents Were Quickly Spread by Wind of Passing Trains

NYTimes by Nicholas M. Horrock 1 9 1975

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Sept. 18— Army scientists secretly spread Army scientists secretly spread simulated biological poison on two subway lines in Manhattan in the mid nineteen-sixties to test the vulnerability of the New York subway system to a biological warfare attack, a Department of Defense e ngineer testified today.

Charles Senseney, a project engineer who developed wealons such as an electric poison.

engineer who developed weapons such as an electric poison dart gun and a system to spread biological poison from a fluorescent bulb, told the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence that he tookp art in the New York "vulnerability study" as one of many such efforts aimed at testing the dangers of biological warfare. His account provided the first substantial details of the sub-

His account provided the first substantial details of the subway project, which was disclosed Tuesday in testimony and documents submitted to the Senate panel by William E. Colby, the Director of Central Intelligence.

Mr. Senseney said the studies, conducted by the staff of the Army laboratories at Detrick, Md., had be en performed on behalf of the Army and the Central Intelligence Agency. They included tests at the White House, the Pentagon, a Food and Drug Administration building in Washington and McGuire Air Force base in New Jersey.

# Subway Tests Described

In a meeting with reporters after today's hearing, Mr. Senseney gave this account of the New York City subway experiments:

experiments:

Possibly in 1966 or 1967,
Mr. Senseney and 20 other Fort
Detrick employes were sent to
New York for the two-week
test. Th e"operator" of the test
threw "bulbs" of a simulated
biological poison on the tracks
of two subway lines. He said
he believed, but could not be
sure, they they were the Sixth
and Eighth Avenue lines in
Manhattan.

The bulbs burst and the wind

The bulbs burst and the wind of the passing subway trains spread the simulated poison along the tracks. He said that in the short time it took for two trains to pass the simulated poison was spread from 15th Street to 58th Street.

Mr. Senseney's conclusion, which appeared to be the conclusion of the experiment, was that the subway system could "not be safeguarded against" this type of attack. If the attack was carried out during rush hours, he said, it would "put New York out of commission."

Mr. Senseney said his role in the experiment was as a "sampler." He and part of the team rode the subways with a sampling device to test the Mr. Senseney's conclusion,

a sampling device to test the spread of the simulated poison. His was kept on his belt with the appearance of a photographic light meter, he said.

# City Officials Not Told

City Officials Not Told

He said the other detection delivices had been hidden in pocketbooks and other camoflauges so the subway passengers would not know what was being done. He said that to his knowledge — and Senate committee documents appear to confirm this —neither the New York City Government nor the Transit Authority officials were aware that such a test was being conducted.

He said that the stimulant, which he declined to identify, was harmless. Several biologists, however, said it was impossible to tell if the stimulant was entirely harmless without knowing what it was.

Mr. Senseney said that, "de-

Mr. Senseney said that, "depending on the agent" used, someone who wanted to attack the subways could either introduce material to kill large numbers of the passengers or make them ill. He said the tests were conducted between rush hours, but that a real attempt to disbut that a real attempt to disable the city would call for an attack during rush hours.

Mr. Senseney said the New York project was part of a broader effort to discover how vulnerable the United States was to germ warfare, stretch-ing from the early nineteen-six-

He knew of, but did not participate directly in, tests at the White House, Mr. Senseney said. He added that the White House experiment had revealed that the building had faulty air filters that made it extemely vulnerable to a biological attack tack.

#### Dye in Water System

Mr. Senseney confirmed un-Mr. Senseney confirmed under questioning by Senator Gary Hart, Democrat of Colorado, that the Fort Detrick labs had secretly placed a colored dye into the water system of a Washington building used by the Food and Drug Administration to test how fast the occupants could be killed or incapational desired by distroducing a biological state. citated by (introducing a biolo-gical agent into the water sysgloar agent into the water system. Mr. Senseney said he had developed a special drill that allowed the dye to be punched into a water pipe without leakage or a change in the water pressure.

pressure.

Mr. Senseney, said that his speciality at the Fort Detrick laboratories was making "hardware" devices to deliver points. sons and biological agents.

sons and biological agents.

He said he developed the "M-1" dart gun system, an apparatus for firing poison darts. The electric dart gun shown by the C.I.A. at Tuesday's hearing was one of the guns developed as a by-product of this system, Mr. Senseney said.

He said that his wait

He said that his unit, the Special Operations Division at Fort Detrick had received assignments to create exotic weasignments to create exotic weaponry. Most of the assignments came from the Army, mainly the special forces, he said, though he recalled one request from the C.I.A. to develop a hand-held dart gun that could shoot a poison dart into a dog without leaving a trace.

## Use in Asia Reported

Authoritative intelligence sources said that poison darts had been used operationally in Asia to "incapacitate" guard dogs at installations the C.I.A. wanted to enter surreptitiously. The poison did not kill the dogs, these sources said; instead it put them out for several hours but left no trace so that examination would not reveal the dogs had been out of

veal the dogs had been out of action.

Mr. Senseney said that the agency had asked for some 50 of these weapons and that he believed the agency had used them operationally. On several occasions, he said, he delivered the waepons to agency officials and they were returned six or seven weeks expended. "We didn't get any feedback [from the agency] so you didn't know whether the device worked or not," Mr. Senseney said.

under questioning by Senator Walter Huddleston, Democrat of Kentucky, Mr. Senseney acknowledged that the system could have been used to kill human beings and he could not rule out the possibility that this had been done had been done.

had been done.

He said he had developed darts that would go through clothing and enter human beings without a trace. He said that one device had been developed for the Vietnam war but had not been put into production quickly enough to be utilized.

### Too 'Exotic' For Use

Mr. Senseney said he had also developed dart launchers that appeared to be walking canes and umbrellas, as well as a deand umbrellas, as well as a device that fitted into a fluore-scent bulb and spread a biologi-cal poison when the light was switched on. Later he told newsmen it could easily "take out" more than 100 newsmen



The New York Times/George Tames

Charles Senseney, right, a former projects engineer for the Department of Defense, talks with Frank Church, chairman of Senate panel on intelligence, before testifying.

Mr. Senseney was called to tsetiffy in the committee's continuing inquiry into why the C.I.A. failed to comply with a 1969 Presidential order to destry biochemical weapons.

According to witnesses over the said he presumed it was be-

and staff members as well as poison, testified that he decided policemen, Senators and the public.

Mr. Senseney said this device was so exotic "even the special forces didn't want it."

Mr. Senseney was called to the Agency lab in the sending their supplies of the toxin to the C.I.A.

The committee will continued the investigation, its chairman, senator Frank Church Demo-

The committee will continued the investigation, its chairmant Senator Frank Church, Democrat of Idaho, said.

stry biochemical weapons.

According to witnesses over the past three days, the agency discovered earlier this year some 11 grams of a lethal shell-fish toxin in a storeroom at one of its Washington laboratories. This material should have been destroyed under the conditions of a Presidential order framed in November, 1969, and sent to Federal agencies in February, 1970.

Dr. Nathan Gordon, the agency official in charge of the stream of the toxin was not destroyed, but said he presumed it was because the agency wanted it retained.

It was still unclear after to day's session why 11 grams was on hand in 1975 because the inventories made in 1970 indicated that the agency had a stockpile of only some 5.9 grams.

Senator Richard S. Scweicker, Republican of Pennsylvania, has suggested by his questioning of shellfish to make one gram agency official in charge of the station was not destroyed, but said he presumed it was because the agency wanted it retained.

Earlier today, Dr. Edward Schantz, a former Army scientist, told how he had superious of a Presidential order framed in indicated that the agency had a stockpile of only some 5.9 grams.

Senator Richard S. Scweicker, Republican of Pennsylvania, has of shellfish. It took 100 pounds of shellfish to make one gram of the poison, he said.