The Danger of Stonewalling Post 1/14

sations for three dates is corrosively President Nixon's refusal to yield innocence into darkest suspicions of transforming Republican faith in his tape recordings of presidential conver-

and staunchly partisan Republican, told us bluntly: "The inference when the Fifth Amendment is for three dates in 1972-April 4, June justly or unjustly, one of guilt." votes for impeachment. As Rep. Lawneeds on the committee into potential turning the defenders he desperately ate or incriminate the President in the cans believe that the presidential tapes rence Hogan, a Maryland conservative fusing to release them, 20 and June 23-would either exoner-House Judiciary Committee Republi By adamantly re-Mr. Nixon is taken is

against the White House this time. Thus, Republicans on the Judiciary Committee will return from the Memo-Maine out of 17 committee Republi-cans joined the Democrats May 1 in are prepared to align themselves with the first subpoena, many more declaring Mr. Nixon not in compliance ing President Nixon for his defiance. Whereas only Rep. William Cohen of rial Day weekend prepared to join

very Republican support essential to his survival. That erosion today is at Congress, may backfire by eroding the strategy at the White House, intended ment in the committee has reached a the point where impeachment sentito fatigue and delay a disorganized This suggests that the stonewall

> may erode essential Republican support." "The strategy at the White House, intended to fatigue and delay a disorganized Congress,

conjure up an anti-Nixon vendetta. ably lapse into political paranoia and mittee Republicans. Moreover, when Republicans get together they invariout his term. If Rhodes has become an all-out advocate for Mr. Nixon, he could influence some Judiciary Comvately predicts the President will serve have abandoned Mr. Nixon, now prinority Leader who once seemed to The picture is not one-sided. Rep. John Rhodes of Arizona, the House Mi

numbing hours listening to tape renow expert in intricacies, after mind cordings. alities, the committee members are the minority leader still talks in genermore than he about Watergate. While mainly because they know so much Mr. Nixon has gone too far. Their view the committee's Republicans is that differs so sharply For now, however, the mood among from Rhodes's

exonerating and some incriminating even the March 21, 1973, hush-money tape-is ambiguous, containing some Most Republicans feel the evidence-Republican (not Cohen), heard so far incriminate the President. To most Democrats and at least one the tapes

> tangential scandal. peached because of involvement in any In that case, impeachment proceedings would be dead. He will not be imof criminal complicity in the cover-up Watergate tapes could clear Mr. Nixon But nearly all agree that additional

ated the President, a substantial num-ber of committee Republicans would taxes were surrendered and exonermay have been mentioned. If those ments referred to by the President. Rather, they want recordings of presibecome his active defenders. the day when amnesty for the burglars Watergate burglary was presented to John Mitchell; June 20, the first workdential conversations for those three seeking the U-Haul trailer of docuing day after the burglary; June 23 1972 dates: April 4, immediately after Nor are committee Republicans Gordon Liddy's scheme for the

see no justification in the President's denial of all further tapes. More than ever before, they see this denial as a crimson sign of guilt. These same Republicans, therefore

James St. announced the stonewall policy, com-Clair some two weeks ago Nixon defense lawyer

> eration. Hence, Mr. Nixon's letter late Wednesday shocked and angered many mittee Republicans had expected mod-

are inclined that way. sharp letter calling on Mr. Nixon Fish of New York and probably others sponding to the subpoena. Hogan, Rep. ready to join Cohen in authorizing a Railsback of Illinois and Rep. Hamilton Robert McClory and Rep. perform his constitutional duty in re-When the committee meets Thursday morning to decide its future course, many Republicans will be

what evidence he has. sionary quest for a Contempt of Conmittee support in rejecting any diversuit desired by some Republicans. ting the scope of the impeachment pro-Rather, Doar intends to push ahead on gress citation against Mr. Doar has very nearly unanimous comceedings, is dead set against the court Strong-willed counsel John Doar, set-

evidence necessary for the House convicting a President. But he now part spells out the dangers of stonein a Senate trial. That decision on his such evidence might later be obtained decide his guilt or innocence, and that grounds that the President has denied plans to vote for impeachment ance of a House subpoena will ever be viewed by the Senate as reason for peachment. Nor does he believe defithat evidence is not sufficient for imdisagreeing with the Democrats, feels One thoughtful Republican member

@ 1974, Field Enterprises, Inc.