

Jim, I'm finding TheCuban
Invasion quite worthwhile. From
the Miami chapter I've been readi
inf toward the end, now (5:20
a.m. 9/25!) but six pages away.
by the way, pp 118-9 are missing
in this et if you have two of
them. I believe that to the
extent possible this is and was
designed to be a defense of the
CIA. If correct, that Szulc
would write waht is clearly
intended to be an attack on
Hunt as his contribution to
The Watergate in itself is
provocative. It could be a sure
commercial instinct, it could be
a personal matter, it could be
in reaction to a publisher's
proposal. Whatever the origin,
the end is to defend both CIA
and Nixon. After you read, I'd be
interested in your thoughts. And
after I get to the end (I read it
in odds and ends of minutes only)
I'm going back to the beginning
and read to where I began. It is,
I think, important to note that
while he knew Hunt beginning in
1949 and lays the failure of the
invasion to political failures,
he has no mention of Hunt or of
the role Hunt filled even while
discussing precisely those
absolutely mad things Hunt did.

HW 9/25/73