Second Watergate Grand Jury Is Called

By DAVID A. ANDELMAN Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, July 19 Judge John J. Sirica ordered today that a second Watergate grand jury be empaneled at the request of the special prosecutor, Archibald Cox, whose office indicated that the volume of material ready for presentation was more than the origi-

nal grand jury could handle.
The second grand jury will empaneled Aug. 13 and, sources close to the office of Mr. Cox said, will investigate all alleged violations of cam-paign procedures apat from the break-in at the Democratic National Committee headquarters at the Watergate complex June 17, 1972.

At the same session in United States District Court today, Judge Sircia also ordered sealed a brown envelope containing alleged evidence developed by the prosecutors against Gordon C. Strachan, former aide to the one-time White House chief of staff, H.R. Haldeman.

Judge Sirica acted so that prosecution of Mr. Strachan would not be joepardized by allowing the evidence to become "tainted" by Mr. Strachan's public appearance soon before the Senate Watergate committee.

sion, Richard Ben-Veniste, a election campaign, including this evidence before the two former Assistant United States one of \$55,000 that American testified. Attorney in Manhattan and Airlines disclosed July 6 and "a now one of the Watergate spe-lot of others." cial prosecutors, presented a letter from Mr. Cox that stated, "I believe it would be necessary and in the public interest Mr. Cox said, "This would be a that a grand jury be empan-new grand jury for the use of eled as soon as convenient to the Watergate special prosecuinvestigate possible violations" of five sections of the United States Criminal Code.

original grand jury was empaneled last Watergage grand jury had been July to investigate the Water-empaneled by the Sunited Stat-

That jury, which is still

The new grand jury will continue its meetings at the same time, but its scope is expected to be substantially wider, covering a variety of illegal campaign practices during the 1972 the office of the United States Presidential campaign.



The New York Times Judge John J. Sirica

Federal campaign financing limited immunity from prosestatutes, conspiracy to defraud cution. Under this provision, the United States Government, none of the evidence that the campaign contributions by cortiwo present to the Senate Wat-

Cox Sends a Letter

Cox Sends a Letter

At this afternoon's court ses
Cox Sends a Letter

At this afternoon's court ses
Tributions to the Nixor re
Tributio

A Separate Jurisdiction

In his letter to Judge Sirica, tion force under my direction.'

Sources close to the inves-Watergate tigation noted also that the old gate burglary and bugging es Attorney for the District of Last Sept. 15 the jury indicted Columbia, a direct affiliate of the Department of Justice, meeting regularly, was empan-eled for 18 months and will an office separate from the continue its formal existence and maintains offices outside of the Department of Justice building.

Police Corruption Case

Attorney here, was also used Sources close to the special to prosecute a local District of Watergate prosecutor's office Columbia case of police corsaid that the areas to be cov-ruption and further evidence in ered by the new grand jury that case may also be presented, though not by Mr. Cox's office.

The sources indicated that it was likely that Mr. Cox wanted how own grand jury with which he could begin afresh in new areas of evidence in which his prosecution staff has been working.

The other action ordered by Judge Sirica — the sealing of evidence accumulated against Mr. Strachan - was apparently a first step toward prosecution of Mr. Strachan, who is expected to be the next witness before the Senate Watergate committee. Judge Sirica ordered the sealed evidence placed in a safe.

James F. Neal, another Cox assistant, said in his oral argument before Judge Sirica that "this is the same procedure" used for the public testimony of Jhn W. Dean 3d, the for-mer counsel to President Nixon.

Both Mr. Dean and Mr. would include violations of Strachan have been granted porations, extortion by Federal ergate committee may be used officials and obstruction of jusin their criminal prosecution cution can prove that the The area of corporate camevidence was developed indepaign contributions and extor-pendently from their Senate