## worski Will Not Confirm

By Susanna McBee Washington Post Staff Writer

day he was having discussions with some individuals under investigation, but he stopped short of calling the meetings plea-bargaining sessions.

Interviewed on NBC-TV's "Today" show (WRC) Jaworski was asked, "Are you now engaged in plea-bargaining ses- Force would sions with prospective indictees?"

"If you ask me if I have | Last week lawyers for for other words, that all persons [had] some discussions, I will mer White House adviser John under investigation in the Watergate Special Prosecution of that far," the prosecutor D. Ehrlichman said their tor Leon Jaworski said yester replied. "I'm not going to client had a "significant" visit day he was having discussions identify any individual. It with Jaworski, but the prosecutions of the prosecution of the pros wouldn't be fair."

> than one" individual is in-terms of a reduced charge in volved and that the names are return for his cooperation well known.

A spokesman for the Water-Special gate whether any plea-bargaining "conceivable" that none of his sessions are being conducted. cases will come to trial—in before U.S. District Court

with Jaworski, but the prosecutor denied that he had of-Jaworski added that "more fered Ehrlichman any deal in with the government.

or the Water- Jaworski suggested to Wash-Prosecution ington Post reporter George not confirm Lardner Jr. last week that it is dent.

Watergate scandal will plead guilty to charges rather than

The special prosecutor told the "Today" interviewers that "it would be very difficult" to conclude that the 181/2-minute erasure of a June 20, 1972. White House tape was an acci-

## Any Plea-Barga

sider opening a grand jury in- information." vesigation of the tape matter.

or more persons . . . of unlaw- ees," Jaworski said. ful tampering with or suppression of evidence."

worski said that if he thinks "no matter what the evidence President Nixon "had informamay show." tion that was needed or was of

He also made clear that Mr. Sirica said there was a Nixon is a target of his inves-"distinct possibility of unlaw-tigation. "The President is inful conduct on the part of one cluded as much as his appoint-

But he said there is "serious doubt" that the President can During the TV interview, Ja- be indicted for any offense

However, Jaworski reiterassistance in providing the ated his reluctance to turn ity," he said. facts that we need to know, I over the evidence he has accu-

commended that Jaworski con- the President let us have that Judiciary subcommittee that is added, would be whether the whether considering Nixon should be impeached.

cision by Judge Sirica to "lift he is bound by grand jury the cloak of secrecy" would allow him to release his findings to the House subcommittee. If tion of having evidence he Congress passes legislation requiring the transfer of information, there still might remain blocking its use by the judicivalidity or its constitutional-said the evidence he has can

Another factor in any re- als."

Chief Judge John J. Sirica re-|would certainly request that |mulated to the ad hoc House |lease of information, Jaworski Mr. Judiciary unit's hearings will be held in secret or in public He suggested that only a de-sessions. The prosecutor said rules of secrecy.

Asked if he is not in a posicannot use himself against Mr. Nixon and at the same time "a question with respect to its ary subcommittee, Jaworski be used in "a number of tri-