

Dear Jim, I have avoided raising this question until I had a chance to read Hunt's testimony. It has been on my mind since I watched it and more after the Baker leak to the Post alleging that Hunt's domestic activities were for LBJ. The Ervin committee did go into this in executive session, which is what Baker appears to have twisted. There are clues to it in the exhibits. I have what is deleted from and unexplained in Exhibit 142 in mind. (I believe I can fill in a couple of the blanks.) The question is should we ask Bud if he can get this stuff. However, if he were to use in any way what he might get, I would prefer not to ask him because it will be incomplete and necessarily out of full and proper context. And if it were to get to his friendly nuts it could be disastrous. So, think it over and if you think we should ask him, please do. If he could just get papers and not read them that would be fine. If he could send you to pick them up... HW 2/11/74