

of the many interesting p.1 stories in today's Post I've read only one, Kessler's on the D. Hunt crash. It follows exactly the line of reasoning I gave him, but I do not think that is because I gave it to him. Rather because it is the logical one. He adds to what was known, and that new information (elevation, for example) convinces me more. The logic of the situation also, eventually, persuaded me that the CIA would not knock her off. There was no purpose in getting rid of her because everything she could say could be said by others and every purpose to keep her doing what she was doing. To only Hunt could I attribute purpose. The second story will be largely on Skolnick, and I'm sorry I forgot to tell Kessler who wrote the CJR articles. I did tell him about them. I also forgot, after I learned, to tell him of some of Skolnick's shows on this, like with Jerry Williams. (Ed Williams heard them and says they were, even after what he has seen and heard of and from the paranoids, ghastly. Ed also says that Barry Gray just cut him off in mid-show when there never was an answer when Gray asked for any confirmation.) I hope Kessler doesn't edit anything I said about this sick guy Skolnick. Please note Lamb's explanations toward the end, beginning

middle last column. They are reasonable and to me persuasive. Kessler has omitted carelessness at O'Hare, but I don't think it relevant for the plane would have crashed anyway. O'Hare, which had the plane on its radar, was unaware of crash until told or asked by phone, about 8 minutes later. HW 6/3/73