

If the STMs (pl.) can find a moment to see if they can find a special meaning buried in Thompson's questioning of Ehrlichman beginning about p. 2560, I'd appreciate it. What I hope you will see I would rather not begin by suggesting in order not to influence you. If you can find time, please do remember some of the things I have told you that have not appeared in the papers. In this sequence Thompson repeats what he and Ehrlichman had cooked up between them, that the only SIU involvement in WG was Liddy. So, when you see it here it is not new. However, this is FYI and not that on which I hope you can focus. I believe what I believe regardless of whether this confirms or disputes it. If it does confirm, it can be significant for me. The questions are does it or not and is it or is it not even relevant? Thanks HW 11/18/73 (If I hadn't been reading I'd have wasted 2 hrs on the speechifying. today.