Hope for Getting White House Tapes Shifts From the Senate Panel to Cox

By WARREN WEAVER Jr. Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Aug. 1 -Hope for wresting the White House tapes from President Nixon shifted today from efforts by the Senate Watergate committee to the cour action started by the special prosecutor, Archibala Cox.

Attorneys for the committee, headed by Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr., expressed increasing doubt that the courts would take jurisdiction of a challenge brought against the President by a unit of the legislative branch.

"We are in pretty bad shape," one committee lawyer said. "None of us has very much confidence that we can get the courts to accept jurisdiction."

Mr. Cox, on the other hand, has a court action under way, with the President's lawyers under order to make their defense public next Tuesday, and appeared today to enjoy at least three major legal advantages over any kind of lawsuit available to the Senate committee.

The special prosecutor does not have to meet the argument that the legislative branch has no right to executive branch documents or the related contention that the Senate committee does not really need the tapes to draft bills that attempt to avert repetition of the Watergate offenses.

¶Conversely, Mr. Cox can buttress his demand for the White House records with the continuing requirements of a criminal investigation by a grand jury, a cause that the courts have regularly seen fit to honor.

The Cox court action based on a simpler and more direct legal question than those raised by the Ervin committee, can almost certainly proceed through the courts more swiftly, probably reaching the Supreme Court before the Justices are scheduled to reconvene on Oct. 1 and thus necessitating a special term.

Suit Won't Be Limited

Senate sources reported that the committee's lawsuit against the President would not be filed until Friday or Monday and would not be limited to the request for a declaratory judgment, or advisory opinion, that Senator Ervin had originally proposed.

Instead, the Watergate committee's complaint will list a number of alternative legal approaches under which the Senators may be able to challenge the President, giving the court a number of theories from which to choose.

So concerned had committee lawyers become over the apparent legal instability of their position that Samuel Dash, the chief counsel, telephoned Mr. Cox last week and asked if Senator Ervin and his colleagues could join in the prosecutor's coal Mr. Cox asid no cutor's case. Mr. Cox said no.

Experts Give Advice

Generally, the Cox staff and the Ervin staff have cooperated to the extent of providing each other with factual information on the various Watergate episodes, but little attempt has been made to integrate their legal operations or combine forces in their common pursuit of the White House tapes.

Senator Ervin has been concerned for some time about the legal problems involved in the committee's persuading the court s to hear its complaint against Mr. Nixon. He said on against Mr. Nixon. He said on television last Sunday that it was "quite possible" the Su-preme Court would refuse to take jurisdiction of a suit by the committee.

Prof. Alexander M. Bickel of the Yale Law School reportedly advised the committee last week that no suit based on the President's efusal to honor the committee's subpoena could

be initiated without Congressional approval of a new sta-duck ruling on the validity of

ever, that it would be political one lawyer said. "The Court ly possible to maneuver such a can duck a difference of opinbill through a tired and nerv-ion between Congress and the ous Congress, scheduled to re-President over their respective cess for a month at the end rights." of this week, or to win the necessary approval of Presi-Chief Judge John J. Sirica will dent Nixon.

versity of Chicago, di not share the tapes and other documents. Mr. Bickel's concern that the It is regarded as doubtful, jursidctional problem would be however, whether the special fatal to a Senate suit.

Another relative strength Another relative strength of the prosecutor's legal case peals on the way to the Surjection of the prosecutor of Appeals on the way to the Surjection of the peals on the way to the Surjection of the peals on the way to the Surjection of the peals on the way to the Surjection of the peals of of the prosecutors legal case peals on the way to the Suis the prevailing assumption that the courts could not refuse to rule on the ground that a political question was involved, a move that could be much more likely if the suit want to risk an adverse ruling patted Congress and the President and the previous control of the suit want to risk an adverse ruling patted Congress and the President and the previous control of the suit want to risk an adverse ruling patted Congress and the President and the previous control of the suit want to risk an adverse ruling pattern and the previous control of the suit want to risk an adverse ruling and the previous control of the suit was the suit w

"The Supreme Curt can't tute specifically giving the Federal District Court here ening the President to produce Almost no one believed, how-evidence for its investigation,"

be able to reach a decision One of the committee's other within three weeks on outside legal experts, Prof. Mr. Cox's request for an order Phillip B. Kurland of the Unito compel Mr. Nixon to produce

legal procedures for bipassing pitted Congress and the President against each other.

based on failure to observe customary appeals processes.