

Excerpts From Ehrlichman's Testimony

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, July 30—
Following are excerpts from
the transcript of testimony
today by John D. Ehrlichman
on the 31st day of hearings
on the Watergate case before
the Senate Select Committee
on Presidential Campaign
Activities:

MORNING SESSION

MR. DASH: Mr. Ehrlichman, I will just ask a couple of questions to get back to the Ellsberg [psychiatrist] break-in, [on] the sincerity of your statement that you felt it was legal.

Is it not a fact, that this is the first time you have asserted publicly before any investigating body the claim that the break-in of Dr. Fielding's office was legal for national security?

MR. EHRLICHMAN: Well, I think unlike the other investigative bodies this one goes far beyond mere fact and gets into these associated questions.

Q. But you have spoken publicly on this subject, have you not, on this so-called Ellsberg break-in? A. Well, I do not know what you call speaking publicly. I have talked to the press.

Q. And you also appeared on Mike Wallace's program "Sixty Minutes?" A. Yes, I did.

Q. Do you recall in that interview your statement that there was no way to condone that action? Now, if in fact you believed that, at that time, that it was legal, would you be saying there was no way to condone it?

A. Well, I think you will remember my testifying here, Mr. Dash, that at the time it was reported to me, I did not condone it. It was simply beyond my contemplation that there would be a resort to the break-in in order to do this job that they were assigned to do, this investigation.

Q. But you have testified and spent quite a bit of your time testifying that the break-in was actually a legal act in the interest of national security. A. I believe that is a sound position.

National Security Issue

Q. Now, did you also testify that you spoke to the President in March [1973]

TUESDAY, JULY 31, 1973

Before the Senate Watergate

C

23

Committee

about it and that he also indicated to you that he believed that national security required it or that it was justified under national security? A. Yes, he did.

Q. If he did that in March, why would it be necessary for the President in his May 22d statement to make a public apology actually, and take personal responsibility for what he said was illegal means that he was not made aware of in advance. Rather, would he not have stated, as President, if he thought that this was a legal act, in the interest of national security, that all acts of the plumbers group were legal, and in the interest of national security? Why would he feel it necessary to apologize to the people of America and take responsibility and say he had no foreknowledge of any illegal means?

A. Well, you are asking the wrong person, Mr. Dash, to explain the President's statement of May 22. I was gone like three weeks at that point, and had no part in the preparation of that statement.

My statement here with regard to my understanding of the law is not meant to speak for the President nor anyone except myself. This is my view based on the advice of eminent counsel, and I think it is a sound one.

Q. When did you first get

that view? You said based on the advice of eminent counsel? Is it not true that you have recently been advised by counsel based on the statutes provided for you that this was a legal act?

A. Well, certainly. I had no occasion to brief it until I left the White House, Mr. Dash.

Legality of Break-In

Q. Then you never really believed at the time or had

any viewpoint when the break-in took place that this was legal? A. Well, I certainly had a viewpoint, and I certainly had a strong feeling of the propriety of the President's actions in attempting to plug these leaks.

Q. That is not my question. A. Yes, it is.

Q. Mr. Ehrlichman, that is not the question, the question is the break-in. A. Mr. Dash, are you going to interrupt my answers?

Q. No, and you have used

Q. No, and you have used the questioning for making speeches throughout the hearing.

A. Let me give my answer and if you do not feel it is responsive why don't you point out where it is not?

Q. I hope you will give a responsive answer.

A. I will do my very best. I understand your question to be whether or not I had a belief or impression that the thing that the President had assigned here in creating this special unit was legal and proper, and my answer to you is that I had a continuing impression that the charge given to Mr. Krogh on the 24th of July was in all respects within the President's constitutional prerogatives. I had then a present impression at that time that this was well within the President's national security powers, and that has continued to be my impression forward.

Now, since I left the White House and have retained counsel obviously, they have done some intensive briefing on the subject and you have seen the fruits of that in the colloquy between the chairman and Mr. Wilson. It is a much more refined and precise and substantiated position on the law than I had any occasion to make prior to this time.

President Advised

Q. Is it also true that you were totally ignorant, Mr. Ehrlichman, of the fact that actually the President and

Mr. Haldeman had been informed that surreptitious entries, or break-ins for national security purposes were clearly illegal and constitute the crime of burglary prior to the break-in? Were you ever aware of that?

A. Well, if you are speaking of the Huston, the Tom Huston memos [of 1970 on an intelligence operation], of course, the subject there was an entirely different subject, and that was domestic intelligence, domestic security. Here you are dealing in the area of foreign intelligence and national security and it is quite another subject.

Q. Have you reviewed that document, Mr. Ehrlichman? A. No.

Q. Well, Mr. Ehrlichman, the document deals both with national security and with internal security and when that document was presented by this committee here in testimony the chairman, with the support of the committee, excised out those areas of national security. But it dealt with a total plan of dealing with intelligence-gathering both involving foreign countries and national

security as well as internal security, and let me read to you—

A. What is the document, Mr. Dash?

Q. The document is the so-called Huston Plan, and we have it here with Mr. Murphy, who has been in custody of the plan which we can show you. The part that has been put into the record as excised out of the national security parts.

SENATOR BAKER: Mr. chairman, before we go on, just to make sure that the witness is familiar with it, why don't we supply him with either the original copy which Mr. Murphy has here or other copies?

Accurate Copy

MR. DASH. I can supply him with the copy which appeared in The New York Times. (It has been compared by Mr. Murphy with the original and it is accurate with the original but we can also show him the one, let's show show him the one Mr. Murphy has. A. Is it a question whether I am familiar with this document?

Q. No, no, I asked whether or not you were aware whether the President and Mr. Haldeman had been ever informed prior to this break-in that such break-ins for national security were in fact

clearly illegal and constitute the crime of burglary? A. I was not.

Q. But you did testify, Mr. Ehrlichman, that in March of this year you spoke to the President and discussed this particular entry [into Dr. Ellsberg's psychiatrist's office] and he said that he knew that it was legal and justified for national security. Did he mention to you that he had received any kind of a contrary advice at any other time?

A. Well now, that question makes an assumption not in evidence, Mr. Dash, that the President said he knew it was legal. I don't believe I have ever testified to that. Maybe some other witness had, but I don't know where you got that idea. I could not answer the question with that assumption in it.

Q. I thought that was your testimony. I asked you the question earlier whether or not in March you talked to the President and the President said that he believed it was legal and justified for national security and I thought you answered in the affirmative.

A. Well, I certainly would not want to give you the impression that the President had given me a legal opinion on this at that time. But what the President said was that he felt that it was important, and it was necessary, that in the context of the massive thefts, the turnover to the Russian Embassy [of the Pentagon papers] and all the context of that operation that he certainly could not criticize the men who had undertaken this in good faith believing that they were responding to the urgency of the circumstances.

Q. All right. The testimony you do leave with the committee, is that your own personal evaluation as to its legality was a recent one after advice of counsel? A. Well, I certainly would not want to leave that impression either, Mr. Dash, and I would simply stand on my actual answers.

Q. Well, the record will so show.

AFTERNOON SESSION

MR. EHRLICHMAN: I think under the rules I am entitled to make a brief closing state-

ment and I would like to avail myself of that privilege.

SENATOR BAKER: You are indeed and you may proceed.

MR. EHRLICHMAN: Mr. Vice Chairman and members of the committee, I prepared for this hearing with just two objectives: first to state the truth as nearly as recol-

lection and research could enable me to do and thereby to establish the falsity of the charges made against me by your star witness. For nearly five days I have submitted to your cross-examination to permit a test of the truth of my testimony. In my opening statement I listed a number of questions which I asked



The New York Times

John D. Ehrlichman, left, leaving the Old Senate Office Building, near the Capitol, during recess for lunch.

you to inquire about because I believe they are central to this matter and because I have some information about them.

In the past five days, a great deal of time has been spent mostly on a few of them. As a result, there is now remaining one matter which I believe is important enough to mention in passing to the committee. I did not have an opportunity to review with the committee my notes of my second interview with Gordon Strachan. I think it is important to the committee to know that as you read those notes the question which I continually put to Mr. Strachan all the way through was, "Is there anything else? Are you giving me the whole list? Are these all the people in the White House who are involved, and have you told me everything you know about their involvement?" In other words, the list you see in the Strachan notes is intended, as I recall the interview with Mr. Strachan, to be an exclusive list, and that does not appear on the face of the notes, and I think it's important for you to have that.

Secondary Objective

My secondary objective here was to be prepared to raise a voice for the President, who is unrepresented here. As your questions developed, I had no opportunity to do so as his advocate, I only shed some light on facts which disproved a few of the false allegations which have been advanced against him here. I do not apologize for my loyalty to the President any more than I apologize for my love of this country. I only hope that my testimony here has somehow served them both.

I could not close without commenting on Gordon Strachan's answer of the other day to the question, "Do you have any advice for the young Americans who are expressing their disenchantment with government

and the political process?" Gordon said, "stay away." And your gallery laughed. But I don't think many other Americans laughed at that answer, I certainly didn't, nor do I agree with Gordon's advice.

Our political system and our real governmental institutions are not just the buildings and the laws and the traditions that one sees here in the city of Washington. Our Government and our politics are only as idealistic as the people in those buildings who administer the laws and run the campaigns and fulfill the traditions. If some young Americans know that their ideals or ideas or motives are sounder or purer than those of the people now in politics or government, than I think Gordon should have said to them, "Come and do better. Don't stay away."

Motivated People

Somehow, in politics and government it seems that there is always someone to fill the job. If you don't take it, you can be sure that somebody else will. We are either going to have highly motivated able people running the political campaigns and filling the offices in government or we will surely have seat-warmers and hacks who will fill these places and the country will be the worse for it. People must be attracted who will come here to fight for what they believe in and to work long hours to get things done. I hope that young people don't stay away, I hope they come here and apply their idealism and their enthusiasm and their high moral principles. I hope they come and test their ideas and their convictions in this marketplace. I hope they do come and do better.

With young Americans," if you come here come with your eyes wide open. If you go to work for the President and the executive branch

there are very few in the congress or the media that are going to throw rosebuds at you. If you favor change in what our Government is and what it does in our society you will have to fight for it. No such thing has been won here by default, at least not recently, and be prepared to defend your sense of values when you come here, too.

You will encounter a local culture which scoffs at patriotism and family life and morality just as it adulates the opposite, and you will find some people who have fallen for that line. But you will also find in politics and government many great people who know that a pearl of great price is not had for the asking and who feel that this country and its heritage are worth the work, the abuse, the struggle, and the sacrifices. Don't stay away. Come and join them and do it better."

Mr. Vice Chairman, this select committee has an awesome responsibility to find the truth. Such a search cannot be made by one whose eyes are clouded by pre-conception or partisanship, it can only be found by those with open mind, free of bias and unfairness. I am confident that the truth is there to be seen. It only needs the see-ers.

Thank you, Mr. Vice Chairman.



Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr., center, committee chairman, discussing secrecy of documents as John D. Ehrlichman, right foreground, testified. At the

committee table, from the left: Fred D. Thompson, minority counsel; Senators Howard H. Baker Jr. and Ervin, and Samuel Dash, chief counsel.

The New York Times

1000
999
998
997
996
995
994
993
992
991
990
989
988
987
986
985
984
983
982
981
980
979
978
977
976
975
974
973
972
971
970
969
968
967
966
965
964
963
962
961
960
959
958
957
956
955
954
953
952
951
950
949
948
947
946
945
944
943
942
941
940
939
938
937
936
935
934
933
932
931
930
929
928
927
926
925
924
923
922
921
920
919
918
917
916
915
914
913
912
911
910
909
908
907
906
905
904
903
902
901
900
899
898
897
896
895
894
893
892
891
890
889
888
887
886
885
884
883
882
881
880
879
878
877
876
875
874
873
872
871
870
869
868
867
866
865
864
863
862
861
860
859
858
857
856
855
854
853
852
851
850
849
848
847
846
845
844
843
842
841
840
839
838
837
836
835
834
833
832
831
830
829
828
827
826
825
824
823
822
821
820
819
818
817
816
815
814
813
812
811
810
809
808
807
806
805
804
803
802
801
800
799
798
797
796
795
794
793
792
791
790
789
788
787
786
785
784
783
782
781
780
779
778
777
776
775
774
773
772
771
770
769
768
767
766
765
764
763
762
761
760
759
758
757
756
755
754
753
752
751
750
749
748
747
746
745
744
743
742
741
740
739
738
737
736
735
734
733
732
731
730
729
728
727
726
725
724
723
722
721
720
719
718
717
716
715
714
713
712
711
710
709
708
707
706
705
704
703
702
701
700
699
698
697
696
695
694
693
692
691
690
689
688
687
686
685
684
683
682
681
680
679
678
677
676
675
674
673
672
671
670
669
668
667
666
665
664
663
662
661
660
659
658
657
656
655
654
653
652
651
650
649
648
647
646
645
644
643
642
641
640
639
638
637
636
635
634
633
632
631
630
629
628
627
626
625
624
623
622
621
620
619
618
617
616
615
614
613
612
611
610
609
608
607
606
605
604
603
602
601
600
599
598
597
596
595
594
593
592
591
590
589
588
587
586
585
584
583
582
581
580
579
578
577
576
575
574
573
572
571
570
569
568
567
566
565
564
563
562
561
560
559
558
557
556
555
554
553
552
551
550
549
548
547
546
545
544
543
542
541
540
539
538
537
536
535
534
533
532
531
530
529
528
527
526
525
524
523
522
521
520
519
518
517
516
515
514
513
512
511
510
509
508
507
506
505
504
503
502
501
500
499
498
497
496
495
494
493
492
491
490
489
488
487
486
485
484
483
482
481
480
479
478
477
476
475
474
473
472
471
470
469
468
467
466
465
464
463
462
461
460
459
458
457
456
455
454
453
452
451
450
449
448
447
446
445
444
443
442
441
440
439
438
437
436
435
434
433
432
431
430
429
428
427
426
425
424
423
422
421
420
419
418
417
416
415
414
413
412
411
410
409
408
407
406
405
404
403
402
401
400
399
398
397
396
395
394
393
392
391
390
389
388
387
386
385
384
383
382
381
380
379
378
377
376
375
374
373
372
371
370
369
368
367
366
365
364
363
362
361
360
359
358
357
356
355
354
353
352
351
350
349
348
347
346
345
344
343
342
341
340
339
338
337
336
335
334
333
332
331
330
329
328
327
326
325
324
323
322
321
320
319
318
317
316
315
314
313
312
311
310
309
308
307
306
305
304
303
302
301
300
299
298
297
296
295
294
293
292
291
290
289
288
287
286
285
284
283
282
281
280
279
278
277
276
275
274
273
272
271
270
269
268
267
266
265
264
263
262
261
260
259
258
257
256
255
254
253
252
251
250
249
248
247
246
245
244
243
242
241
240
239
238
237
236
235
234
233
232
231
230
229
228
227
226
225
224
223
222
221
220
219
218
217
216
215
214
213
212
211
210
209
208
207
206
205
204
203
202
201
200
199
198
197
196
195
194
193
192
191
190
189
188
187
186
185
184
183
182
181
180
179
178
177
176
175
174
173
172
171
170
169
168
167
166
165
164
163
162
161
160
159
158
157
156
155
154
153
152
151
150
149
148
147
146
145
144
143
142
141
140
139
138
137
136
135
134
133
132
131
130
129
128
127
126
125
124
123
122
121
120
119
118
117
116
115
114
113
112
111
110
109
108
107
106
105
104
103
102
101
100
99
98
97
96
95
94
93
92
91
90
89
88
87
86
85
84
83
82
81
80
79
78
77
76
75
74
73
72
71
70
69
68
67
66
65
64
63
62
61
60
59
58
57
56
55
54
53
52
51
50
49
48
47
46
45
44
43
42
41
40
39
38
37
36
35
34
33
32
31
30
29
28
27
26
25
24
23
22
21
20
19
18
17
16
15
14
13
12
11
10
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1