## Justice Says It Heard Disclaimed Wiretaps NEW YORK, May 31 (AP)— his telephone was tapped, and

The Justice Department has the Justice Department affiadmitted in court papers filed davit was a response to that sations on FBI wiretaps of a court action. defense lawyer in the Chicago conspiracy trial.

hearing the conversations.

In an affidavit signed by department official John H. originally had denied there Davitt, the agency said it had been electronic surveil-"incidentally overheard" 23 lance of Kinoy when the ques-conversations involving Arthur Kinoy between 1955 and grand jury investigation into

The national security wire-taps were apparently made sued after only a cursory without court consent and, ac-check of wiretap records, according to the affidavit filed cording to the office of U.S. Wednesday, did not involve Attorney Kinoy's own telephone.

A defense lawyer in the Chicago conspiracy trial stem-davit that Kinoy had been ming from disorders during "incidentally overheard" in the 1968 Democratic National conversations with unindenti-Convention, Kinoy won a U.S. fied other persons 14 times on Supreme Court decision last FBI wiretaps intended to help June that warrantless wiretap- "protect the United States ping of alleged radicals on do-mestic matters is unconstitu- government" and nine times

Kinoy had complained that fairs."

· "While I was leading the case against illegal wiretap-It had originally denied ping, they were wiretapping earing the conversations me," Kinoy said.

The Justice Department alleged radical bombings.

Whitney Seymour Jr.

Davitt said in the court affion taps involving "foreign af-