ERVIN NOTES ROLE

Declares Senate Panel Will Be Final Judge on Privilege Issue

By JAMES M. NAUGHTON Special to The New York Times

DAVIDSON, N. C., April 18-Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr. declared here today that the Senate committee investigating the Watergate conspiracy would be the final judge on whether a White House aide could refuse to answer any of the committee's questions.

This statement appeared to conflict with the President's

Text of panel's guidelines will be found on Page 34.

suggestion yesterday that his aides would not be hindered in refusing to answer specific questions. Mr. Nixon said that executive privilege was "expressly reserved and may be asserted during the course of questioning as to any question."

The Democratic Senator from North Carolina, who heads the select Senate investigating committee, said at a news conference at Davidson College that President Nixon's sudden decision vesterday to permit White House aides to appear before the committee and give sworn testimony had been "a victory for constitutional government."

Says Panel Stood Firm

But the 76-year-old Senator, an expert on constitutional law. was inclined to be gracious about the President's turnabout on the issue of executive privilege. Seated behind a marble lectern, a few feet from a Bible opened to the Book of Solomon in the Philanthropic Society Hall, Mr. Ervin said that he would follow this precept:

"When you lose, weep softly. When you win, brag gently."

In bragging gently, he emphasized that the Senate panel had not yielded to the White House on its interpretation of executive privilege, under which Mr. Nixon had insisted until yesterday that his aides could not be compelled to testify in the Watergate case.

Mr. Nixon said yesterday that the ground rules on testimony adopted Monday by the Senate committee would "preserve the doctrine of separation of pow-

Continued on Page 34, Column 6

ers" and permit his assistants to decline to answer questions committee could ask the full gress in its struggle over conif they believed the subject mat- Senate to issue on arrest war- stitutional rights with the ter was privileged. He appeared rant or a citation for contempt White House. to suggest that some form of of Congress if an individual still compromise had been reached refused to give the required an- "would have been a with the committee.

nine guidelines, which his staff tial appearances before made public today in Washing-committee by members of his ton, said that the only points staff could be in private, out much a victory for Congress as they yielded were approval for of the glare of television lights and the attention of the public.

One of the guidelines potest

Apart from that, Mr. Ervin

that the committee's going to Ehrlichman, the President's dodo the ruling. If the committee mestic adviser, at Mr. Ehrlich-

witness to testify."

swers.

Mr. Ervin, who drafted the to suggest yesterday that ini-

One of the guidelines noted legal counsel present when that the committee could—if it this afternoon for a speaking White House aides testified, and agreement to give the White from time to time. But another campus, credited an aroused House sufficient notice when the committee was calling a Presidential advisor as a with the committee was calling a presidential advisor as a with the committee was calling a presidential advisor as a with the committee was calling a presidential advisor as a with the committee was calling a presidential advisor as a with the committee who are committeed to the committee when said that white House staff members would be invited to holders and campaign officials Presidential adviser as a wittestify under "oath or affirmaness."

Apart from that, Mr. Ervin said, "the guidelines say just what was the law already in Senator Howard H. Baker Jr. Constitution that belonged on the said, the riest-days ago, at the suggestion of Senator Howard H. Baker Jr. Constitution that belonged on Senator Ervin said that when any kind of a fair investigation of Tennessee, the committee's the Congressional side of the that if any witness claims that he is privileged for any been no indication from the this is one of them." reason against testifying, he White House that Mr. Nixon would change his stand on the

rules adversely to the witness man's invitation, to discuss the time on a voluntary witness, on any question of privilege, question of executive privilege. At that time, Mr. Ervin said, his eyes alight with a he and Senator Baker menhe and Senator Baker menhe and Senator Baker mentioned that they intended to had is a man who calls me deelop guidelines so that witnesses—from the White House that the Lord has communior wherevery — would have cated with him on Watergate."
some "road signs" as to how The Senator said that he had the committee intended to pro-

reversing his previous declarations," the Senator said.

Several times he was asked evidence.

Continued From Page 1, Col. 4 the committee shall require the to state whether Mr. Nixon's announcement yesterday repre-Senator Ervin said that the sented a victory for the Con-

The Senator declared that it tragedy for the United States" The President also appeared if the Senate had not insisted on open, sworn testimony from

the White House.
his "I wouldn't say this was so victory for constitutional

government," he said.

Mr. Ervin, who arrived here. particularly constiholders and campaign officials - for having persuaded Mr. Nixon to alter his stance.

At one point, Senator Ervin "But just like in court," the testimony of Presidential staff Senator went on, "somebody members. has to rule on that point, and these guidelines expressly say Mr. Baker met with John D. that the committee's going to Ehrlichman the President's do. mittee.

"I'd have to meditate a long

The Senator said that he had advised his caller that he would be "awful glad to have the Lord "I'm glad the President saw come" as a witness, but that the guidelines as a reason for he could not permit the caller to testify because it would amount to presenting "hearsay"