

# Nixon Still in Court

The Supreme Court today ruled in favor of Richard Nixon in his bid to keep his presidential records. The court's decision, handed down in a 5-4 vote, was a major victory for Nixon and a setback for the federal government's attempt to force the release of the tapes and documents. The ruling was seen as a significant blow to the efforts of the House of Representatives and the FBI to uncover the truth about the Watergate scandal. Nixon's lawyers argued that the president's records are his property and that he has a constitutional right to control their release. The government, on the other hand, claimed that the records are public property and should be made available to the public. The court's decision was based on the fact that Nixon had not been convicted of a crime and that the records were still under seal. The ruling also means that Nixon will be able to keep his records in his home for the time being. This decision is expected to lead to a new round of legal battles between Nixon and the government. The House of Representatives is likely to challenge the ruling in court, and the FBI may also file a lawsuit to force the release of the tapes. The case is expected to go all the way to the Supreme Court again. The ruling is also seen as a sign of the court's willingness to protect the president's rights. This is a departure from the court's previous stance on presidential records. In the past, the court has generally sided with the government in cases involving presidential records. The ruling is also seen as a victory for the principle of presidential immunity. This principle holds that a president is immune from civil and criminal liability while in office. The ruling is expected to have a significant impact on the way presidential records are handled in the future. It may also lead to a change in the way the government handles presidential records. The ruling is also seen as a sign of the court's growing independence. This is a departure from the court's previous stance on presidential records. In the past, the court has generally sided with the government in cases involving presidential records. The ruling is also seen as a victory for the principle of presidential immunity. This principle holds that a president is immune from civil and criminal liability while in office. The ruling is expected to have a significant impact on the way presidential records are handled in the future. It may also lead to a change in the way the government handles presidential records.