Interpreting Executive Privilege: The Case Against the "Rogers Memorandum" | Pot 4/11/13

dent Washington, the act can scarcely ment heads to give it. Drafted by Alexander Hamilton, enacted by the First Congress, and signed by the Pres. be called unconstitutional. evident; on the one hand, said Rogers, ments to give up papers and informa-tion." The contradiction is self Rogers' reference to a "1789 law making it the duty of the Secretary of the applied" to my critique. For example loaded with "most amazing contra-dictions and inconsistencies." That charge, he opines, is "more fittingly Congress cannot compel the departgress; on the other hand, he said, 1789 Act to give information to Con-Congress did impose a duty by the gress cannot compel heads of depart-Treasury to give information to Con-Attorney General Rogers' 1958 memoator Barry Goldwater, would refute (Letters, April 5) my charge that J. Terry Emerson, counsel to Sen-The contradiction is on executive privilege is self

"there is no inconsistency here be-cause the 1799 law has never been concontradiction away by arguing that sidered as imposing a requirement on Rogers himself read the Act to Secretary." (italics added). But Emerson would explain the

> Then too, the statute speaks for itimpose such a requirement, "a duty."

tary of the Treasury . . . [to] give it shall be the duty of the Secreinformation to either house of the legislature . . . respecting all mat-ters . . . which pertain to his

sidered as imposing a requirement on the Secretary." A clear statutory manover, in 1854, Attorney General Caleb Cushing advised the President that date is not so easily dispatched. Morereads the statute right out of the statute books: "it has never been con-With Nixonian aplomb Mr. Emerson

made the duty of the Secretary of the Treasury to communicate in-By express provision of law, it is gress when desired . . . formation to either House of Con-

It does not explain away Rogers' internal contradictions to argue that the Act was "concerned with warding off Hamilton's officiousness." Whatever Cushing stated that it does impose a the origin of the Act, both Rogers and

> duty, a statement then contradicted by Rogers.

April 20, 1972: no President has "ever asserted a claim that presidential aides have blanket immunity from members of his staff not (sic) be so questioned," constitutes a claim of ject." claim denied by John Dean himself on "blanket immunity" for his staff, a ment that it is "inappropriate that Emerson. Mr. Nixon's March 11 stateany 'blanket immunity,'" states Mr. testifying before Congress on any sub-"Nor has President Nixon asserted (Washington Post, March 26,

space to dwell on other equally untenable Emerson strictures. Let me rather of your readers and needlessly consume of thumb, take refuge in Justice Jackson's rule But enough; it would tax the patience

derbuss mind and rely on him no clude that the lawyer has a blunsupport it [the proposition] I conif the first decision cited does not

son's defense of the Rogers memorandum is, it is yet, so far as I can find, the first published criticism of Nevertheless, deficient as Mr. Emer-

> a forum, I shall be pleased to come to client, Senator Goldwater, will supply my 1965 refutation of Rogers. In the me. The presidential claim of power the executive branch, past or present, And so, old as I am, if Mr. Emerson's to the heart of our democratic system. tion as he concludes it may see, goes to dole out to Congress such informaintervening 8 years no member of water designates, the proposition, son, or with whomsoever Senator Gold-Washington to debate with Mr. Emerhas undertaken to break a lance with

alysis, vulnerable at every joint. If it can be demonstrated that the dum is a shoddy piece of legal an-Resolved: The Rogers memoran-

executive privilege crumbles. ment, is badly flawed, the case for come the bible of the executive depart-Rogers memorandum, which has be-

Concord, Mass. RAOUL BERGER

works on executive privilege.) School and author of a number of Senior Fellow at the Harvard Law (The writer is Charles Warren