# Some Donors to Nixon Campaign Fund

By BEN A. FRANKLIN Special to The New York Times

nisled or betrayed by Mr. Nix- tees. on's fund raisers and that their

Court here on behalf of all the nore than one-million contributors to the 1972 campaign. loon." The suit demands redistribution to the contributors of the \$100 to the 1972 campaign, Mr. remaining money in the cam- Duisen, through his two St. paign treasury, which has a surplus of \$4-million to \$5-mil- Schwartz and Michael A. Gross,

Meanwhile, a few small refunds-no more than "about a dozen"-have been made, according to De Van L. Shumway, a spokesman at the Committee for the Re-election of the President.

Mr. Shumway said that committee policy had been to return contributions on request. resigned after the Watergate Most of those returned have burglary, and Maurice H. Stans, Mr. Stans's finance committee been \$25 or \$50 gifts, he said.

### An 'Outraged' Donor.

long Republican contributor and two-time voter for Mr. WASHINGTON, June 3-Dis-Nixon. It is directed against the llusioned contributors to Pres- re-election committee and the dent Nixon's \$50-million re- Finance Committee to Re-elect election campaign fund are the President and 11 former and complaining that they were present officials of the commit-

Mr. Duisen, a Roman Catholic, noney helped to pay for politi- is the retired former owner of cal crimes. Some are even de-the Linen Service Company of nanding-and receiving-their St. Louis. In reference to the break-in at Democratic head-One contributor has filed a quarters in the Watergate comclass-action lawsuit in Federal plex, he has told friends that he "could not be more outraged if the Archbishop opened a sa-

> Although he gave less than Louis lawyers, Theodore F. is asking District Judge June L. Green, an appointee of President Johnson, to do the follow-

¶Levy a total of \$1-million in exemplary, or personal punitive damages for misconduct against 11 individual leaders of the Nixon campaign. The 11 named include Mr. Mitchell, the first campaign chairman, who In addition—for other reasons—the Republicans have returned since last March at least four major contributions totaling about \$950,000. The ment fraud investigation. The donors' notoriety through crimiters and investigation. The donors' notoriety through crimiters are contributed by the contribution of the contribution of the contributions of the defendant complex to the court of the contribution of the



The New York Times/Ken Winn Matthew E. Duisen, Republican of St. Louis, filed contributions suit.

the chairman of the Finance treasurer; G. Gordon Liddy, the ants Committee to Re-Elect the Pres-former counsel to the re-elec- and in utter disregard of their ident, who was indicted last tion committee and a convicted fiduciary obligations as offi-

inal indictments or civil Kalmbach, Mr. Nixon's personal make to the court a full, public espionage and sabotage, spying, tharges against them involv-lawyer in Los Angeles and a top accounting of all their "illegal" burglary, bugging, wire taptharges against them involv-lawyer in Los Angeles and a top accounting of all their "illegal" burgiary, bugging, wire taping financial irregularities had solicitor of concealed Waterdisbursements of Nixon campaign funds, to be followed by and bribery, and further for a court-ordered, personal repaywho followed Mr. Mitchell as a court-ordered, personal repaythe class-action refund suit Nixon campaign chairman; Jeb Stuart Magruder, their former tees of "all sums of money deputy; Hugh W. Sloan Jr., wrongfully and illegally misap
The refunds can be made,

propriated" for espionage, sabotage and wiretapping.

Offer to return to all Nixon donors whose names appear in the committees' contributor records a pro rata share of the funds that the named defendants are charged with obtaining by "fraudulently and deliberately misleading and tricking' Republican donors through 'appeals designed and calculated to instill confidence and patriotism."

¶Preserve the refund kitty by lawyers from voluntarily settling out of court the \$6.4-million damage suit filed by the Democratic National Committee as a result of the break-in at Democratic headquarters in the Watergate complex on June 17, 1972.

### No G.O.P. Comment

A Nixon campaign spokesman declined to comment on the suit.

Mr. Duisen's complaint says that these contributors relied in good faith on the fact that the Nixon re-election organization was being run "by persons of national prominence" and were, and were, as a result, "induced" to give their money for the "sole purpose" of lawfully re-electing President Nixon.

But according to the complaint, the individual defendants "clandestinely, secretly

## Demanding — and Getting — Refunds

Mr. Duisen says, because the a \$25 contribution on grounds tually revealed, I am sure you defendants collected money far that the activities of the Com-will be reassured. in excess of amounts "legally mittee for the Re-Election of the President were casting and lawfully expended." Since "discredit" on Mr. Nixon's he had not been reassured. Mr. Nixon is constitutionally candidacy.

Wilmington, Del., for example, ing by the media and actual insignificant that no one at the has disclosed to newsmen that facts . . . as the true facts surbe whether they have increased August demanding the return of volving this committee are even in recent months."

Mr. Nixon is constitutionally barred from running again for President, the suit says, he now has no need of any campaign funds in any case.

Communication With Stans

The Duisen suit is the most pointed and comprehensive reaction of rank-and-file Republican outrage concerning the Watergate disclosures. But it is not the first.

William H. Radebaugh, a public relations man for I. Edu Pont de Nemours & Co., in Wilmington, Del., for example, has disclosed to newsmen that since september he had not been reassured. Calling himself a "former life-long Republican—worse than that, a former Southern Republican, which is money dated Sept. 5, 1972—a note that appears to be a form letter—Mr. Stans wrote Mr. Nixon's calling himself a "former life-long Republican—worse than that, a former Southern Republican outrage and disappointed" that three september he had not been reassured. Calling himself a "former life-long Republican—worse than that, a former Southern Republican, who is a side is committee have believes that President Nixon should resign. I am through with Nixon. No matter who is guilty and who is innocent of what, I don't want to have anything to do with people like that. And it's getting worse and worse every day."

Mr. Shumway, at the re-election committee, said today that the refund requests were "so insignificant that no one at the