

Mr. Bud Fensterwald
910 16 St., NW, 6th floor
Washington, D.C. 20006

4/7/76

Dear Bud,

Thanks very much for the Kinsey/Weicher memos on interviews with ^Conein and Colson, both of whom preserved intact reputations for expertise as con men.

If you plan to make any use of any of this, please check with me first because the factual errors only begin with names and dates.

I am surprised that Weicher would have with him someone who knew so little to make notes and that, if these notes are a fair representation of those meetings, neither Weicher nor Baker disclosed knowledge of other matters of which both just had to know - covering virtually the entire range of their conversations.

As these relate to Colson they merely fortify my belief that his only Christ, including the one he claims to have found, is in San Clemente and his major interest is entirely selfish. He lied his head off where it was important to him.

As it relates to my interests, there are but two matters mentioned that, if true, advance my knowledge at all. One is Richard Ober, whose true role I suspect exists in nothing available. The other is Morton Jackson, about whom ^I have written a fair amount and out together without evidence pretty much the case Colson declares to be fact.

If you have or can get anything more on either or both I'd sure appreciate it. Both Baker and Weicher should have more because contrary to what Colson says Jackson was "interviewed," if you consider a grand jury appearance to fit, as was at least one of his former employees. Both Senators have to know that the official line is that both Hunt and Liddy consulted Jackson as counsel.

I am certain that these memos do not reflect anything not known before and much less than that.

What is new to me is the business of Hunt giving Ober packages and the Ellsberg planning with Jackson, if true. Jackson had been CIA in S.E. Asia at least.

He is right in saying that "all the CIA types dropped out of sight." What he merely infers is also certain, that this could not have been done without FBI and prosecutorial willingness.

There is no reason to doubt what he says about Edward Bennett Williams, who has represented the CIA. Why else put him on the oversight board? Or what better reason did he need for letting the statute run on the case he had for me? (Not that he didn't have other reasons.)

Even the IFT stuff is entirely inadequate, to Colson's and at least Weicher's knowledge. The one robbery of which I am certain here included a file that was by itself, partly from Weicher's office. The Ervin committee did not print all of it.

It is not easy to explain Baker's lack of participation consider that he is supposed to have conducted his own investigation. Or his not pushing the Bennett stuff more.

Again tha nks.