

Caddy, Michael Douglas (Douglas, M. Doulgas. Michael) clippings file:

WxPost: 6/18/72, one of the attorneys)all WxPosts early editions)
6/19/72 active Republican
6/21/72 short biography
6/23/73 Bonaf Cut for Bugging Suspects
7/1/72 Jury Probes Lawyer in Bug Case (has been questioned at least twice)
7/12/72 A Mysterious 'Mr. X' Waters 'Bugging' Probe (refused to answer 55 questions
7/13/72 Lawyer Told To Testify in 'Bug' Probe (by Sirica)
7/14/72 'Bug Case Lawyer in Contempt (by Sirica; to court appeals)
NYTimes 7/14/72 Lawyer Held in Contempt in Democratic Raid Inquiry (early edition)
WxPost 7/19/72 Lawyer in 'Bug Case' Loses Bid to Keep Mum (Court appeals)
WxStar 8/2/72 Picture of him leaving deposition-taking

In addition to these, I remember several stories about Caddy testifying before the grand jury, including accounts of his leaving to consult his lawyers in the hallway; the number and identification of his lawyers (including from Hogan & Hartson); and leaks or accounts of questions and answers, some about distances from the Watergate buildings (like 3 blocks vs. 1/4 mile, what he did that night, alleged CIA connections, denied, who he represented, who Mr. X is and where he lived, etc). Of these I am certain. I think there was at least one story giving his address as 2121 P St., NW; another saying that when he shared an office with Hunt at the ~~XXXX~~ Mullen agency he represented General Foods. I think there was a story commenting on the exceptional attention he was getting and the number of his own lawyers (two from his own firm, those of Hogan & Hartson and I don't recall if others). Later there was a police-spy confession alleging the spy, gay, had been told to try to get close to Caddy (I have this filed separately because if Caddy is gay that is of no immediate relevance in my present interest).

I have a general interest in Caddy, in whatever is known about him, because I want to study him in this and possibly related matters. I have a specific interest in all that grand jury business, in why he dared give a spurious reason for refusing to answer those questions when as a lawyer he knew the penalties could be severe, and in why there were so many lawyers in attendance upon him. The cost, whether or not he paid, was considerable and this was much more representation than any other witness had.