

11/4/73

Dear Barry,

When your national desk had no interest in the fact I reported about Jaworski, believing it should come out in some form, I phoned a radio reporter friend in Los Angeles, an experienced man working for ultra-conservative, pro-Nixon ownership. He had just finished work and had left, but his conservative editor was not worried about where truth would lead. He took the message, gave the info to my friend, who did only the orthodox. Jaworski neither took nor returned a call but his partner, John H. Freeman, spoke freely and honestly.

So, Golden West Broadcasters broadcast a fairly full account of Jaworski's CIA connections, a matter I would regard as newsworthy considering especially that he will have to decide whether to investigate and then whether to prosecute any CIA people. Freeman added details to what I told my friend, in fact.

Golden West fed the story to UPI. As of my last knowledge, after two days UPI has not moved it.

I heard the reaction to it on an out-of-town radio station early yesterday morning, Jaworski's statement that he would resign all directorships.

Golden West aired the story on all its outlets some time Friday. When he awakened yesterday morning, my friend phoned me to tell me what he had learned. I got his permission to pass it on to the Post and phoned the national desk about lunchtime. I spoke to a fellow named Hall. He seemed interested and said someone would call me back after lunch.

It was a very long lunch! It is still being eaten.

There are obvious followups easier here than in Los Angeles, but there is nobody here with the interest. So, I told my friend standard sources to consult. If they yield anything - and it is not that I expect they will as much as the belief they should not be ignored - he'll let me know and I'll tell you.

If you want to have this info, my friend is Art Kevin, KMPC, 469-5341. His home phone is 761-3436.

Excuse if you cannot understand my candor. When my initial reporting of what I knew was confirmable in the Post's morgue (it's reporting of the NSA scandals was excellent) and in its library (I cited the book and the page number) I find myself troubled by a news standard foreign to my concepts.

One of the areas I would now like to backtrack over is difficult for me because I expected the Post and other papers to follow their own reporting rather than drop all interest in Michael Douglas Caddy. The clippings I have referring to him are scattered through more than two full file drawers. Retrieval is a practical impossibility. If it entails no great problem, I would appreciate copies of whatever you have on him. He is part of an untold story I am trying to piece together more fully than I have. There should be clues in what has been published. I have a number all reporters have not understood or not reported. Initial police interest in him seems to have come from his to them mysterious appearance. They seem to have pursued this with typical police-mindedness based on their belief he is homosexual. Political rather than criminal intelligence methods were called for. However, what I believe to be the relevant should not have been difficult to get if it was pursued. For the relevant period he had no listed business phone. He has no current listing under "lawyers." If you can find the time to reread the file, it may mean now what it did not when current because of what you learned that may not have found its way into type.

Thanks,