

When Cox asked Bittman to resign as Hunt's counsel, it received almost no attention. The Post gave no explanation. NYTimes said conflict interest only, not what conflict. A number of explanations are possible, one being his involvement in paying Hunt off. In the Mitchell-Stans trial (reports 4/9/74) one Sherwin J. Markham, of Hogan & Hartson, represented Vesco before the SEC until, according to the papers, an unspecified date the end of 1972. Or, after WG and Hunt figured in it publicly. One of the other possibilities is that Vesco's cash figured not only in WG but in hushomeny paid over it. Mrs. D. Hunt had \$10,000 of it with her when she died. There has been no report of tracing the money. I understand most came from NY Fed Reserve. If the Hunts had Vesco cash was that a conflict, for H & H to rep. both Vesco and the Hunts? The check instead of the cash, which was given to a former Bittman associate in Chicago, went to H & H, two partners of which countersigned before Hunt deposited. HW 4/9/74