

PM 5/23 - A 1 ch - preparing letter by letter on Richardson's correspondence  
by Justice my committee. After several days.

Agreed for \$25, one + expenses. The letter declined to give details of business.

The letter nature is "put outside of them", against "retards" + based on what  
the letter had. In, the letter was not making up something new - on his testimony.

Wanted Alch to meet with Paul B'Drich trap lawyer. Parkerson at party.

The letter "prepared to ~~meet~~ the emergency" of his act.

Parkerson told them they had "independent evidence" that  
equipment was delivered to them. The letter be indicated,  
at evening. They would not if equipment was delivered to them.

At 11:30.

The group met with Paul B'Drich. Parkerson to give com-  
munications. Parkerson told Alch's nature. Parkerson to give com-  
munications. Alch says Parkerson said not believe it

was in accord with evidence + as Paul had said not believe it  
was legal. Alch's prepared evidence as shown, should be compared with  
the Parkerson.

"Gentle - Espionage - Exposed For the Republicans" title of prepared material

both of which he sent Alch via outline.

I guess on the need of all Alch goes into on Alch's evidence.

The letter believed others intended to make him "fall prey" to the Alch  
that - Parkerson had out of the known FBI was looking into against him

Alch said he would hold of the evidence against, on one way of what was right  
Parkerson the letter called - 1st and 2nd children of Alch that Alch said.

written on typing.  
Muel - that Cell from Lill to Muel, only Muel to  
Edison Muel's <sup>est</sup> ask to Mrs. Jones. Ask you great letter  
on who he has not say he has his opinion in his writings.  
I got surprised of names about 10 members with Paul he  
already open of plea from presenters. But what each says does  
not think to leaders what Muel really said. Not ask permission...  
1972 response of all despite counsel. My fact seems right with  
only. He says that his question of CIA involvement - has come up.  
The Muel case is not a + said CIA not involved & would have ~~not~~  
we part of very great degree. He ~~then~~ says no agreement by to  
Bartman's office & ~~not~~ members what Muel said to be could  
being it, but even said he would get CIA number changed.

2) March 5th

Robert will look around James when not home.

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

Bill & Henry were, on Spencer, not making notes)

probe. This up line  
the question is why - coming after creation & then gets  
parameters, and a question, just eating - not eating. In just  
himself means size of his vent against me (a) when it gets  
to. Reported normally  
deep he just found of Bed when he had asked to get in  
break me had. Before this he's been had been in a cage  
experiment with Bed  
the equal for me (so when Bed to be cross connect. Well is so after  
support the program don't in not yet here - June 1923.  
seems real me had given checks to CTR  
Even from camera feeds Bed up, in experiment on his face  
up not when he gets Bed in saying "in the way  
after in front of Dr. U.S."

B) Why I might be interested in each of the following  
aspects of the case. I was not at all. For the (re)presentation.  
What does it mean? When he says "I was not at all interested."  
I had on a defense based upon what he told me "I was not at all interested."  
Does it be the fact of an attorney that gets in -  
What is his client's case