

Section 165

62-109060-6586, A. Rosen to Mr. DeLoach, 10/3/68, 3 pp. copies to 7 indicated names but 8 copies indicated as made. Conrad and Wick not included, Bishop added.

"SYNOPSIS" says that for two hours I was on WAVA 9/22/68. I was "obviously trying to promote" a book it says had not been published. How obvious can you be? Whether or not I actually said what I am said to have said and to have said it in the suggested context - that was in the Garrison day and I have no independent recollection - under "ACTION" it says "The tape recording of this 2-hour show was reviewed." Or they have a tape and maybe records relating to how they obtained it and neither was provided under PA. Nor is any transcript said to be attached. But it does say, "these tapes will be filed for permanent retention." So they have them for response under PA. And somebody reviewed this and knows it.

The synopsis concludes with a further misrepresentation of what happened at State and what I am supposed to have been, "suspicion of being a Communist of having Communist sympathies..." their caps. And "Complete background data... furnished to the White House and to the Attorney General."

KMR is again the author. Notes by stamp and writing say "enclosure on Bulky Ramp/" and "copy of cover letter has been made and placed in Bulky ENC. 10/16/68 SM (?) Duplicate filing in illegible number.

Some of the quotes are correct and it is possible that I did some theorizing that here is not presented as theorizing. I am sure I said that LBJ "was 'both a beneficiary and victim.'"

Where it has me saying that all sorts of agencies, including two police departments, "knew about the proposed assassination" that has to be a fabrication. But having fabricated it they can denounce it as they did, "an absolute falsehood."

Where I gave my address so people could order books this is made to appear as an indirect solicitation of funds.