Dear Bud,

3/16/78

I've just had a phone call from Blakey. He said he'd been going over your material and found a note indicating I had a tape between Jerry Hay and J.B.Stoner.

I have no such tape.

I'm sure that there is Jerry's suggestion, That was about 1969. I tried to explain this to Blakey.

I also volunteered a few other facts and comments that he may or may not have preferred I not address.

one

He says he wants me to talk to him and Everhart. I told him that I had written the committee when Sprague was chief counsel and that my views have not changed, nor has what I will and will not do. He claims to have no knowledge of that but does understand me when I say that I regard myself as still bearing Jimmy an obligation and will not violate it or resolve any conflict of interest except in Jimmy's favor.

He nonotheless wants to talk to me. I said with "in's agreement and presence and with a tape. He agreed. No ifs or buts.

If Jim agrees, as I believe he will, it will be here. I don't know when.

I also don't know what of my material you gave him. He referred to a note you made. He may have misEnderstood it or read into it what was not there or intended.

This raises several questions. One is other such misunderstandings, coming from what I gave you or what you may have noted. If I can see them misinterpreting in this instance A/I can visualize it as not uncommon. Another is what you gave them that is my work.

You may not recall all if you again did not sogregate everything from me, as you were supposed to. We wont through that before. We did have such a clear understanding.

At this point meither of us can do anything about anything of this nature, assuring it exists. But I would like to know because those people do not love so and are capable of mischief if I do not say or do what they want. I'm not afraid of that but I am not anxious to engage in any waste of time or emotion.

Among the things I volunteered is that mobody would trust derry to be a Recul, that he could not talk and make a turn while driving a car. And that shat "ark says about the spooks planting those stories about the sommittee is silly - I did it all. I also told him that I do not apply one set of standards to the Warren Commission and a different set to his committee. It did not discourage him. He referred to what he inherited, as though it were his greatest liability and he had turned it all around.

The young fellow on Anderson's staff phened. I told him he is welcome to access to all I have on the Powell business but that it is irrelevant. We is to call temperar about coming Saturday. Blakey and his secrecy- all in private- this kid told me that story is in the Congressional Record. Very unfair to the Powell brothers.

Howard's schedule has changed from what he told Jim. He may be coming up here this weekend or 1 may be going to see him in DC on Sunday. But if I do go to DC next week I'll let you know so we can lunch.

I still hear from Jerry. Mostly how great and unselfish that religious noble and pure soul Mark Lane is. They've gene to church together at "ark's suggestion. Stoner and Fields were before the committee last week. Jerry is due toward the end of the month.

Sincerely.