To Quin Shoa from Harold Veisberg, appeals:
King assassination records;
JFK assassination records

While ordinarily I would dolay to have time to acquire more information and to be able to provide copies of records to save your staff time the circumstances that lead to my making these appeals now suggest that the FBI is off on a Cointelpre operations against me and is determined to waste as much of the time I have left as it can.

If this is not the intent it is the effect.

As of the information I not have available, which is quite incomplete, the suggestion of news management by the PHI cannot be avoided.

Ordinarily also I would write you separately about those two historical subjects. I have only one life and one work. Interference with and intrusion into any aspect of my work is for me intrusion into all of it. In this case both are intertwined by acts and failures to act by the FSI.

It is an accepted belief and practice that scholars are entitled to first use of their work and what it yields. With regard to the Byers matter, of which you are asare, I filed two appeals, first a verbal one by my lawyer and immediately a written one. At his request and without any guid pro que or other conditions I assigned this first use to Bob Adams of the "t. "outs "ost Dispatch. "ecause of the distance that separates us and the name management that had already been accomplished I asked by . Adams to inform you of this and I asked my lawyer to confirm it to you. In return I was led to believe that this first use would be preserved and would be followed by a general release. his appears to have been confirmed to my lawyer yesterday afternoon by PBISA Horace P. Becketh.

My lawyor read the released records to me, in part, by phone, after which I immediately conferred with hr. Adams. There is content in the released records that require the knowledge of a subject-expert if the information is to be reported homestly and fairly and not as part of an efficial proapganda campaign. This content is of such a nature that the best and most homest and homorable reporters might well be missed in reporting it and thus might mislesd the country.

After supper last night Mr. Adams phoned me to inform me that his editors had told him that a story on these Byers records was moving on the New York Times wire as an exclusive to the Mex York Times.

On the first segment of Good Morning America shortly after 7 a.m. the treatment of the content of these records amounts to official propagands. It was limited to the representation that James Barl Ray had been paid \$10,000 after the assassination of Dr. King for the assassination of Dr. King.

This is not a fair representation of the content, whether or not the content is truthful or accurate.

It is the inevitable consequence of denial of first use to the senior requestor

and subject expert whether or not tide was the Pil's intent.

Whether or not the FBI so intended this also is an obvious manipulation of the upcoming Souse Assassinations Committee hearings. Br. Ray reportedly is to be the first witness at those hearings.

In connection with the foregoing I remind you that for a decade the FEI refused to do anything about my FOIA requests for information relating to the King ascencemation, forced we into lengthy and costly litigation to obtain it and once it could no longer withhold this information for again denied me first use by placing it in its reading room and making it available to all. In this the FEI appears also to have led UFI to believe that UFI was responsible for this major release of fermarky secret records. UFI therefore informed the entire world that it exclusively had done the work I in fact did at great personal cost. In itself this was costly and hartful to me and to my work.

With regard to the records Sa Beckeith gave Mr. "esar for me yesterday I appeal withholdings from them. I also ask for a copy of the identical records from the files of the FMI St. Louis Field Office and for the records that it appears to me must exist relating to the finding and release of the records released. I believe that the records not provided must exist also in FRIE) and possibly in other field offices.

It is the FET's explanation of the withholding of these records that are within the content of MURKIN records, all of which I was to receive, that an experienced FET agent made a simple error and misfiled them. (I was not aware that Sis did their own filing.)

The FET's story, if not cover story, is that when a search was made for records relating to Mr. Spers those released records were located as March 15 of this year and than rushed to FETEQ attention the lisions with the House counittee.

These records are also within the MURKIN records, relating to which in addition to my request there were FEI-initiated stipulations covering the St. Fouls field office. However, the St. Louis office appears not to have next a copy to the attention of the FOII unit or in any other way sought to comply with the stipulations, of which it was aware. This failure was duplicated by FEIEQ. As a result there was the extensive if not also misleading news stories of which you are aware while I was for months denied this record and any use of it. Informace of news namagement carmet to ignored in this. The FEI does know its business and enough FEI senior personnel are meare of C.A. 75-1936.

The FMI appears to have undertaken a new unilateral revision of the act. his is that it has the right to withheld by misfilling and the right to continue to withheld after discovery of the misfilling. If this could be the case there would be no FMIs. I contest and appeal this FMI interpretation and its sets pursuant to this interpretation.

Another opagequence of this FM news management by FOIA ministerpretation is the fact that the millions of people reached by this morning's AMC telegast were not told that the Byers records include a serious disputing of anything he might say because he is a "very treacherous amm guy" and other such evaluations.

abould have been followed by correct filing, which in turn required MURKIN filing and providing of copies to me. It now appears that even after the record was located, read, understood and forwarded it still was not filed in MURKIN. On the besis that it is end should have been filed under MURKIN in 1974 and thus provided to me I appeal all/the withholdings from it and reprocessing under the proper standards for historical cases. In this I do not request disclosure of the identity of the informer. I do appeal part of the apparent withholding of informer filing. By this I mean that I do not appeal the withholding of the special file designation subordinate to the initial file designation by which a copy was routed to informer files. I am asking that the fact of this filing now be reflected by not obliterating the first numbers of informer filing. I believe these first number for original informants are 197, for political 154. They are not secret and are not properly withhold.

The look and misuse of those records, now for the second time, in my belief puts them in a special different category and requires the displacance to me of what under other circumstances might be withheld. This is all the content not argently required to be withheld relating to all the other information, whether or not it has are can have any MURKIN relationship. My. Byers himself has been thrust into a different position. In addition to the leak the FEI arranged for him to be a secret witness before the House assassins committee, as he was at least on May 8 of this year, to my personal observation. I believe misuse eliminates the proper application of by claim and that the standards for 7(C)/ and (D) should be those of the Attorney General's 5/5/77 statement.

Misuse also requires special kinds of response to me relating to the content alleging that a short stocky man who walked with a limp paid key \$10,000. He such records have been provided. This content both in 1974 and 1978 required the most diligent FMI X investigation. AND states there was mone. I am asking for a special file search of all possibly relevant files and a first-person affidavit from those who make such searches. A presentationent, whether or note truthful, does not most the affirmative obligation imposed upon the FMI by the Act.

If there is any investigation of this alleged oversight, I AM also request all records of any such investigation.

It is my belief that this entire matter of the Byers records should be looked into by the Department, not the FEL. If this happens I also request all relevant records.

With regard to JFK assassination records that remain withheld from me, I had several inquiries yesterday. These were from a Texas reporter and from three independent researchers who came here. Because of these withheldings I was not able to provide the assistance that ordinarily I would have provided.

Also involved is the release to one A.J. Weberman, who styles himself a "Tipple," of photographs taken from a Minex camera possibly possessed by Lee Harvey Uswald or Michael Paine. (It is possible that these photographs had already been removed from the camera and processed earlier.)

Hr. McGreight wrote Mr. Weberpan a covering letter I believe you should obtain and read and thereafter apply as FMI standards for the JPK and King records. As read to me by phone Mr. McGreight stated that because of the special historical importance of the JPK records the FEI was practising me withholding at all.

I was led to believe that as the FSI released any JFK records copies would be provided to me. In not a single instance has this happened. I have not received copies of any such records. Specifically I have not received copies of these photographs and any relevant records.

On the subject of photographs, it has been a long time since I offered to go to FRING and review the files of JFK assassination photographs and see if I could limit my request for copies of them. The FRI has not even acknowledged my letter and this added offert to accompdate it.

Related to this is the unexpected providing of copies of photographs of President Kennedy's clothing by the FBI. The photographs provided are limited to those I specified I did not want. I repeated my request for the clothing photographs I did and do want. A long time has passed and my letter has not been acknowledged.

Because of my special circumstances and a record that leads to the belief it is not possible to accomplate the PBI or obtain any voluntary compliance from it I now request prints of all JFK assassination photographs. By this I mean to include not only those that are at PBIHQ and in the reading room. I mean to include all of these squirreled may in field offices, including Dallas and Bow Orleans but not limited to them. On still photographs I will accept AM 5x7 prints where they are clear and sufficiently comprehensible. Therwise I ask for 8x10s. With regard to movie film my request is for positive prints except where stills were made, in which event I include copies at of all such stills. The PBI has left me no real choice. I express the hope that with regard to other POIA matters it will not continue to eliminate my opetions.