

Mar 21, 1978

... must be ...  
... while ...  
... whom ...  
... west ...  
... what ...  
... for ...  
... due ...  
... developed,

... that we, the ...  
... fully ...  
... me, ...  
... well ...  
... it ...  
... part ...  
... incident ...  
... our ...  
... for ...  
... by ...  
... two ...  
... and ...  
... show ...

Dear Ted!

In response to your report  
the last time on KGO I'm  
enclosing <sup>my</sup> the letter I received  
from Bob Barker - the answer  
to my letter to Chairman  
Stokes, incidentally, treatment  
by the Department from the  
Committee of Mr. Barker.  
I'm what I hear that were  
many more awarded the  
same treatment as I &  
most disturbing state of affairs  
to say the least.  
I'm sure that Barker completely  
ignored the real threat of  
my letter to Stokes - I've  
explained of the inability  
of the industry to communicate  
with the Committee  
- under the hood disclosure  
rule - not that the public was  
knowing! Evidence for Marshall  
to name. Salaries

March 12, 1978

Dear Mr. Gould:

I heard you on radio KGO (San Francisco)  
Bob Barker's talk program night of March 11th.

I am always eager to hear your report -- even  
though it is sometimes disheartening I keep praying  
that night will triumph over night.

You asked for copies of letters received  
in reply to those we sent to Mr. Barker. I am  
glad to relinquish my original letter to you for  
it is worth nothing to me.

Do me the letter says: "I'll tell you  
what I want you to know when I want you to know it."  
(I should live so long!) B. S. !!!!!!!!!!!!!

Kang in there, Ted

G. M. Bogie

P.S. In the second paragraph I made an error.  
Our letters were sent to Mr. Louis Stokes, as  
you directed. But some how the replies were  
made by Barker.